

## $13^{\text{th}}$ ANNUAL

# ADVANCED PATENT LAW INSTITUTE

Earn up to 14.25 Hours of Credit Including 2.75 Hours of Ethics Credit



October 30-31, 2008 Four Seasons Hotel Austin, Texas

Major Sponsorship Provided by



## $13^{\text{TH}}$ ANNUAL

## ADVANCED PATENT LAW INSTITUTE

October 30-31, 2008 • Four Seasons Hotel • Austin, Texas

Earn up to 14.25 Hours of Credit Including 2.75 Hours of Ethics Credit

### THURSDAY MORNING, OCT. 30, 2008

### Presiding Officer: Robert L. King,

Freescale Semiconductor, Inc., Austin, TX

8:00 a.m. Registration Opens

8:50 a.m.

Includes continental breakfast.

8:45 a.m.	Welcoming Remarks

1.00 hr

.67 hr

### Disasters in Litigation: What Patent Prosecutors Could Have Done to Prevent the Carnage

Ten case histories, with disastrous results for the patentee, will be discussed. In each instance there will be suggestions as to what the patent prosecutor could have done to avoid the bad result.

Richard A. Killworth, Dinsmore & Shohl, LLP, Dayton, OH

Dale S. Lazar, DLA Piper, Reston, VA

### 9:50 a.m.

### Developments in Claims Construction

With apparent claim construction peace declared between the Federal Circuit and the Supreme Court, the Federal Circuit has dug in and potentially opened a new front in its O2 decision. Other oldfavorite construction rules and trends have gone off on new loops and tangents as well. These new fronts and trends will be discussed, how to handle them in Markman constructions will be explored, and the new pitfalls and "gotchas" will be flagged.

Kenneth R. Adamo, Jones Day, Dallas, TX

10:30 a.m.	Break	
10·45 a m		50 hr

### 10:45 a.m.

## Patent Exhaustion After *Quanta*: Impact on Litigation and Licensing

The Supreme Court's recent decision in *Quanta* redefines an area of patent law that had been subject to considerable confusion for many years. The Court confirmed that so-called "combination" or "system" claims can be exhausted by the sale of a component "substantially embodying" the patented invention. The Court also reversed decades-old Federal Circuit precedent in holding that method claims are subject to the same exhaustion rules as apparatus claims. At the same time, the Court left open the extent to which application of the exhaustion doctrine may be contractually limited. This session explores the impact of *Quanta* on patent litigation and licensing, including an analysis of the Court's test for exhaustion and the possible extension of *Quanta* to attempted contractual restrictions.

Garland T. Stephens, Weil, Gotshal & Manges LLP, Houston, TX

### 11:15 a.m.

## Obviousness After *KSR*: Litigation and Prosecution

A discussion about how KSR has changed patent infringement litigation and patent prosecution, including a review of cases citing KSR, jury charges on obviousness post-KSR, trial strategies, examiner arguments and rejections, and prosecution strategies.

Tom Adolph, Jackson Walker LLP, Houston, TX

Stephen P. Koch, ExxonMobil Upstream Research Company, Houston, TX

### THURSDAY AFTERNOON

Presiding Officer: Amber Hatfield Rovner, Weil, Gotshal & Manges LLP, Austin, TX

> LUNCHEON PRESENTATION Sponsored by Fulbright & Jaworski L.L.P. Weil, Gotshal & Manges LLP

12:00 p.m.Pick up LunchIncluded in conference registration.

12:15 p.m.

## Jury-Oriented Patent Prosecution and Presentation

Ideas, strategies and tips for the patent prosecutor and litigator, with the end goal being an understandable patent and/or jury presentation.

Moderator: Brett C. Govett, Fulbright & Jaworski L.L.P., Dallas, TX

George Speckart, Ph.D., Courtroom Sciences, Inc., Irving, TX

Andrew M. Spingler, The Focal Point, Santa Fe, NM

James B. Stiff, Ph.D., Trial Analysts, Inc., College Station, TX

Break

1:00 p.m.

