


18TH ANNUAL

ADVANCED PATENT LAW INSTITUTE



October 31 – November 1, 2013 Four Seasons Hotel • Austin, Texas

MAJOR SPONSOR



Earn up to 14.50 Hours of Credit Including 2.75 Hours of Ethics Credit

ADVANCED PATENT LAW INSTITUTE

October 31 - November 1, 2013 - Four Seasons Hotel - Austin, TX

Earn up to 14.50 Hours of Credit Including 2.75 Hours of Ethics Credit

THURSDAY MORNING, OCT. 31, 2013

Presiding Officer:

David L. McCombs, Haynes and Boone, LLP, Dallas, TX

7:30 a.m. **Registration Opens** Includes continental breakfast.

8:20 a.m. Welcoming Remarks

8:30 a.m. .50 hr

Patentable Subject Matter: **Software and Business Methods**

A discussion of the patent-eligibility of software and business methods and a review of CLS Bank v. Alice and other recent decisions.

Speaker TBD

9:00 a.m. .50 hr

Antitrust and FRAND Patents: **Current Issues and Red Flags**

Antitrust issues have taken on increasing profile and importance in the last year—the Supreme Court in FTC v. Actavis re-calibrated the scope of antitrust immunity for a patent owner while clarifying the standard against which conduct outside of that immunity is evaluated for liability; the Justice Department has prosecuted a highprofile case based in large part on "most favored nations" provisions (a common feature in licenses); antitrust enforcers are investigating the actions of patent assertion entities and patent pools; FRAND has made headlines with a Presidential veto of an ITC exclusion order and with continuing lawsuits between major telecom companies over how to define and apply FRAND obligations; and the EU has also been active in dealing with FRAND commitments, highlighting the globalization of antitrust enforcement.

David J. Healey, Fish & Richardson P.C., Houston, TX

9:30 a.m. .75 hr

Valuation of FRAND Patents

Technology firms often agree to license their standard essential patents on fair and reasonable terms. There has been much discussion and disagreement about what fair and reasonable means when licensing standard essential patents. Recent District Court decisions have indicated that interpreting fair and reasonable requires an analysis focused on the value of the standard and the relative value of the patents being licensed to the other patents in the standard. This interpretation may have significant implications for the value of standard essential patents.

Moderator:

James D. Woods, UHY Advisors, Inc., Houston, TX

Douglas A. Cawley, McKool Smith PC, Dallas, TX Christopher V. Ryan, Vinson & Elkins LLP, Austin, TX Jennifer B. Wuamett, Freescale Semiconductor, Inc., Austin, TX

10:15 a.m.

Break

10:30 a.m.

.50 hr

Revisiting Remedies at the ITC

From The White House to Congress to the Judiciary, and to the ITC itself, recent use of patent enforcement at the ITC has drawn the attention and reaction—of all branches of government. Those reactions, their motivations, and their potential effects on patent enforcement and remedies at the ITC are surveyed.

Alan D Albright, Bracewell & Giuliani LLP, Austin, TX

11:00 a.m.

.75 hr | .25 hr ethics

How to Maximize Success before the **Federal Circuit**

A discussion of critical pre-appeal efforts necessary to preserve issues for appeal, and how to craft a winning brief and oral argument accompanied by anecdotes from over 160 cases the presenter has argued.

Donald R. Dunner, Finnegan, Henderson, Farabow, Garrett & Dunner, LLP, Washington, DC

11:45 a.m.

.50 hr

Best Practices in Design Patent Prosecution in View of In re Owens, and What Lies Ahead

The landscape for preparing and prosecuting design patent applications has been radically altered by the recent Federal Circuit decision In re Owens. Strategies and best practices are discussed along with a look toward the future including implementation of the international design filing system under the Hague Agreement.

Perry Saidman, Saidman DesignLaw Group, LLC, Silver Spring, MD

12:15 p.m. Pick Up Lunch Included in registration.

