Stanford LawSchool Stanford Program in Law, Science & Technology THE STANFORD PROGRAM IN LAW, SCIENCE & TECHNOLOGY BERKELEY CENTER FOR LAW & TECHNOLOGY AT UC BERKELEY and THE UNIVERSITY OF TEXAS SCHOOL OF LAW



11TH ANNUAL SILICON VALLEY ADVANCED PATENT LAW INSTITUTE



December 9–10, 2010 Four Seasons Hotel Silicon Valley • East Palo Alto, California

MAJOR SPONSOR

Earn up to 14.25 Hours of Credit including 1.50 Hours of Ethics Credit

www.utcle.org • 512-475-6700

11TH ANNUAL SILICON VALLEY ADVANCED PATENT LAW INSTITUTE

December 9-10, 2010 - Four Seasons Hotel Silicon Valley - East Palo Alto, California

Earn up to 14.25 Hours of Credit including 1.50 Hours of Ethics Credit

THURSDAY MORNING, DEC. 9, 2010

Presiding Officer:

Robert Barr, Berkeley Center for Law & Technology, Berkeley, CA

7:45 a.m. Registration Opens

Includes continental breakfast.

8:30 a.m.	Welcoming Remarks
8:40 a.m.	.33 hr

Patent Prosecution: The PTO's Use of Prior Art Submissions

A short look at some recent empirical results on what examiners do (and don't) pay attention to.

Mark A. Lemley, Stanford Law School and Durie Tangri LLP, Stanford, CA

9:00 a.m.

.75 hr

Claim Drafting Strategies: Prosecutor and Litigator Perspectives on Drafting and Prosecuting Claims

The prosecutor has thousands of dollars to draft and negotiate issued claims. The litigator has millions of dollars to argue over what they mean. Given the backdrop of the current patent law, this panel considers what claims drafting techniques can be taken to strengthen your patents for litigation, without exceeding your prosecution budget.

Moderator:

Michael J. Schallop, Van Pelt, Yi & James LLP, Cupertino, CA

Panelists:

Michael W. Farn, Fenwick & West LLP, Mountain View, CA Erik R. Puknys, Finnegan, Henderson, Farabow, Garrett & Dunner, LLP, Palo Alto, CA

9:45 a.m.

.50 hr

Managing Patent Prosecution and Portfolios: Effective Use of New USPTO Options and Initiatives

An evaluation of rule and policy changes at the USPTO under David Kappos, including recommendations and cautions for managing corporate portfolios.

Duane R. Valz, Chadbourne & Parke LLP, Los Angeles, CA

10:15 a.m.

10:30 a.m.

Reexamination and Concurrent Patent Litigation

A tour of the parallel universe of patent reexamination and concurrent patent litigation in the district courts and the USITC. Hot button topics to be addressed include PTO stats and timelines, the SNQ requirement, request requirements, ex parte interviews, responses to Office Actions and the use of declaration evidence, *KSR* issues, petition practice, protective orders and the duty of disclosure, stays, use of reexam developments in trial, difference standards between reexams and the courts, and concurrency issues between tribunals.

Moderator:

Robert Greene Sterne, Sterne, Kessler, Goldstein & Fox, P.L.L.C., Washington, DC

Panelists:

Sean P. DeBruine, Alston + Bird LLP, Menlo Park, CA Barton "Bart" E. Showalter, Baker Botts L.L.P., Dallas, TX

11:15 a.m.

.50 hr

.75 hr

Examiner Interviews: Why, When and How

Interviews with examiners can advance common understanding of an invention, resolve conflicts in interpreting claims and prior art, and efficiently move cases toward allowance, but few practitioners receive training or think strategically about effective interview structure and presentation. In this session, a litigator and a prosecutor address key considerations and skills in the art of planning and holding the interview, with mock dialogue to illustrate particular techniques and a discussion of visual presentation tools.

Christopher J. Palermo, Hickman Palermo Truong & Becker LLP, San Jose, CA

Karl J. Kramer, Morrison & Foerster LLP, Palo Alto, CA

11:45 a.m.

.83 hr

Successful Multilateral International Patent Prosecution

Successfully building a valuable international patent portfolio is a tremendous challenge in light of differences in local laws and patent practices. Panelists from Australia and the United Kingdom provide perspectives across a range of industries.