### 1:15 p.m.

.75 hr

### Patent Quality Checklist: In-House Counsel's Perspective for Ensuring Successful Licensing

A patent is crafted years before it is asserted. The decision whether, when, how, and where to license a patent depends on any number of business and legal considerations, but it almost always begins with the patent itself. Is your patent ready to take to market? We will discuss what to do before filing and during prosecution to ensure that the finished product—the issued patent or family of patents—is indeed ready. We will also consider how to evaluate issued patents to determine their suitability for licensing.

Alexander E. Silverman, AT&T Mobility, Redmond, WA

### 1:45 p.m.

### .50 hr

.50 hr

### Forum Selection and Local Rules

A survey of popular districts for patent litigation, comparing local patent rules, time to trial and other factors relevant to forum selection.

Craig W. Weinlein, Carrington, Coleman, Sloman & Blumenthal, L.L.P., Dallas, TX

### 2:15 p.m.

.75 hr including .25 hr ethics

### Top 10 Do's and Don'ts for Patent Trial Practice

The ever increasing complexities of patent litigation come to a head at trial, where the case must be boiled down to sell it to a lay jury. This session will explore what to do, and what not to do, to successfully try a patent case to a jury and for appeal, and to properly work up the case in discovery and pretrial. Plaintiff's and defendant's perspectives, as well as ethical issues, will be considered.

Michael D. Pegues, Bracewell & Giuliani LLP, Dallas, TX

Steven J. Pollinger, McKool Smith, P.C., Austin, TX

### 3:00 p.m. Break

### 3:15 p.m.

.75 hr

.50 hr

### Indirect and Divided Infringement

Few recent cases addressing the law of infringement have been as significant as the Federal Circuit's decisions in *DSU Medical Corp. v. JMS Co., Ltd.,* 471 F.3d 1293 (Fed. Cir. 2006)(en banc) (concerning induced infringement) and *BMC Resources, Inc. v. Paymentech, L.P.,* 498 F.3d 1373 (Fed. Cir. 2007) (concerning divided infringement). This presentation analyzes how the lower courts have responded to those decisions and provides practical advice on how to avoid the pitfalls of those cases for practitioners drafting and prosecuting patent applications.

Erik R. Puknys, Finnegan, Henderson, Farabow, Garrett & Dunner, LLP, Palo Alto, CA

### 3:45 p.m.

### When to Hold, When to Fold: Litigation Judgment and Settlement Strategies in Patent Cases

Deciding when and how to settle patent cases, and which cases to take to trial, is a critical business decision that requires not only an assessment of the risks and benefits in a specific case but also a strategic sense of the long-term interests of the company. This panel of in-house counsel will discuss their experiences and their lessons learned about what works and what doesn't work in making these decisions.

Moderator: Robert Barr, Berkeley Center for Law and Technology, Berkeley, CA

Richard 'Chip' J. Lutton Jr., Apple Computer, Inc., Cupertino, CA

Mark Patrick, Texas Instruments, Dallas, TX

Jennifer B. Wugmett, Freescale Semiconductor Inc., Austin, TX

### 4:30 p.m. 1.00 hr including .25 hr ethics

### **Judicial Panel**

In a time of an evolving patent landscape and increased activity in the Supreme Court, legislature and Patent and Trademark Office, a panel of distinguished District Court Judges discuss their experiences with, and thoughts on, managing, hearing and trying patent cases.

Moderator: Katherine Kelly Lutton, Fish & Richardson P.C., Redwood City, CA

Hon. David J. Folsom, U.S. District Court, Eastern District of Texas, Texarkana, TX

Hon. T. John Ward, U.S. District Court, Eastern District of Texas, Marshall, TX

Hon. Lee Yeakel, U.S. District Court, Western District of Texas, Austin, TX

5:30 p.m.