THURSDAY AFTERNOON

Presiding Officer:

Shirley Webster, Ocean Tomo, LLC, Houston, TX

> THANK YOU TO OUR **LUNCHEON SPONSOR**

BAKER BOTTS

LUNCHEON PRESENTATION

12:35 p.m. 1.00 hr | .50 hr ethics

Judicial Panel

Distinguished judges from the District Court discuss their experiences hearing and trying patent cases, and examine the status and results of the Pilot Program.

Moderator:

Scott F. Partridge, Baker Botts, Houston, TX

Hon. Nancy F. Atlas, U.S. District Court, Southern District of Texas, Houston, TX

Hon. Barbara M. G. Lynn, U.S. District Court, Northern District of Texas, Dallas, TX

Hon. Lee Yeakel, U.S. District Court, Western District of Texas, Austin, TX

1:35 p.m. **Break**

1:45 p.m.

.50 hr

Damages and Remedies: Overview of **Current Cases and Methodologies**

A look at important damages and remedy issues, including the market value rule and its application, damages and remedy issues when standard essential patents are at issue, and recent injunction case law

Michael J. Newton, Alston & Bird LLP, Dallas, TX

2:15 p.m.

.75 hr

Damages and Remedies: Panel Discussion

Moderator:

Michael J. Newton, Alston & Bird LLP, Dallas, TX

Brett C. Govett, Norton Rose Fulbright, Dallas, TX James J. Nawrocki, IPFC Corp., Houston, TX

1.00 hr

Concurrent Litigation Strategies after AIA

Review of the impact of the AIA on patent litigation and the new opportunities and challenges emerging for both plaintiffs and defendants—how the case law is developing in the wake of recent legislative changes and the practical ways in which courts are addressing those changes; the latest tactical developments in venue selection and multi-defendant litigation; changes in the requirements for inducement; the use of parallel PTAB proceedings to contest validity; the interplay between proceedings in the courts and PTAB; and overall case management considerations. Hear perspectives on what the future holds (as best anyone can tell), including whether new defenses or legislation will alter the patent litigation landscape.

Moderator:

Mark E. Patrick, Texas Instruments Incorporated, Dallas. TX

Panelists:

Bryan Farney, Farney Daniels PC, Georgetown, TX Hilda C. Galvan, Jones Day, Dallas, TX David L. McCombs, Haynes and Boone, LLP, Dallas, TX

Donald R. McKenna, Taiwan Semiconductor Manufacturing Company, Hsinchu, Taiwan

4:00 p.m.

Break

4:15 p.m.

.50 hr

Post-Grant Proceedings, Strategies, and Practice: One Year after AIA

The new *inter partes* review (IPR) and covered business method (CBM) post-grant proceedings became operational on September 16, 2012, and the new post-grant review (PGR) became operational on March 16, 2013. More than one post-grant proceedings has been filed every calendar day since IPR and CBM became available and the rate of filings continues to rise. Many proceedings are progressing through trial at the new Patent Trial & Appeal Board as they move towards final written decision. Major decisions, developments and trends have occurred in these proceedings that provide a road map of how this new patent world is taking shape.

Robert Greene Sterne, Sterne, Kessler, Goldstein & Fox P.L.L.C., Washington, DC

4:45 p.m.

.75 hr

Filing Strategies for In-House Counsel

In-house counsel discuss application filing strategies, including what, when and where to file. Learn how factors such as budget, subject matter, potential encumbrances, ease of prosecution, and strength of patent offices and legal systems inform and influence both domestic and foreign filing decisions.

Moderator:

John F. Horvath, Austin, TX

Panelists:

Michael C. Barrett, Cirrus Logic, Austin, TX Robert L. King, Silicon Laboratories, Austin, TX Travis M. Wohlers, Luminex Corporation, Austin, TX

5:30 p.m. Adjourn

FRIDAY MORNING, NOV. 1, 2013

Presiding Officer:

Michael P. Adams, Winstead PC, Austin, TX

8:00 a.m. Conference Room Opens Includes continental breakfast.

8:30 a.m.