Moderator:

Edward Van Gieson, Beyer Law Group LLP, Cupertino, CA

Panelists:

Anthony Lee, Madderns Patent & Trade Mark Attorneys, Adelaide, Australia Gwilym Roberts, Kiburn & Strode, London, United Kingdom

THURSDAY AFTERNOON

Presiding Officer:

James Pampinella, Navigant Consulting, Inc., San Francisco, CA

THANK YOU TO OUR LUNCHEON SPONSOR

COVINGTON & BURLING LLP

l 2:35 p.m.	Pick Up Lunch
-------------	---------------

Included in conference registration fee.

LUNCHEON PRESENTATION

12:50 p.m.

.75 hr

Patentable Subject Matter: Back in the Federal Circuit's Court

In *Bilski*, the Supreme Court reaffirmed the bar against patenting "abstract ideas" while rejecting the exclusivity of a machine-or-transformation test for process patentability. Where might the law go.

Mark A. Lemley, Stanford Law School and Durie Tangri LLP, Stanford, CA Robert R. Sachs, Fenwick & West LLP, San Francisco, CA

1:35 p.m. Brea

1:50 p.m.

.75 hr

Antitrust Issues: Patent Pooling, Aggregators, Misuse, SSOs, Etc.

Deception in the standard-setting context has been a major issue for regulators and private litigants on both sides of the Atlantic. This session looks at the most recent developments and what they mean for licensees, licensors and SSO administrators. In addition, the Federal Circuit's August 2010 decision in *Princo Corp.* has brought the misuse doctrine back into the spotlight. This presentation also discusses the impact of *Princo* and likely future developments.

Hanno F. Kaiser, Latham & Watkins LLP, San Francisco, CA

nning

1:50 p

Aggrega

2:35 p.m.

Anticipating the Worst: Anti-Injunction Strategies, Design Arounds and Avoiding Contempt Proceedings

This session addresses the impact of recent decisions concerning injunctions, design arounds and contempt proceedings, including *i4i* v. *Microsoft* and *TiVo* v. *Echostar*, especially from the defense perspective. The need for early planning is explored, as well as strategies for injecting design arounds into the underlying action to avoid injunctions and contempt proceedings.

Michael J. Malecek, Kaye Scholer LLP, Menlo Park, CA Ron E. Shulman, Wilson Sonsini Goodrich & Rosati, Palo Alto, CA

3:05 p.m.

.50 hr ethics

75 hr

.50 hr

Spoliation and Its Impact on Patent Litigation and Document Hold Practices

Spoliation can have a substantial impact on patentees seeking to enforce their patents as well as defendants. This session covers some interesting current legal issues in the context of patent infringement suits and spoliation, including a discussion of a number of different matters where spoliation may have impacted the outcome and the different ways courts have addressed spoliation issues.

Eric R. Lamison, Kirkland & Ellis LLP, San Francisco, CA

3:35 p.m. Break

3:50 p.m.

Patent Defense 2.0: New Models in Patent Disputes

Patent litigation is expensive, risky and time consuming. This panel considers emerging alternative and counter strategies including reexamination, collective buying, crowdsourcing, use of aggregators and other innovative approaches.

Moderator:

Glenn E. Westreich, Haynes and Boone, LLP, San Jose, CA

Panelists:

Chip Lutton, Apple Inc., Cupertino, CA Joseph Siino, Ovidian Group, LLC, Berkeley, CA Mallun Yen, Cisco Systems, Inc., San Jose, CA

4:35 p.m.

.92 hr including .50 hr ethics

Judicial Panel

Leading District Court judges discuss cutting-edge and patent litigation issues.

Moderator:

Vernon M. Winters, Weil, Gotshal & Manges LLP, Redwood City, CA

Panelists:

Hon. Andrew J. Guilford, U.S. District Court, Central District of California, Santa Ana, CA Hon. Lucy H. Koh, U.S. District Court, Northern District of California, San Jose, CA

Adjourn

5:30 p.m.

FRIDAY MORNING, DEC. 10, 2010

Presiding Officer: Christopher J. Byrne, Tessera, Inc., San Jose, CA

8:00 a.m.

8:30 a.m.