Adjourn

### FRIDAY MORNING, OCT. 31, 2008

Presiding Officer: Darryl J. Adams, Dewey & LeBoeuf LLP, Austin, TX

### 8:30 a.m.

### Patent Reexamination Nuts and Bolts

Patent reexaminations, especially inter partes reexaminations, have increasingly become arrows in the quivers of a patent litigator's arsenal that are used to defend allegations of infringement. This presentation will discuss reexamination strategies as well as the nuts and bolts of a successful reexamination request.

A check list of must's and should's will be provided.

Wei Wei Jeang, Haynes and Boone, LLP, Richardson, TX

### 9:00 a.m.

.75 hr

### New USPTO Appeal Rules

Effective December 8, 2008, the Board of Appeals and Interferences will be operating under a new rule package which is intended to streamline the process and address the increasing backlog of appeals. This presentation will address which rules have changed, what are the best strategies for dealing with the new rule package, and whether the new rules represent progress or merely movement.

Andrew J. Dillon, Dillon & Yudell LLP, Austin, TX

### 9:30 a.m.

### Industry Standards Impact on How Companies Do Business: SDO's, Essential Patents, Antitrust and Contractual **Challenges in Technology Markets**

Companies designing, developing, selling and/ or purchasing products for markets that require compliance with industry standards, face uniquely challenging IP and business issues due to the convergence of patent, antitrust, and contractual laws and obligations that are complex and often conflict with business goals. Recent decisions such as the Rambus Federal Circuit decision and the Third Circuit's Broadcomm v Qualcomm decision, as well as ongoing EU investigations of Qualcomm and Rambus highlight how vastly differing interpretations of these laws can have profound business and market implications. This panel of outside counsel and in-house counsel will discuss how companies can better understand and reconcile these laws and obligations in a way that balances the risks and rewards of competing and participating in these industry compliant markets.

Tim Carlson, Texas Instruments, Germantown, MD

David J. Healey, Weil, Gotshal & Manges, LLP, Houston, TX

### 10:15 a.m.

10:30 a.m.

### After eBay: Post-verdict Remedies and **ITC Orders**

Break

The Supreme Court's eBay v. MercExchange decision changed the rules for injunctive relief and will likely have a substantial influence on the remedies available to patent owners. What happens when there's a finding of infringement but a denial of an injunction? What post-verdict remedies remain available and when do they apply? The session will focus on alternative remedies, such as post-verdict royalties and ITC orders and their potential application.

Brent K. Bersin, Navigant Consulting, Inc., Houston, TX

Steven R. Borgman, Vinson & Elkins, L.L.P., Houston, TX

### 11:00 a.m.

### The Proper Scope of the Experimental Use Doctrine In View of Pfaff

After the Supreme Court's decision in Pfaff v. Wells Electronics redefined the scope of the onsale bar, the Federal Circuit has struggled to find the proper scope of the experimental use negation of the on-sale bar. The Federal Circuit has recognized that its caselaw is confusing in this area and has explicitly questioned whether its precedent is consistent with Pfaff. This presentation will examine the current scope of the experimental use doctrine and the proper scope of the doctrine in view of Pfaff.

Darryl J. Adams, Dewey & LeBoeuf LLP, Austin, TX

### 11:30 a.m.

.50 hr

.75 hr ethics

### The Shocking Truth About Patent Litigation: Data From the IP Litigation Clearinghouse

Professor Lemley will present preliminary findings from the most comprehensive collection of patent litigation data in the country, including the real truth about how many patent trolls are out there, whether defendants copy inventions, and the best district to get your patent case to trial (hint: it's NOT the Eastern District of Texas).

Mark A. Lemley, Stanford Law School, Stanford, CA

### FRIDAY AFTERNOON

Presiding Officer: David W. O'Brien, Zagorin O'Brien & Graham LLP, Austin, TX

### LUNCHEON PRESENTATION Sponsored by Jones Day

12:00 p.m. Pick up Lunch Included in conference registration.

