Concurrent Proceedings Before PTAB and District Court

2017 Advanced Patent Law Institute
March 9, 2017
United States Patent & Trademark Office
Alexandria, Virginia

Anthony J. Fitzpatrick, Duane Morris LLP, Boston, MA
Monica Grewal, Wilmer Cutler Pickering Hale and Dorr, Boston, MA
Chris Lewis, FLIR Systems, Portland, OR
Rachel MacGuire, Oracle Corporation, Denver, CO
David L. McCombs, Haynes and Boone, LLP, Dallas, TX

Discussion Topics

- Decision to File at PTAB
- Multi-Defendant Litigation
- District Court Stay
- Estoppel for Petitioners
- Evidence Across Proceedings
- Finality of Judicial Decisions

2

Administration Comments on PTAB Proceedings

"I wouldn't read too much into any apparent trends in rulings. The one and only one job of the Patent Trial and Appeal Board is to call the shots neutrally and accurately based on the facts and the case law. The board has no agenda, and the rulings fall where they may."

USPTO Director Michelle K. Lee's remarks at AIPLA Mid-Winter Meeting, January 30, 2014

3

Decision to File at PTAB

- From a trial lawyer's perspective, and in-house perspective, what are the factors that tip your decision either for, or against, filing an IPR?
- Has the PTAB made resolution of patent disputes more or less efficient?
- How has this impacted your case management strategies?
- What percentage of your defensive caseload involves your filing postgrant proceedings?

4

Multi-Defendant Litigation

- Real Party-in-Interest: An IPR must name all real-parties-in-interest, and risk of denial or termination exists if not cured within one year of the petitioner or RPI being served with an infringement complaint. See, Zoll Lifecor Corp. v. Philips Elecs. N. Amer. Corp. & Koninklijke Philips N.V., Paper 15, Decision Denying Institution, Mar. 20, 2014 (IPR2013-00609).
 - In what ways are joint defense groups likely to operate so as to mitigate the unintended expansion of 'real-party-in-interest' or 'privy' designations to codefendants?

-

Multi-Defendant Litigation

- Joint and Multiple Proceedings: Section 325(d) provides the PTAB with broad discretion to reject a petition in the case of multiple proceedings on the same patent, where the same art or arguments were previously presented.
 - Should I do a joint filing with other defendants, or go it alone? Should I ever seek joinder with an existing IPR?
 - When should I time my filing relative to my co-defendants or relative to existing filings against the same patent?
 - In balancing the "right of the petitioner to be heard" versus "harassment of the patent owner," what are the factors the Board considers in exercising its discretion to grant/deny a second petition?

6





Find the full text of this and thousands of other resources from leading experts in dozens of legal practice areas in the <u>UT Law CLE eLibrary (utcle.org/elibrary)</u>

Title search: Concurrent Proceedings before PTAB and District Court

Also available as part of the eCourse 2017 Advanced Patent Law (USPTO) eConference

First appeared as part of the conference materials for the 12th Annual Advanced Patent Law Institute session "Concurrent Proceedings before PTAB and District Courts"