Includes continental breakfast.

.50 hr ethics

Conference Room Opens

Inequitable Conduct

The Federal Circuit's decision to revisit the inequitable conduct doctrine in *Therasense* has brought this area of the law to a crossroads. The presentation looks at the the en banc briefing and argument that is scheduled to take place in November 2010, and considers whether the law is likely to change and, if so, how. In addition, the speaker discusses best practices under the current law to avoid charges of patent unenforceability and to defeat such charges at trial if necessary.

Robert J. Goldman, Ropes & Gray, LLP, East Palo Alto, CA

9:00 a.m.

Design Patents and Utility Patents: Where the Twain Meet and Even Overlap

Statutory subject matter for design patents must be "ornamental," while that for utility patents must be "useful." For design patents, the ornamental boundary is defined by the doctrine of functionality, while for utility patents, usefulness is couched in terms of providing some identifiable benefit. This presentation analyzes those boundaries, and shows how they can actually overlap, i.e., how design patents can be used to protect functional features of products, and how utility patents can be used to protect ornamental features.

Perry Saidman, Saidman DesignLaw Group, LLC, Silver Spring, MD

9:30 a.m.

Licensing Update

A look at recent developments and trends related to patent licensing (in the areas of patent misuse, licenses vs. covenants not to sue, and exhaustion), as well as an examination of the issues and trends regarding patents and standards, and the intersection of patents and open source licenses and projects.

Karen N. Ballack, Weil, Gotshal & Manges LLP, Redwood City, CA

Julie Martin, Mozilla, Mountain View, CA

10:15 a.m. Break

10:30 a.m.

Patent Marking: True AND False

Proper patent marking can vastly increase your ability to recover damages, but overdoing it can lead to scary liability for false marking. This session covers the basics of proper marking, as well as the nuances of the quickly evolving false marking case law.

Karen Boyd, Turner Boyd LLP, Palo Alto, CA

11:00 a.m.

ITC Section 337 Patent Enforcement Update

This session examines the emergence of the ITC as a patent enforcement forum, developments in ITC case management, the role of trolls at the ITC, and the interaction between ITC and district court

patent cases. Moderator:

Peter S. Menell, University of California at Berkeley School of Law and Berkeley Center for Law & Technology, Berkeley, CA Panelists: Yar R. Chaikovsky, McDermott Will & Emery, Menio Park, CA Robert D. Fram, Covington & Burling LLP, San Francisco, CA

11:45 a.m.

.50 hr

.75 hr

Preserving Issues for Appeal

The presentation identifies issues of particular importance in appeals involving patent cases. It describes the various methods of preserving those issues for appeal and identifies some of the risks of not being careful about preserving errors by the district courts.

Daralyn J. Durie, Durie Tangri LLP, San Francisco, CA Carter G. Phillips, Sidley Austin LLP, Washington, DC

FRIDAY AFTERNOON

Presiding Officer: Robert Barr, Berkeley Center for Law & Technology, Berkeley, CA

THANK YOU TO OUR LUNCHEON SPONSOR

CHADBOURNE & PARKE LLP

12:30 p.m. Pick Up Lunch

Included in conference registration fee.

LUNCHEON PRESENTATION

12:45 p.m.

Administrative Innovation at the PTO

Although patent reform is often envisioned in legislative terms, the PTO enjoys some ability to promote administrative reform that may reduce backlog and improve patent quality. This presentation evaluates enacted and proposed reforms in light of available empirical data.

Arti K. Rai, Duke University School of Law, Durham, NC

Break

1:30 p.m.

.75 hr

.75 hr

.75 hr

1:45 p.m.

.75 hr

Alternative Fee Arrangements and Cost Control: Bidding for Cases

The advent of the patent troll, changes in patent law and an increasingly competitive marketplace have collectively impacted, and in many ways fundamentally changed, the business of how patent cases are budgeted and handled. The panel explores alternative fee arrangements, case budgets and other cost control measures both from an in-house and outside counsel perspective. The panel also looks at how tighter corporate budgets are impacting the bidding and selection process for litigation counsel.

Moderator:

Theodore T. "Ted" Herhold, Townsend and Townsend and Crew LLP, Palo Alto, CA

Panelists: Emily Ward, eBay, Inc., San Jose, CA

Karen K. Williams, Sybase, Inc., Dublin, CA

2:30 p.m.