### 12:15 p.m.

1:15 p.m.

### **Top 10 Prosecution Ethics Issues**

Malpractice and conflict of interest claims based upon patent prosecution are growing in number and severity of settlement. This session will address ten issues that should be of concern to patent practitioners.

David Hricik, Mercer University School of Law, Macon, GA

1:15 p.m.		50 hr
1:00 p.m.	Break	

### Strategic Patent Monetization: Brokers and Auctions Historically, the intellectual property market

.50 hr

.50 hr

.75 hr

.50 hr

has been insulated as transactions were largely conducted in private and, thus, transaction prices and other details were not disclosed. The emergence of live auctions have changed this as sellers and buyers now have access to an increasing amount of comparable data as well as expanded knowledge of available assets. However, depending upon specific seller requirements, a private auction or sale may be the better option. During this session, the speaker will discuss current trends, pros and cons of various transaction platforms and common challenges associated with each type.

Michael James Lasinski, Ocean Tomo, Chicago, IL

### 1:45 p.m.

### .50 hr

### Section 101

The presentation discusses recent changes and updates by the U.S. Supreme Court, the Federal Circuit, District Courts and the USPTO to the understanding of statutory subject matter. It further examines what changes might be expected over the coming year.

Michael G. Locklar, Jackson Walker L.L.P., Houston, TX

### 2:15 p.m.

.50 hr ethics

Joint Defense Strategies and Agreements

The number of patent cases being filed against groups of unrelated defendants is on the rise. As a result, the number of joint defense groups being formed is also on the rise and issues surrounding joint defense groups are many. This presentation will include a discussion of waiver, conflicts and strategic considerations involving management of the case and the group.

Hilda C. Galvan, Jones Day, Dallas, TX

### 2:45 p.m.

### .50 hr ethics

### Patent Malpractice Claims

A discussion of the increasing number of malpractice actions brought against individual practitioners and firms for mistakes in prosecuting applications and dealing with other patent related issues.

James B. Gambrell, Hunt, TX

### 3:15 p.m.

.50 hr ethics

### **E-Discovery and Spoliation**

Key issues and case law updates of interest to all practitioners will be discussed.

Barry K. Shelton, Fish & Richardson P.C., Austin, TX

Adjourn

3:45 p.m.

\*planning committee members

KENNETH R. ADAMO

DARRYL J. ADAMS\*

Dewey & LeBoeuf LLP

Jones Dav

Dallas, TX

Austin, TX

TOM ADOLPH\* Jackson Walker LLP Houston, TX

ROBERT BARR Berkeley Center for Law and Technology Berkeley, CA

BRENT K. BERSIN\* Navigant Consulting, Inc. Houston, TX

STEVEN R. BORGMAN\* Vinson & Elkins, L.L.P. Houston, TX

TIM CARLSON Texas Instruments Germantown, MD

ANDREW J. DILLON\* Dillon & Yudell LLP Austin, TX

KIRBY B. DRAKE\* Fulbright & Jaworski L.L.P. Dallas, TX

MICHAEL J. ESPOSITO\* The University of Texas School of Law Austin, TX

JOHN D. FLYNN\* IBM Corporation Austin, TX

HON. DAVID J. FOLSOM U.S. District Court, Eastern District of Texas Texarkana, TX