.50 hr ethics

USPTO Ethic Rules vs. Texas Rules of Conduct

Effective May 2, 2013, the USPTO adopted new professional conduct rules. Compare and contrast the new USPTO rules with the Texas Disciplinary Rules of Professional Conduct.

Jane Politz Brandt, Thompson & Knight LLP, Dallas, TX

9:00 a.m.

.75 hr

Developments in Claim Construction

Claim construction maintains its Copernican centrality to patent prosecution and litigation, displaying all the usual conundrums, inconsistencies and (seemingly) intractable contradictions which are its historic hallmarks. Added to this are two new factors—the presence of the PTAB and its claim construction practices (displayed principally through inter partes reviews), and the Federal Circuit's long-expected attempt to "rethink" deference in light of Markman, as announced by Lighting Ballast, when claim constructions are reviewed on appeal. These events are reviewed and puzzled over, through attention to new, old, and uncertain precedent and procedures for claim construction.

Kenneth R. Adamo, Kirkland & Ellis LLP, Chicago, IL

9:45 a.m.

.50 hr

Claim Construction after Lighting Ballast

Lighting Ballast has been taken up en banc by the Federal Circuit to address the Court's power to review patent claim construction appeals on a de novo basis. This presentation examines the highlights of the briefing and oral arguments and the potential impact of the decision.

Jennifer Claire Kuhn, Law Office of Jennifer Kuhn, Austin, TX

10:15 a.m.

Break

10:30 a.m.

.50 hr

Synchronizing Specification and Claims for Strong (Valid) Patents

The inventor creates the invention. Who creates the claims? The inventor? The patent practitioner? Implicit in Federal Circuit decisions is that the Court is more confident that the inventor created the described embodiments/examples than the claims. If the claims reach too far beyond the disclosed embodiments/examples, the claims are often construed more narrowly than the ordinary meaning of the claims would suggest, or the claims are held

invalid for lack of written description support. An in-depth look at what needs to be done with patent specifications so that broad claims are interpreted broadly and found to be valid.

Dale S. Lazar, DLA Piper, Reston, VA

11:00 a.m.

.75 hr

Patentable Subject Matter: Life Sciences

Review and analysis of recent cases regarding patentable subject matter under 35 U.S.C. 101 such as *Prometheus v. Mayo* and *The Association* for *Molecular Pathology v. Myriad Genetics*, and their impact on USPTO practice and the biotechnology industry including the realm of personalized medicine.

Moderator:

Ana Christina Ward, Asuragen, Inc., Austin, TX

Panelists:

Mary K. Ferguson, Finnegan, Henderson, Farabow, Garrett & Dunner, LLP, Boston, MA Gina N. Shishima, Norton Rose Fulbright, Austin, TX

11:45 a.m. Pick Up Lunch

Included in registration.

FRIDAY AFTERNOON

Presiding Officer:

John M. Golden, The University of Texas School of Law, Austin, TX

THANK YOU TO OUR LUNCHEON SPONSOR

Kenyon & Kenyon LLP

LUNCHEON PRESENTATION

12:05 p.m.

1.00 hr ethics

Ethics in Doing Deals and Settling IP Suits

Using video cartoons to examine ethical issues in negotiation, this presentation addresses issues of client identity, the line between "puffing" and "material misrepresentations," the duty of disclosure and interaction between the rule against ex parte contacts, the client's right to talk directly to the other side, and the lawyer's obligation not to induce the client to engage in acts the lawyer is barred from doing.

David Hricik, Mercer University School of Law, Macon, GA

1:05 p.m.

Break

ABOUT THE COVER

Span 4, 24" x 20", mixed media collage of reclaimed rusty metal, nails, acrylic and ink on board, is by Leah Dunaway.

1:15 p.m.

1.00 hr

Economics of NPEs

Non-Practicing Entities (NPEs) and their supporters believe that NPEs provide a valued market to move, enable and monetize otherwise stagnant patent assets for the benefit of patent assignees. Many corporations believe that NPEs needlessly tax the resources and cash flows of corporate America for little or no gain, whereby NPEs should not be allowed to engage in their business model. The panel explores and debates the differing views and economic effects of the NPE business from all perspectives.