Protective Orders in Patent Cases: Who Can Keep a Secret?

Strategies for keeping confidential information from adversaries, including patent prosecution bars after *In re Deutsche Bank* (2010), cases interpreting FRE 502 and clawback agreements, and a review of local rules and model protective orders from several district courts.

Michelle Greer Galloway, Cooley LLP, Palo Alto, CA Mark E. Michels, Cisco Systems, Inc., San Jose, CA

3:00 p.m.

Damages

The role of the entire market value rule in the calculation of patent damages has been the subject of much discussion in the context of both litigated cases and patent law reform efforts. Parties often disagree about how much of the value of an accused product should be subject to a damages calculation, where the invention of the patent at issue arguably does not implicate, or create the demand for, the entire product. This panel explores the current state of the law under the *Lucent* and *Cornell* decisions and offers practical insights on how best to present and defend against damages claims in light of the applicable law.

Moderator:

Stanley Young, Covington & Burling LLP, Redwood Shores, CA

Panelists:

Jeffrey Dubin, UCLA, Anderson School of Management, Los Angeles, CA Joseph Miller, Lewis & Clark Law School, Portland, OR Howard G. Pollack, Fish & Richardson P.C., Redwood City, CA

3:45 p.m.

Adjourn

CONFERENCE FACULTY AND PLANNING COMMITTEE

ROBERT BARR—CO-CHAIR* Berkeley Center for Law & Technology Berkeley, CA

MARK A. LEMLEY—CO-CHAIR* Stanford Law School and Durie Tangri LLP Stanford, CA

KAREN N. BALLACK Weil, Gotshal & Manges LLP Redwood City, CA

BRADLEY BAUGH* North Weber & Baugh LLP Palo Alto, CA

KAREN BOYD* Turner Boyd LLP Palo Alto, CA

CHRISTOPHER J. BYRNE* Tessera, Inc. San Jose, CA

YAR R. CHAIKOVSKY* McDermott Will & Emery Menlo Park, CA

TIM CREAN* SAP AG Palo Alto, CA

.50 hr

.75 hr

SEAN P. DEBRUINE* Alston + Bird LLP Menlo Park, CA

JEFFREY DUBIN UCLA Anderson School of Management Los Angeles, CA

DARALYN J. DURIE Durie Tangri LLP San Francisco, CA

MICHAEL W. FARN* Fenwick & West LLP Mountain View, CA

JOHN M. FARRELL* Fish & Richardson P.C. Redwood City, CA

ROBERT D. FRAM Covington & Burling LLP San Francisco, CA

MICHELLE GREER GALLOWAY Cooley LLP Palo Alto, CA

ROBERT J. GOLDMAN* Ropes & Gray, LLP East Palo Alto, CA

HON. ANDREW J. GUILFORD U.S. District Court Central District of California Santa Ana, CA

DAVID W. HANSEN* Skadden, Arps, Slate, Meagher & Flom LLP Palo Alto, CA

JEANINE HAYES* Yahoo! Inc. Sunnyvale, CA

THEODORE T. "TED" HERHOLD* Townsend and Townsend and Crew LLP Palo Alto, CA JULIE M. HOLLOWAY* Wilson Sonsini Goodrich & Rosati Palo Alto, CA

STEPHEN C. HOLMES* Dewey & LeBoeuf East Palo Alto, CA

HANNO F. KAISER Latham & Watkins LLP San Francisco, CA

HON. LUCY H. KOH U.S. District Court Northern District of California San Jose, CA

KARL J. KRAMER* Morrison & Foerster LLP Palo Alto, CA

LEO L. LAM* Keker & Van Nest LLP San Francisco, CA

ERIC R. LAMISON* Kirkland & Ellis LLP San Francisco, CA

ANTHONY LEE Madderns Patent & Trade Mark Attorneys Adelaide, Australia

MICHELLE LEE* Google, Inc. Mountain View, CA

GARY H. LOEB* Genentech, Inc. San Francisco, CA

CHIP LUTTON* Apple Inc. Cupertino, CA

MICHAEL J. MALECEK Kaye Scholer LLP Menlo Park, CA

JULIE MARTIN Mozilla Mountain View, CA

PETER S. MENELL University of California at Berkeley School of Law and Berkeley Center for Law & Technology Berkeley, CA

