



# 13TH ANNUAL SILICON VALLEY

# ADVANCED PATENT LAW INSTITUTE



December 6–7, 2012 Four Seasons Hotel • Palo Alto, California

**MAJOR SPONSOR** 



Earn up to 14.00 Hours of Credit Including 2.00 Hours of Ethics Credit

## ADVANCED PATENT LAW INSTITUTE

December 6-7, 2012 • Four Seasons Hotel • Palo Alto, California

Earn up to 14.00 Hours of Credit Including 2.00 Hours of Ethics Credit

## THURSDAY MORNING, DEC. 6, 2012

#### **Presiding Officer:**

Robert Barr, Berkeley Center for Law & Technology, Berkeley, CA

**7:30 a.m.** Registration Opens Includes continental breakfast.

8:20 a.m. Welcoming Remarks

8:30 a.m. .50 hr

#### **Claims Drafting Strategies**

Too often, applicants prosecute patent applications without adequately considering how the claims will be asserted in litigation. A consideration of the latest case law concerning claim format—whether the patentee can proceed on a theory of direct, induced, or contributory infringement, or whether a patentee must proceed on a theory of divided infringement. This session demonstrates ways these theories are litigated, how they affect discovery and litigation cost, and how they can even mean the difference between victory and failure. More importantly, strategies to avoid the typical claim-format pitfalls are discussed.

Erik R. Puknys, Finnegan, Henderson, Farabow, Garrett & Dunner, LLP, Palo Alto, CA

9:00 a.m. .50 hr

#### Claim Construction: Methodologies of Construction

A discussion of the growing divide between two basic modes of claim construction emerging from Federal Circuit case law, the current trends in the methodological divide, how the judges split on claim construction and how to think about this.

R. Polk Wagner, University of Pennsylvania School of Law, Philadelphia, PA

9:30 a.m. .50 hr

#### After the Ides of March—Prosecution Strategies Surrounding the March 16 First-to-File Transition

To file or not to file, that is the question. Beginning with a quick review of the differences between the pre- and post-March 16 prior art rules, this discussion focuses on the decision of whether to accelerate filings to take advantage of the pre-March 16 rules and also addresses how prosecution practice may, or may not, change post-March 16.

Michael W. Farn, Fenwick & West LLP, Mountain View, CA

10:00 a.m. Break

10:15 a.m.

.50 hr ethics

## Malpractice Risks of the First-to-File System and the America Invents Act

The transition from "first-to-invent" to "first-to-file" and other changes under the APA present multiple ethics issues for practitioners, including identifying the more favorable regime as the March 2013 change-over approaches, balancing the "need for speed" with proper drafting practices under the new regime, and reconciling duties to the PTO with client desires now that best mode is no longer a litigation defense.

Ragesh K. Tangri, Durie Tangri LLP, San Francisco, CA

10:45 a.m.

1.00 hr

# Post-Grant Practice and Inter Partes Reviews under the America Invents Act

With the new rules on post-grant proceedings now in place, the impact and practice considerations of these new rules from the Office, district court and USITC perspectives are reviewed. A look at strategies and tactics for choice of a validity challenge in the Office, the district court or USITC, and the possible scenarios involving parallel validity proceedings. Timelines, representative costs and chances of success are discussed under the new proceedings, along with the views from the Board now that the new proceedings have become operational.

#### Moderator:

 ${\sf David\ L.\ McCombs,\ Haynes\ and\ Boone,\ LLP,\ Dallas,\ TX}$ 

#### Panelists

Rajiv P. Patel, Fenwick & West LLP, Mountain View, CA Hon. James Smith, U.S. Patent and Trademark Office, Alexandria. VA

Robert Greene Sterne, Sterne, Kessler, Goldstein & Fox, Washington, DC

11:45 a.m. .75 hr

#### Patentable Subject Matter

An analysis of how *Prometheus* will affect personalized medicine and the *Myriad* case, the implications of *Prometheus* for software patents, and where Section 101 considerations fit in the prosecution and litigation process.