Moderator:

Keith E. Witek, Advanced Micro Devices, Inc., Austin. TX

Panelists:

Richard Harris, Intellectual Ventures, Austin, TX Paul Reidy, RPX Corporation, San Francisco, CA Neal A. Rubin, Cisco Systems, Inc., San Jose, CA

2:15 p.m.

.50 hr

Update on Patent Exhaustion

A discussion of the Supreme Court's decisions in Bowman v. Monsanto and Kirtsaeng v. John Wiley & Sons regarding patent exhaustion and the first-sale doctrine.

Amber L. Hagy, Weil, Gotshal & Manges LLP, Austin, TX

2:45 p.m.

.50 hr

The Mental Gymnastics of Divided Infringement after Akamai and McKesson

Divided infringement is now quite different than direct infringement. Learn about the new law and how it has been applied.

Phillip B. Philbin, Haynes and Boone, LLP, Dallas, TX

3:15 p.m.

.50 hr ethics

Inequitable Conduct After Therasense

A survey of how the courts have applied the new inequitable conduct standards of *Therasense* (including 1st Media), and whether the new standards have impacted the number of inequitable conduct allegations and the disclosure of references to the Patent Office.

Darryl J. Adams, Baker Botts, Austin, TX

3:45 p.m.

Adjourn

M C L E

This course has been approved for Minimum Continuing Legal Education credit by the State Bar of Texas Committee on MCLE in the amount of 14.50 hours, of which 2.75 credit hours will apply to legal ethics/professional responsibility credit. The University of Texas School of Law is a State Bar of California approved MCLE provider (#1944), and an Oklahoma Bar Association MCLE presumptively-approved provider (#169).

CONFERENCE FACULTY

KENNETH R. ADAMO Kirkland & Ellis LLP

Chicago, IL

DARRYL J. ADAMS Baker Botts Austin, TX

ALAN D ALBRIGHT Bracewell & Giuliani LLP

Austin, TX

HON. NANCY F. ATLAS

U.S. District Court, Southern District of Texas

Houston, TX

MICHAEL C. BARRETT

Cirrus Logic Austin, TX

JANE POLITZ BRANDT Thompson & Knight LLP

Dallas, TX

DOUGLAS A. CAWLEY McKool Smith PC

Dallas, TX

DONALD R. DUNNER

Finnegan, Henderson, Farabow, Garrett & Dunner, LLP

Washington, DC

BRYAN FARNEY Farney Daniels PC Georgetown, TX

MARY K. FERGUSON

Finnegan, Henderson, Farabow, Garrett & Dunner, LLP

Boston, MA

HILDA C. GALVAN

Jones Day

Dallas, TX

BRETT C. GOVETT

Norton Rose Fulbright

Dallas, TX

AMBER L. HAGY

Weil, Gotshal & Manges LLP

Austin, TX

RICHARD HARRIS

Intellectual Ventures

Austin, TX

DAVID J. HEALEY

Fish & Richardson P.C.

Houston, TX

JOHN F. HORVATH

Austin, TX

DAVID HRICIK

Mercer University School of Law

Macon, GA

ROBERT L. KING

Silicon Laboratories

Austin, TX

JENNIFER CLAIRE KUHN

Law Office of Jennifer Kuhn

Austin, TX

DALE S. LAZAR

DLA Piper

Reston, VA

HON BARBARAM G IYNN

U.S. District Court, Northern District of Texas

Dallas, TX

DAVID L. MCCOMBS

Haynes and Boone, LLP

Dallas, TX

DONALD R. MCKENNA

Taiwan Semiconductor Manufacturing Company

Hsinchu, Taiwan

JAMES J. NAWROCKI

IPFC Corp. Houston, TX

MICHAEL J. NEWTON

Alston & Bird LLP

Dallas, TX

SCOTT F. PARTRIDGE

Baker Botts Houston, TX

MARK E. PATRICK

Texas Instruments Incorporated

Dallas, TX

PHILLIP B. PHILBIN

Haynes and Boone, LLP

Dallas, TX

PAUL REIDY

RPX Corporation

San Francisco, CA

NEAL A. RUBIN

Cisco Systems, Inc. San Jose, CA

CHRISTOPHER V. RYAN

Vinson & Elkins LLP

Austin, TX

PERRY SAIDMAN

Saidman DesignLaw Group, LLC

Silver Spring, MD

GINA N. SHISHIMA

Norton Rose Fulbright

Austin, TX

ROBERT GREENE STERNE

Sterne, Kessler, Goldstein & Fox P.L.L.C.