MARK E. MICHELS Cisco Systems, Inc. San Jose, CA

JOSEPH MILLER Lewis & Clark Law School Portland, OR

CHRISTOPHER J. PALERMO* Hickman Palermo Truong & Becker LLP San Jose, CA

CARTER G. PHILLIPS Sidley Austin LLP Washington, DC

HOWARD G. POLLACK Fish & Richardson P.C. Redwood City, CA

ERIK R. PUKNYS Finnegan, Henderson, Farabow, Garrett & Dunner, LLP Palo Alto, CA ARTI K. RAI Duke University School of Law Durham, NC

GWILYM ROBERTS Kiburn & Strode London, United Kingdom

ROBERT R. SACHS Fenwick & West LLP San Francisco, CA

PERRY SAIDMAN Saidman DesignLaw Group, LLC Silver Spring, MD

JEANNINE YOO SANO* White & Case LLP Palo Alto, CA

MICHAEL J. SCHALLOP Van Pelt, Yi & James LLP Cupertino, CA

BARTON "BART" E. SHOWALTER Baker Botts L.L.P. Dallas, TX

RON E. SHULMAN Wilson Sonsini Goodrich & Rosati Palo Alto, CA

JOSEPH SIINO Ovidian Group, LLC Berkeley, CA

JOHN F. STARK* Navigant Consulting, Inc. San Francisco, CA

ROBERT GREENE STERNE Sterne, Kessler, Goldstein & Fox, P.L.L.C. Washington, DC

M. PATRICIA THAYER* Sidley Austin LLP San Francisco, CA

DUANE R. VALZ* Chadbourne & Parke LLP Los Angeles, CA

EDWARD VAN GIESON* Beyer Law Group LLP Cupertino, CA

EMILY WARD eBay, Inc. San Jose, CA

GLENN E. WESTREICH* Haynes and Boone, LLP San Jose, CA

KAREN K. WILLIAMS Sybase, Inc. Dublin, CA

VERNON M. WINTERS* Weil, Gotshal & Manges LLP Redwood City, CA

MALLUN YEN* Cisco Systems, Inc. San Jose, CA

STANLEY YOUNG* Covington & Burling LLP Redwood Shores, CA

* planning committee member

HOW TO REGISTER

Mail your registration to: The University of Texas School of Law Attn. CLE—PJ10 P.O. Box 7759 Austin, TX 78713-7759

Or fax to: 512-475-6876

Or register online: www.utcle.org

Questions? Call us at 512-475-6700

MATERIALS, AUDIO AND IN-HOUSE CLE

Printed Materials in Course Binder

Leading practitioners and academics provide the definitive set of materials.

eBinder on CD

Add the electronic version of the conference materials to your course registration or purchase of the Course Binder or Audio MP3 Speeches on CD or Audio CD Set for only \$50. eBinders are distributed 3–5 weeks after the Institute and include searchable versions of printed materials in PDF format.

Audio MP3 Speeches on CD or Audio CD Set

Listen to the Advanced Patent Law Institute on the go. Informative, topical and entertaining presentations recorded from UT Law's other live CLE programs are also available.

In-House CLE

In-House CLE for two or more participants—as many as you want! Receive full MCLE credit. We'll accredit the course for you, and provide one Audio CD Set plus a Course Binder for each participant.

ABOUT THE COVER

Collision, 48" x 95", oil on board, is by Sydney Yeager and on display at d berman gallery in Austin. For more information, visit www.dbermangallery.com.

REGISTRATION FOR PJ10

Mail this registration form to:

- The University of Texas School of Law, Attn. CLE—PJ10
- P.O. Box 7759, Austin, TX 78713-7759 or fax a copy to: 512-475-6876

PLEASE PRINT CLEARLY

Bar Card#		ТХ	Other State:	🗋 N/A
Name [Mr. / Ms.]				
Firm				
Address				
City	State	Zip		
Telephone	Fax			
Registrant's Email (required)				
Assistant's Email (optional)				
Invoices, confirmations and receipts are emailed t	to these addresses.			