#### Moderator:

Edward R. Reines, Weil, Gotshal & Manges LLP, Redwood City, CA

#### Panelists:

Daralyn J. Durie, Durie Tangri LLP, San Francisco, CA Lee Van Pelt, Van Pelt, Yi & James LLP, Cupertino, CA

12:30 p.m. Break to Pick Up Lunch

#### THURSDAY AFTERNOON

#### **Presiding Officer:**

James Pampinella, Navigant Consulting, Inc., San Francisco, CA

## THANK YOU TO OUR LUNCHEON SPONSOR



#### LUNCHEON PRESENTATION

12:45 p.m.

1.00 hr

#### Essential Questions About Standard-Essential Patents

Patents that are considered essential to industry standards raise special concerns. A look at several issues that have been the subject of recent debate in legislative and administrative bodies and litigation in the courts such as proving—and defending against—claims of infringement, the duty of disclosure to standards bodies and when the duty arises, the duty to license on reasonable and non-discriminatory terms and related disagreements about the availability of injunctive relief, and transfers of standard-essential patents which can involve questions of both contract and competition law.

#### Moderator:

Stanley Young, Covington & Burling LLP, Redwood City, CA

#### Panelists:

Matthew Bye, Google Inc., Mountain View, CA Mark D. Flanagan, Wilmer Cutler Pickering Hale and Dorr LLP, Palo Alto, CA

Andrew N. Thomases, Skadden, Arps, Slate, Meagher & Flom LLP, Palo Alto, CA

1:45 p.m. Break

2:00 p.m.

.50 hr ethics

#### Privilege: Don't Believe Everything You Think

Recent decisions on various types of privilege have surprised many practitioners. An analysis of several fluid areas of privilege law, such as whether mediation or settlement privilege exist, how (not) to establish a relationship with a third party that supports the attorney-client privilege, and privilege surprises when you leave the cozy confines of the United States.

Karen Boyd, Turner Boyd LLP, Mountain View, CA

#### What is That Patent Really Worth? Courts Take a Hard Look at the "Reasonable Royalty" Calculation

The Federal Circuit and district courts have recently begun requiring experts to link reasonable royalty calculations to real-world facts related to the specific invention—e.g. Apple v. Motorola. Courts are also taking a close look at what facts are admitted into evidence, excluding sales data (Uniloc) and license data (ResQnet) that were employed erroneously to "drive up" the royalty rate. These cases do not always make clear whether it is the expert's method or data that should be excluded. The need for better methods and better data is especially strong in the case of complex products, which may embody hundreds of patents. An examination and discussion of recent cases and new economic methods that hold promise for satisfying the new standards that have emerged in them.

#### Moderator:

Julie M. Holloway, Latham & Watkins LLP, San Francisco, CA

#### Panelists:

Eugene M. Paige, Keker & Van Nest LLP, San Francisco, CA Jonathan D. Putnam, Competition Dynamics, Boston, MA

3:30 p.m.

Break

3:45 p.m.

.75 hr

#### Managing Patent Cases in the Era of Misjoinder, Multidistrict Litigation, and Multinational Litigation

A discussion of the latest developments in management of multiple lawsuits involving the same patent or set of patents, the growth of multidistrict litigation as an option in multidefendant cases, the influence of AIA as well as In re EMC on such cases, the developments of Rule 42 consolidation after misjoinder under Rule 20 is found and the challenges of coordinating domestic and international litigation.

David S. Bloch, Winston & Strawn LLP, San Francisco, CA

I. Neel Chatterjee, Orrick, Herrington & Sutcliffe LLP, Menlo Park, CA

#### 4:30 p.m. 1.00 hr including .50 hr ethics

#### **Judicial Panel**

Leading District Court judges discuss cutting-edge patent litigation issues.