Washington, DC

ANA CHRISTINA WARD

Asuragen, Inc. Austin, TX

KEITH E. WITEK

Advanced Micro Devices, Inc.

Austin, TX

TRAVIS M. WOHLERS

Luminex Corporation

Austin, TX

JAMES D. WOODS UHY Advisors, Inc. Houston, TX

JENNIFER B. WUAMETT

Freescale Semiconductor, Inc.

Austin, TX

HON. LEE YEAKEL

U.S. District Court, Western District of Texas

Austin, TX

HOW TO REGISTER

Register online:

www.utcle.org

Or mail your registration to:

The University of Texas School of Law Attn. Registration PO Box 7759 Austin, TX 78713-7759

Or fax to: 512.475.6876

Questions? Call us at 512.475.6700

Dietary requirements or Accessibility needs? Call 512.475.6700 or email service@utcle.org

REGISTRATION BENEFITS

Before the Conference: Access course materials—downloadable PDFs of papers and slides—and speaker and attendee roster in *Your Briefcase* 48 hours before the conference.

After the Conference: Unlimited access to final, complete course materials—downloadable PDFs of papers and slides—in *Your Briefcase*.

Bonus eCourses: Complimentary 180-day access to Bonus eCourses—with papers, slides, and audio—for CLE credit you may have missed at the conference. Available in *Your Briefcase* 6–8 weeks after the conference.

TX MCLE Credit Reporting: UT Law CLE can report credit on your behalf directly to the State Bar of Texas. Track your Texas MCLE credit claimed and reported through UT Law CLE in *Your Account*.

Your Account: Create Your Account online at www.utcle.org. Your UT Law CLE Account is created for you as a first-time conference registrant (mail and fax registrations) with the email address you provide.

IN-HOUSE AND CONFERENCECOMPLETE MATERIALS

In-House

Bring the conference in-house. Audio presentations and written materials for learning with your colleagues—for Texas MCLE credit. We manage the accreditation process from approval to reporting. Custom packages available.

ConferenceComplete Materials

ConferenceComplete. Comprehensive Audio and Binders from live conferences—for research and self-study. Available for download and shipping.

REGI	STRATION FORM	
PLEASE PRINT CLEARLY		PT13
Bar Card#	TX Other State:	N/A
Name [Mr. / Ms.]		
Firm		
Address		
City	State Zip	
Telephone	Fax	
Registrant's Email (required)		
Assistant's Email (antional)		
Invoices, confirmations and receipts are emailed		
	er 23	
Registration after Wednesday, Octo	ber 23	\$725
2. Select Course Materials Format□ Electronic Course Binder on USB Ke	ey ONLY	
☐ Printed Course Binder ONLY		
Available for delivery 3–5 weeks after conference date	et plus a Printed Binder and MCLE Reporting Form for e. Shipping included.	
Additional participant(s) \$.	275 each	\$
CONFERENCECOMPLETE MATERIALS [†] —	-For Research and Self-Study	
Comprehensive Binder and Audio products from the		
Available for delivery 3–5 weeks after conference date	e. Snipping included.	\$225
, ,		
†Texas customers—add 8.25% sales	tax or include an Exemption Certificate	\$
Sales tax will be invoiced separately on taxable or	rders for which payment does not include tax.	
	то	TAL \$
METHOD OF PAYMENT		
☐ Check (make check payable to The Unive	ersity of Texas at Austin)	
☐ VISA ☐ MasterCard ☐ American Expres	s	
Card number		
X		/
Authorized Signature	Ex	p. Date (mm/yy)



THE UNIVERSITY OF TEXAS SCHOOL OF LAW PO Box 7759 · Austin, TX 78713-7759

This program is not printed or mailed at state expense.