REGISTRATION

Includes Course Binder and Thursday and Friday Sponsored Luncheon Presentatio	ns
🖵 Early Registration Fee due by Wednesday, December 1, 2010	\$795
	¢0.45
Registration Fee after Wednesday, December 1, 2010	\$845
CONFERENCE PUBLICATIONS AND MEDIA	
Allow 3–5 weeks from the conference date for delivery.	
	¢075
Course Binder WITHOUT Conference Registration Note: Conference registration includes Course Binder.	\$275
Audio MP3 Speeches on CD	\$175
Audio CD Set	\$230
eBinder on CD (PDF format)	\$275/\$50
(\$275 purchased alone, \$50 with Registration or purchase of Course Binder or Audio MP3 Speech	es on CD or Audio CD Set)
IN-HOUSE CLE: Bring the conference in-house and learn at your convenience.	
Allow 3–5 weeks from the conference date for delivery.	
In-House CLE for 2—Includes Audio CD Set and Course Binders	\$1,025
Add participants (includes Course Binder) for \$275 each	\$
TOTAL ENCLOSE	D
METHOD OF PAYMENT	
Check (make checks payable to: The University of Texas at Austin)	
UISA or 🔲 MasterCard (sorry, no AMEX or Discover)	
Card number	
Х	
Authorized Signature	Exp. Date (mm/vv)

phone 512-475-6700 • fax 512-475-6876 • www.utcle.org



The University of Texas at Austin THE UNIVERSITY OF TEXAS SCHOOL OF LAW P.O. Box 7759 • Austin, TX 78713-7759

This program is not printed or mailed at state expense.

11th ANNUAL SILICON VALLEY ADVANCED PATENT LAW INSTITUTE

NON-PROFIT-ORG U.S. Postage PAID U T School of Law

December 9–10, 2010 • Four Seasons Hotel • East Palo Alto, California

E-mail us at utcle@law.utexas.edu or call us at 512-475-6700 for more information

EAST PALO ALTO

December 9-10, 2010

CONFERENCE LOCATION



Four Seasons Hotel Silicon Valley 2050 University Avenue East Palo Alto, CA 94303 (650) 470-3847

Special Room Rate: \$255 good through November 8, 2010 (subject to availability)

Parking: Self-parking complimentary; Valet parking \$22 per day (subject to change)

KEY DATES

December 1, 2010, 5 p.m. last day for early registration add \$50 for registrations received after this time

December 3, 2010, 5 p.m. *last day for full refund*

December 6, 2010, 5 p.m. *last day for partial refund* \$50 processing fee applied

December 9, 2010, 8:40 a.m. Institute begins

This course has been approved for Minimum Continuing Legal Education credit by the State Bar of Texas Committee on MCLE in the amount of 14.25 hours, of which 1.50 credit hours will apply to legal ethics/professional responsibility credit. The University of Texas School of Law is a State Bar of California approved MCLE provider (#1944).

ADVANCED PATENT LAW INSTITUTES

Each program uniquely tailored to its locale

PATENT LAW IN AUSTIN, TX

October 28–29, 2010, Four Seasons Hotel

Join leading practitioners, academics and in-house counsel from the Southwest and Silicon Valley in Austin for two days of presentations on a rich array of prosecution and litigation topics. The Four Seasons Hotel is on the shores of Lady Bird Lake just steps away from the Hike and Bike Trail, downtown's restaurant and entertainment district, and the vibrant SoCo area.



PATENT LAW IN SILICON VALLEY

December 9–10, 2010 Four Seasons Hotel Silicon Valley

Come to Palo Alto, in the heart of Silicon Valley, and join leading judges and practitioners from major corporations such as Apple, Cisco, eBay, Mozilla and Sybase. The Institute is jointly presented with the Stanford Program in Law, Science & Technology and the Berkeley Center for Law & Technology at UC Berkeley.



PATENT LAW IN ALEXANDRIA, VA

January 20–21, 2011, USPTO – Main Auditorium The insider's perspective on USPTO initiatives, practice rules, developments and much more. Join USPTO staff, leading practitioners, academics and members of the federal judiciary from a variety of courts and forums in the Washington, DC area for two days at the USPTO. The Institute is jointly presented with the George Mason University School of Law and the U.S. Patent and Trademark Office.