Moderator:

Katherine Kelly Lutton, Fish & Richardson P.C., Redwood City, CA

Panelists:

Hon. J. Rodney Gilstrap, U.S. District Court, Eastern District of Texas, Marshall, TX Hon. Roy Payne, U.S. District Court, Eastern District of Texas, Marshall, TX Hon. Ronald M. Whyte, U.S. District Court,

Northern District of California, San Jose, CA

5:30 p.m. Adjourn

#### FRIDAY MORNING, DEC. 7, 2012

#### Presiding Officer: Ron Laurie, Palo Alto, CA

8:00 a.m. **Conference Room Opens** Includes continental breakfast.

8.30 a m .50 hr

#### **Functional Claiming**

Software patents are often claimed in functional terms, even if they don't use means-plus-function language. An in-depth look at the perils—and promise—of functional claiming in software.

Mark A. Lemley, Stanford Law School, Stanford, CA

9:00 a.m. 1.00 hr

#### **Coordinating International Litigation:** Focus on German Patent Enforcement

An overview of international patent litigation that focuses on German patent litigation and the Pan-European perspective such as the smartphone wars and what drives litigations in certain forums and countries. Learn strategies for handling substantive legal differences and comparing limits on discovery, duration of trials, bifurcation of issues, arbitration and mediation and remedies.

#### Moderator:

Yar R. Chaikovsky, McDermott Will & Emery, Menlo Park, CA

Tim Crean, SAP AG, Palo Alto, CA Alexander Harguth, McDermott Will & Emery, München, Germany Ari Laakkonen, Powell Gilbert LLP, London, United Kingdom

10:00 a.m.

1.00 hr 10:15 a.m.

Break

#### Strategic Portfolio Management

Experts in strategic portfolio management discuss key issues and insightful thinking processes involved in adapting to sweeping AIA changes and critical case law, the increasing internationalization of patent activity and the increasing "make-versusbuy" trade-offs of the patent marketplace. Learn how to keep up with accelerating technological change and the pace of R&D, and how to address constant management and budget challenges.

Christopher J. Byrne, Tessera Technologies, Inc., San Jose, CA

T.J. Angioletti, Netflix, Inc., Los Gatos, CA Sandeep Jaggi, Intermolecular, Inc., San Jose, CA Soonhee Jang (Invited), DuPont Industrial Biosciences, Cupertino, CA

#### **Emerging Developments at the ITC: Domestic Industry and Remedies**

The International Trade Commission's surge in popularity continues to make it a favored forum for bringing patent disputes. Over the past year, Congress and the Commission have closely considered who should be allowed to bring a patent case and what remedies the ITC should award. Evolving domestic industry requirements for obtaining relief in ITC cases along with emerging ITC remedies issues are reviewed from a policy and legal standpoint. Hear a Q&A discussion of the substantive law, developments in the ITC's Rules and Procedures and the politics of the ITC.

Eric R. Lamison, Kirkland & Ellis LLP, San Francisco, CA Colleen Chien, Santa Clara University, Santa Clara, CA

12:00 p.m.

11:15 a.m.

Break to Pick Up Lunch

#### **FRIDAY AFTERNOON**

#### Presiding Officer:

Vernon M. Winters, Sidley Austin LLP, San Francisco, CA

#### THANK YOU TO OUR **LUNCHEON SPONSOR**



#### LUNCHEON PRESENTATION

12:20 p.m.

.75 hr

#### New Approaches to Patent Strategy and to **Dealing with Inventors**

A look at new ways of dealing with innovators and innovation, including strategies that may foster invention disclosures and curb offensive patent litigation. The panel discusses the merits of Twitter's proposed patent assignment—the Innovator's Patent Agreement (IPA)—that allows inventors to limit the offensive use of their patents, and the Defensive Patent License (DPL) that protects innovators by networking patents into mutually beneficial legal shields. The interplay of these strategies with recent USPTO rule changes to implement the Inventor's Oath or Declaration provisions of the America Invents Act is addressed.

Bradley Baugh, North Weber & Baugh LLP, Palo Alto, CA

Benjamin Lee, Twitter, Inc., San Francisco, CA Jennifer M. Urban, UC Berkeley School of Law, Berkeley, CA

1:05 p.m.