HILDA C. GALVAN Jones Day Dallas, TX

JAMES B. GAMBRELL\* Hunt, TX

JOHN M. GOLDEN\* The University of Texas School of Law Austin, TX

BRETT C. GOVETT\* Fulbright & Jaworski L.L.P. Dallas, TX

GARY W. HAMILTON\* Hamilton & Terrile, LLP Austin, TX

DAVID J. HEALEY\* Weil, Gotshal & Manges, LLP Houston, TX

### CONFERENCE FACULTY AND PLANNING COMMITTEE

DAVID HRICIK Mercer University School of Law Macon, GA

WEI WEI JEANG\* Haynes and Boone, LLP Richardson, TX

RICHARD A. KILLWORTH Dinsmore & Shohl, LLP Dayton, OH

ALBERT B. KIMBALL JR.\* Bracewell & Giuliani, LLP Houston, TX

ROBERT L. KING—CO-CHAIR\* Freescale Semiconductor, Inc. Austin, TX

STEPHEN P. KOCH ExxonMobil Upstream Research Company Houston, TX

WILLIAM L. LAFUZE\* Vinson & Elkins LLP Houston, TX

MICHAEL JAMES LASINSKI Ocean Tomo Chicago, IL

DALE S. LAZAR DLA Piper Reston, VA

MARK A. LEMLEY\* Stanford Law School Stanford, CA

MICHAEL G. LOCKLAR Jackson Walker L.L.P. Houston, TX

KATHERINE KELLY LUTTON Fish & Richardson P.C. Redwood City, CA

RICHARD `CHIP' J. LUTTON JR. Apple Computer, Inc. Cupertino, CA

ERIC L. NATINSKY\* Wilson Sonsini Goodrich & Rosati Austin, TX

DAVID W. O'BRIEN\* Zagorin O'Brien & Graham LLP Austin, TX

MARK PATRICK\* Texas Instruments Dallas, TX

MICHAEL D. PEGUES Bracewell & Giuliani LLP Dallas, TX

STEVEN J. POLLINGER McKool Smith, P.C. Austin, TX

	REGISTRATION FOR PIO	8	
ERIK R. PUKNYS Finnegan, Henderson, Farabow, Garrett & Dunner, LLP Palo Alto, CA LOUIS A. RILEY*	Mail this registration form to: The University of Texas School of Law, attn. PT08 P.O. Box 7759, Austin, TX 78713-7759 or fax a copy to: (512) 475-6876 Online registration available at www.utcle.org		
Austin, TX			
AMBER HATFIELD ROVNER—CO-CHAIR* Weil, Gotshal & Manges LLP Austin, TX	Bar Card# D TX D Other Stat	e: 🗋 N/A	
BARRY K. SHELTON Fish & Richardson P.C. Austin, TX	Name [ Mr. / Ms. ]		
ALEXANDER E. SILVERMAN AT&T Mobility Redmond, WA	Address State Zip		
GEORGE SPECKART, PH.D. Courtroom Sciences, Inc. Irving, TX	Telephone Fax Fax		
ANDREW M. SPINGLER The Focal Point Santa Fe, NM	Assistant's Email <b>(optional)</b> Invoices, confirmations, and receipts are ema		
GARLAND T. STEPHENS Weil, Gotshal & Manges LLP Houston, TX	<b>REGISTRATION</b> Includes Course Binder and Box Lunch Presentations		
JAMES B. STIFF, PH.D. Trial Analysts, Inc. College Station, TX	<ul> <li>Early Registration Fee due by Wed., Oct. 22, 2008</li> <li>Registration Fee after Wed., Oct. 22, 2008</li> </ul>		
ROBERT W. TURNER* Jones Day Dallas, TX HON. T. JOHN WARD U.S. District Court, Eastern District of Texas	CONFERENCE PUBLICATIONS AND MEDIA Allow 2-4 weeks from the conference date for delivery.		
Marshall, TX SHIRLEY WEBSTER*	Course Binder WITHOUT Conference Registration		
CRA International Houston, TX	eBinder on CD (PDF format)		
CRAIG W. WEINLEIN* Carrington, Coleman, Sloman & Blumenthal, L.L.P. Dallas, TX WILLIAM D. WIESE* Dubois, Bryant & Campbell, LLP Austin, TX JAMES D. WOODS* Grant Thornton LLP	IN-HOUSE CLE: Bring the conference in-house and learn at your Allow 2-4 weeks from the conference date for delivery. In-House CLE for 2—Includes Audio CD Set and Course Binde Add participants (includes Course Binder) for \$225 each	r \$750.00	
Houston, TX JENNIFER B. WUAMETT Freescale Semiconductor, Inc. Austin, TX	UTCLE eLibrary: Papers, PPTs, and Podcasts in 40 practice areas One-year subscription for UTCLE eLibrary		
HON. LEE YEAKEL U.S. District Court, Western District of Texas Austin, TX	TOTAL ENCLOSED		
		X	
THANK YOU TO OUR LUNCHEON SPONSORS Fulbright & Jaworski L.L.P.	Check (make checks payable to: The University of Texas at Austi VISA or Mastercard (sorry, no AMEX or Disc Card #		
Jones Day Weil, Gotshal & Manges LLP	X Authorized Signature	Exp. Date (mm/yy)	

