

Sessions: No More Asylum for Victims of Gangs and Domestic Violence

Sessions' DOJ Gets Victim Deported for Providing "Support" to Terrorists--Because She Was a Slave!



Friday June 08, 2018 7:27 PM CDT

NATIONAL

Attorney General Denies Asylum To Victims Of Domestic Abuse, Gang Violence

June 11, 2018 - 4:49 PM ET
Heard on All Things Considered

WHAT'S LEFT?

Assessing the damage done by *A-B-*, *A-C-M-*, *E-F-H-L-*, etc., etc., etc.

Law Office of Paul S. Zoltan

Woman “forced to witness her husband... dig his own grave before being killed” gave “material support” by “cooking, cleaning, and washing [her captors’] clothes.” Support is “material” where “if [the alien] had not provided [it], another person would have needed to do so.” 27 I. & N. Dec. 303, 304, 310 (BIA 2018).

- **No asylum**, per INA § 212(a)(3)(B)(iv)(VI);
- **No withholding**, per INA § 241(b)(3)(C); so
- *Only* possible relief is **deferral of removal** under 8 CFR § 1208.17.

Matter of A-C-M-:

(im)material support to a terrorist organization

Law Office of Paul S. Zoltan

“Duress” exemption from persecutor bar (INA § 241(b)(3)(B)(i)) requires the noncitizen to have, for starters,

*[A]cted under an **imminent threat of death** or serious bodily injury to himself or others... [and] knew or reasonably should have known that the **harm he inflicted was not greater than the threatened harm to himself or others.***

27 I&N Dec. 347 (BIA 2018).

Matter of Negusie:

Gun-to-your-head “persecutor” exemption

Law Office of Paul S. Zoltan

Narrow holding: “*Matter of A-R-C-G-*, 26 I&N Dec. 338 (BIA 2014) is overruled”; most of the rest is dicta!

Circularity: “Married women in Guatemala who are unable to leave their relationship’ was effectively defined to consist of women in Guatemala who are victims of domestic abuse because the *inability to leave was created by harm or threatened harm.*” *Matter of A-B-*, 27 I&N Dec. 316, 335 (A.G. 2018) (emphasis added).

Matter of A-B-:

Doomed by “circularity” & DHS’ stipulations

Law Office of Paul S. Zoltan

“When the applicant has suffered personal harm at the hands of only a few specific individuals, **internal relocation** would seem more reasonable than if the applicant were persecuted... by her [] government.” 27 I&N Dec. at 345.

Bosh. “An applicant does not have a well-founded fear of persecution if ... if under all the circumstances it would be *reasonable* to expect the applicant to [relocate internally].” 8 CFR § 1208.13(b)(2)(ii) (emphasis added).

Matter of A-B-: Internal relocation dicta

Law Office of Paul S. Zoltan

The **discretion** requirement “should not be presumed or glossed over solely because an applicant otherwise meets the burden of proof for asylum.” 27 I&N Dec. at 345 n.12.

Pace Sessions,

- 1) “The danger of persecution should generally outweigh all but the most egregious of adverse factors.” *Matter of H-*, 21 I. & N. Dec. 337, 338 (BIA 1996).
- 2) Regulations define what’s “firm resettlement” as 8 CFR § 1208.15 defines this. *Maharaj v. Gonzales*, 450 F.3d 961, 975 (9th Cir. 2006).

Matter of A-B-: More ~~hokey~~ dicta about discretion

Law Office of Paul S. Zoltan

Find the full text of this and thousands of other resources from leading experts in dozens of legal practice areas in the [UT Law CLE eLibrary \(utcle.org/elibrary\)](https://utcle.org/elibrary)

Title search: Asylum Update

Also available as part of the eCourse

[2018 eConference on Immigration and Nationality Law](#)

First appeared as part of the conference materials for the
42nd Annual Conference on Immigration and Nationality Law session
"Asylum Update"