Break

1:15 p.m. .50 hr

#### Joint/Divided Infringement

After en banc rehearing by a divided court, the Federal Circuit, in Akamai and McKesson, serves up new indirect infringement doctrines that obviate requirements for predicate direct infringement liability in 271(b) inducement cases. How will the new doctrines affect claiming strategies and infringement litigation? Will the doctrines survive scrutiny of the Supreme Court?

David W. O'Brien, Zagorin O'Brien Graham LLP, Austin, TX

#### **ABOUT THE COVER**

Double Track, collage on board 15" x 20-3/4" is by Lance Letscher. Courtesy of d berman gallery, www.dbermangallery.com

1:45 p.m.

.50 hr ethics

## Inequitable Conduct after Therasense:

A look at how the law of inequitable conduct has been reconfigured by the Federal Circuit's 2011 decision in Therasense and how courts analyze the requirement of "but-for" materiality. What does it mean to have acted with "intent to deceive" in a hypothetical, but-for world? The interplay between the new law of inequitable conduct and related doctrines, such as Walker Process antitrust claims, is discussed along with the PTO response to Therasense, including the revisions to PTO Rule 56(a).

Robert J. Goldman, Ropes & Gray, LLP, East Palo Alto, CA

2:15 p.m. .50 hr

#### Inducement

Hot topics in inducement law, including divided infringement scenarios after Akamai, the interplay between inducement's intent requirement and willfulness, and the risks and rewards of opinion letters in combating inducement allegations.

Jeffrey G. Homrig, Kasowitz, Benson, Torres & Friedman LLP, Redwood Shores, CA

2:45 p.m.

.50 hr

#### To Plead or Not to Plead

A look at the murky landscape of pleading standards for infringement and invalidity between Twombly/Iqbal, Form 18 and all of the varying court opinions in between.

Jeannine Yoo Sano, White & Case LLP, Palo Alto, CA Bijal V. Vakil, White & Case LLP, Palo Alto, CA

3:15 p.m.

Adjourn

#### CONFERENCE FACULTY AND PLANNING COMMITTEE

ROBERT BARR-CO-CHAIR\* Berkeley Center for Law & Technology Berkeley, CA

MARK A. LEMLEY—CO-CHAIR\* Stanford Law School Stanford, CA

T.J. ANGIOLETTI Netflix, Inc. Los Gatos, CA

**BRADLEY BAUGH\*** North Weber & Baugh LLP Palo Alto, CA

DAVID'S BLOCH\* Winston & Strawn LLP San Francisco, CA

KAREN BOYD\* Turner Boyd LLP Mountain View, CA

MATTHEW BYE Google Inc. Mountain View, CA

CHRISTOPHER J. BYRNE\* Tessera Technologies, Inc. San Jose, CA

YAR R. CHAIKOVSKY\* McDermott Will & Emery Menlo Park, CA

I. NEEL CHATTERJEE\* Orrick, Herrington & Sutcliffe LLP Menlo Park, CA

**COLLEEN CHIEN\*** Santa Clara University Santa Clara, CA

TIM CREAN SAP AG Palo Alto, CA

DARALYN J. DURIE\* Durie Tangri LLP San Francisco, CA

MICHAEL W. FARN\* Fenwick & West LLP Mountain View, CA

MARK D. FLANAGAN\*

Wilmer Cutler Pickering Hale and Dorr LLP Palo Alto, CA

WILLIAM S. GALLIANI\* Cooley LLP Palo Alto, CA

HON. J. RODNEY GILSTRAP U.S. District Court, Eastern District of Texas Marshall, TX