ADVANCED PATENT LAW INSTITUTE

NON-PROFIT-ORG U.S. Postage PAID

U T School of Law

Visit www.utcle.org Email service@utcle.org Call

512.475.6700

for more information

AUSTIN

October 31 - November 1, 2013

CONFERENCE LOCATION



Four Seasons Hotel

98 San Jacinto Austin, Texas 512.685.8100

Special Room Rate: \$249

good through September 30, 2013 (subject to availability)

Parking:

Self-parking \$12 day of event Valet parking \$16 daily and \$32.50 overnight (subject to change)

KEY DATES

October 23, 2013

last day for early registration add \$50 for registrations received after this time

October 25, 2013

last day for full refund

October 28, 2013

last day for partial refund \$50 processing fee applied

October 31, 2013, 8:20 a.m.

Institute begins

CONFERENCE PLANNING COMMITTEE

HILDA C. GALVAN—CO-CHAIR Jones Day

DAVID L. MCCOMBS—CO-CHAIR Haynes and Boone, LLP

Dallas, TX MICHAEL P. ADAMS Winstead PC

TOM ADOLPH Adolph Locklar Houston, TX

Austin, TX

Dallas, TX

JANE POLITZ BRANDT Thompson & Knight LLP Dallas, TX

CHRISTA BROWN-SANFORD

Dallas, TX **BRYAN FARNEY**

Baker Botts

Farney Daniels PC Georgetown, TX

JOHN D. FLYNN IBM Corporation Austin, TX

JOHN GARVISH McKool Smith, P.C. Austin, TX

JOHN M. GOLDEN

The University of Texas School of Law Austin, TX

Baker Botts

Dubois, Bryant &

Campbell, L.L.P.

Farney Daniels PC

Fish & Richardson P.C.

BRETT C. GOVETT Norton Rose Fulbright Dallas, TX

AMBER L. HAGY Weil, Gotshal & Manges LLP Austin, TX

GARY W. HAMILTON Hamilton & Terrile, LLP Austin, TX

DAVID J. HEALEY Fish & Richardson P.C. Houston, TX

JOHN F. HORVATH Austin, TX

ROBERT L. KING Silicon Laboratories Austin, TX

KEVIN KUDLAC Weil, Gotshal & Manges LLP Houston, TX

MARK A. LEMLEY Stanford Law School Stanford, CA

KEVIN J. MEEK Baker Botts Austin, TX

LANCE MORMAN OverMont Consulting, LLC Houston, TX

JAMES J. NAWROCKI IPFC Corp. Houston, TX

DAVID W. O'BRIEN Haynes and Boone, LLP Austin, TX

MARK E. PATRICK

Texas Instruments Incorporated Dallas, TX

LOUIS A. RILEY McAfee, Inc. Plano, TX

MARK E. SCOTT Conley Rose PC Austin, TX

ANA CHRISTINA WARD Asuragen, Inc. Austin, TX

SHIRLEY WEBSTER Ocean Tomo, LLC Houston, TX

CRAIG W. WEINLEIN Carrington, Coleman, Sloman & Blumenthal, L.L.P.

Dallas, TX

WILLIAM D WIESE Dubois, Bryant & Campbell, L.L.P. Austin, TX

KEITH E. WITEK

Advanced Micro Devices, Inc. Austin, TX

JAMES D. WOODS UHY Advisors, Inc. Houston, TX

THANK YOU TO OUR SPONSORS

MAJOR SPONSOR

IPFC Corp.

SPONSORS

Haynes and Boone, LLP

Jones Day

Kenyon & Kenyon LLP

Norton Rose Fulbright

Sterne, Kessler, Goldstein & Fox P.L.L.C.

Thompson & Knight LLP

Vinson & Elkins LLP

Winstead PC