Authorized	Signature
runnonzou	Signature

.

• • • Phone: 512-475-6700 Online: www.utcle.org

## AUSTIN

October 30-31, 2008 CONFERENCE LOCATION

### **Four Seasons Hotel**

98 San Jacinto Austin, TX 78701 512-685-8100

SPECIAL ROOM RATE: \$225 good through October 3, 2008 (subject to availability)

Parking: Valet: Day \$10, Overnight \$25 \$7 Self-Parking available in parking garage across from hotel (subject to change)

### **KEY DATES**

### **Registration and Cancellation**

October 22, 2008, 5 p.m. last day for early registration add \$50 for registrations received after this time

> October 24, 2008, 5 p.m. last day for full refund

October 27, 2008, 5 p.m. last day for partial refunds \$50 processing fee applied

October 30, 2008, 8:50 a.m. conference begins

## **ADVANCED PATENT LAW INSTITUTES**

Each program uniquely tailored to its locale



## PATENT LAW IN AUSTIN, TX

### Oct. 30-31, 2008 • Four Seasons Hotel

Join leading practitioners, academics and in-house counsel from the Southwest and Silicon Valley in Austin, Texas for two days of presentations on a rich array of prosecution and litigation topics. Cool weather,

great city, music and food—at the Four Seasons Austin.



## PATENT LAW IN ALEXANDRIA, VA

**Nov. 13-14, 2008 • USPTO-Main Auditorium** This conference provides the insider's perspective on USPTO initiatives, practice rules, developments, and much more. Join USPTO senior staff, leading

practitioners, academics and members of the federal judiciary from a variety of courts and forums in the Washington, DC area, for two days at the USPTO. The conference is jointly sponsored with the George Mason University School of Law.



## PATENT LAW IN SANTA CLARA, CA

Dec.11-12, 2008 Hyatt Regency Santa Clara

Come to the heart of Silicon Valley, and join leading judges and practitioners from major corporations such as Google, Yahoo!, and Genentech. This conference is jointly sponsored by the Berkeley Center for Law and Technology at Boalt Hall and the Stanford Program in Law, Science and Technology.



### ABOUT THE COVER

"Small Stripe," paint and ash on artboard, 10" x 8", framed, is by Jennifer Chenoweth and Todd Campbell. For more information, visit www.fisterrastudio.com



THE UNIVERSITY OF TEXAS AT AUSTIN THE UNIVERSITY OF TEXAS SCHOOL OF LAW P.O. Box 7759 • Austin, TX 78713-7759

This program is not printed or mailed at state expense.

The Advanced Patent Law Institute course has been approved for MCLE credit by the State Bar of Texas Committee on MCLE in the amount of 14.25 hours, of which 2.75 hours will apply to legal ethics/professional responsibility.
 The UT School of Law is a State Bar of CA approved MCLE provider (#1944).

Email us at

utcle@law.utexas.edu

or call us at

512-475-6700

for more information

## 13<sup>th</sup> ANNUAL ADVANCED PATENT LAW INSTITUTE

October 30-31, 2008 • Four Seasons Hotel • Austin, Texas

NON-PROFIT-ORG U.S. Postage Paid The University of Texas School of Law