ROBERT J. GOLDMAN\* Ropes & Gray, LLF East Palo Alto, CA

ALEXANDER HARGUTH McDermott Will & Emery München, Germany

JULIE M. HOLLOWAY<sup>3</sup> Latham & Watkins LLP San Francisco, CA

JEFFREY G. HOMRIG\* Kasowitz, Benson, Torres & Friedman LLP Redwood Shores, CA

KENNETH KOREA<sup>\*</sup> Samsung Information Systems America

SANDEEP JAGGI Intermolecular, Inc. San Jose, CA

San Jose, CA

SOONHEE JANG [INVITED] DuPont Industrial Biosciences Cupertino, CA

ARI I AAKKONEN Powell Gilbert LLP London, United Kingdom

FRIC R LAMISON\* Kirkland & Ellis LLP San Francisco, CA

DAN H. LANG\* Cisco Systems, Inc. San Jose, CA

**RON LAURIE\*** Inflexion Point Strategy, LLC Palo Alto, CA

**BENJAMIN LEE** Twitter, Inc. San Francisco, CA

KATHERINE KELLY LUTTON\* Fish & Richardson P.C. Redwood City, CA

DAVID L. MCCOMBS\* Haynes and Boone, LLP Dallas, TX

DAVID W. O'BRIEN Zagorin O'Brien Graham LLP Austin, TX

EUGENE M. PAIGE Keker & Van Nest LLP San Francisco, CA

CHRISTOPHER J. PALERMO\* Hickman Palermo Truong & Becker LLP San Jose, CA

RAJIV P. PATEL\* Fenwick & West LLP Mountain View, CA

HON. ROY PAYNE U.S. District Court, Eastern District of Texas FRIK R PUKNYS

Finnegan, Henderson, Farabow, Garrett & Dunner, LLP Palo Alto, CA

JONATHAN D. PUTNAM Competition Dynamics

Boston, MA EDWARD R. REINES\* Weil, Gotshal & Manges LLP Redwood City, CA

JEANNINE YOO SANO\* White & Case LLP Palo Alto, CA

DAVID M. SIMON\* Santa Clara, CA

HON. JAMES SMITH U.S. Patent and Trademark Office Alexandria, VA

ROBERT GREENE STERNE Sterne, Kessler, Goldstein & Fox Washington, DC

RAGESH K. TANGRI Durie Tangri LLP San Francisco, CA

ANDREW N. THOMASES\* Skadden, Arps, Slate, Meagher & Flom LLP Palo Alto, CA

JENNIFER M. URBAN UC Berkeley School of Law Berkeley, CA

BIJAL V. VAKIL White & Case LLP Palo Alto, CA

LEE VAN PELT\* Van Pelt, Yi & James LLP Cupertino, CA

R. POLK WAGNER

University of Pennsylvania School of Law Philadelphia, PA

HON. RONALD M. WHYTE

U.S. District Court, Northern District of California San Jose, CA

**RPX** Corporation San Francisco, CA STANLEY YOUNG\* Covington & Burling LLP Redwood City, CA

<sup>\*</sup> Planning Committee member

#### **HOW TO REGISTER**

# Register online: www.utcle.org

#### Or mail your registration to:

The University of Texas School of Law Attn. Registration P.O. Box 7759 Austin, TX 78713-7759

**Or fax to:** 512-475-6876

Questions? Call us at 512-475-6700

# MATERIALS, AUDIO AND IN-HOUSE CLE

#### Printed Materials in Course Binder

Leading practitioners and academics provide the definitive set of materials.

#### eBinder on CD

Add an eBinder on CD to your course registration or materials purchase for only \$50. This electronic file includes a searchable, indexed PDF of all printed materials. Allow 3-5 weeks from the conference date for fulfillment.

# Audio MP3 Speeches on CD or Audio CD Set

Listen to Advanced Patent Law Institute on the go. Informative, topical and entertaining presentations recorded from UT Law's other live CLE programs are also available.

#### In-House CLE

CLE for two or more participants—as many as you want. Receive full MCLE credit. We will accredit this conference for you and provide one Audio CD Set plus a Course Binder for each participant.

REGISTRATIO	DN FORI	М	
Mail this registration form to: The University of Texas School of Law, Attn. Regi P.O. Box 7759, Austin, TX 78713-7759 or fax a co		475-6876	PJ12
PLEASE PRINT CLEARLY			
Bar Card#	TX	Other State:	N/A
Name [ Mr. / Ms. ]			
Firm			
Address			
City	State	Zip	
Telephone			
Registrant's Email (required)			
Assistant's Email (optional)			
Invoices, confirmations and receipts are emailed to these addresses.			
GREEN REGISTRATION Includes Electronic Course Binder on USB Key ONL	Y, Thursday	and Friday Luncheon P	Presentations
☐ Early Registration Fee due by Wednesday, Nov	ember 28	-	\$770
☐ Registration Fee after Wednesday, Novemb	oer 28		\$820
STANDARD REGISTRATION Includes Printed Course Binder ONLY, Thursday and	d Friday Lui	ncheon Presentations	
☐ Early Registration Fee due by Wednesday, Nov	ember 28 .		\$775
Registration Fee after Wednesday, Novemb	oer 28		\$825
CONFERENCE PUBLICATIONS AND MEDIA			
Allow 3–5 weeks from the conference date for delivery.			
☐ Course Binder WITHOUT Conference Registrat Note: Conference Registration includes Course Binder.	ion		\$275
☐ Audio MP3 Speeches on CD			\$175
☐ Audio CD Set			\$230
eBinder on CD (PDF format)(\$275 purchased alone, \$50 with Registration or purchase of 0			
IN-HOUSE CLE: Bring the conference in-house and	learn at yo	ur convenience.	
Allow 3–5 weeks from the conference date for delivery.	_		
☐ In-House CLE for 2—Includes Audio CD Set an	d Course B	inders	\$995
Add participant (includes Course Binder	r) for \$275 e	ach	\$
	TC	OTAL ENCLOSED	\$
METHOD OF PAYMENT			
☐ Check (make checks payable to: The University of Texas	at Austin)		
☐ VISA or ☐ MasterCard (sorry, no AMEX or Discover)			
Card number			
<b>~</b>			
Authorized Signature		Exp.	Date (mm/yy)



The University of Texas at Austin THE UNIVERSITY OF TEXAS SCHOOL OF LAW P.O. Box 7759 • Austin, TX 78713-7759

This program is not printed or mailed at state expense.

#### 13TH ANNUAL SILICON VALLEY

## ADVANCED PATENT LAW INSTITUTE

December 6–7, 2012 • Four Seasons Hotel • Palo Alto, California

NON-PROFIT-ORG
U.S. Postage
PAID
U T School of Law

Email

service@utcle.org

Call

512-475-6700

Visit

www.utcle.org

for more information

#### PALO ALTO

December 6-7, 2012

#### **CONFERENCE LOCATION**



#### Four Seasons Hotel Silicon Valley

2050 University Avenue East Palo Alto, CA 94303 650-566-1200

#### Special Room Rate: \$275

good through November 5, 2012 (subject to availability)

#### Parking:

Daily complimentary self-parking, \$12 Valet Overnight \$12 self-parking, \$22 Valet

#### **KEY DATES**

November 28, 2012

last day for early registration add \$50 for registrations received after this time

November 30, 2012

last day for full refund

December 3, 2012

last day for partial refund \$50 processing fee applied

December 6, 2012, 8:20 a.m.

Institute begins

## THANK YOU TO OUR SPONSORS

#### **MAJOR SPONSOR**

## Navigant Consulting, Inc.

www.navigant.com

#### **LUNCHEON SPONSORS**

#### Hickman Palermo Truong Becker Bingham Wong LLP

www.hickmanpalermo.com

### Inflexion Point Strategy, LLC

www.ip-strategy.com

#### **SPONSORS**

#### **Covington & Burling LLP**

www.cov.com

Finnegan, Henderson, Farabow, Garrett & Dunner, LLP

www.finnegan.com

### Haynes and Boone, LLP

www.haynesboone.com

#### Latham & Watkins LLP

www.lw.com

### Wilmer Cutler Pickering Hale and Dorr LLP

www.wilmerhale.com

M C L E This course has been approved for Minimum Continuing Legal Education credit by the State Bar of Texas Committee on MCLE in the amount of 14.00 hours, of which 2.00 credit hours will apply to legal ethics/professional responsibility credit. The University of Texas School of Law is a State Bar of California approved MCLE provider (#1944), and an Oklahoma Bar Association MCLE presumptively-approved provider (#169).