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### THURSDAY MORNING, OCT. 31, 2013

**Presiding Officer:**
David L. McCombs, Haynes and Boone, LLP, Dallas, TX

7:30 a.m.  **Registration Opens**  
Includes continental breakfast.

8:20 a.m.  **Welcoming Remarks**

8:30 a.m.  .50 hr  
**Patentable Subject Matter:**  
**Software and Business Methods**

A discussion of the patent-eligibility of software and business methods and a review of **CLS Bank v. Alice** and other recent decisions.

**Speaker TBD**

9:00 a.m.  .50 hr  
**Antitrust and FRAND Patents:**  
**Current Issues and Red Flags**

Antitrust issues have taken on increasing profile and importance in the last year—the Supreme Court in **FTC v. Actavis** re-calibrated the scope of antitrust immunity for a patent owner while clarifying the standard against which conduct outside of that immunity is evaluated for liability; the Justice Department has prosecuted a high-profile case based in large part on “most favored nations” provisions (a common feature in licenses); antitrust enforcers are investigating the actions of patent assertion entities and patent pools; FRAND has made headlines with a Presidential veto of an ITC exclusion order and with continuing lawsuits between major telecom companies over how to define and apply FRAND obligations; and the EU has also been active in dealing with FRAND commitments, highlighting the globalization of antitrust enforcement.

David J. Healey, Fish & Richardson P.C., Houston, TX

9:30 a.m.  .75 hr  
**Valuation of FRAND Patents**

Technology firms often agree to license their standard essential patents on fair and reasonable terms. There has been much discussion and disagreement about what fair and reasonable means when licensing standard essential patents. Recent District Court decisions have indicated that interpreting fair and reasonable requires an analysis focused on the value of the standard and the relative value of the patents being licensed to the other patents in the standard. This interpretation may have significant implications for the value of standard essential patents.

Moderator:  
James D. Woods, UHY Advisors, Inc., Houston, TX

Panelists:  
Douglas A. Cawley, McKool Smith PC, Dallas, TX  
Christopher V. Ryan, Vinson & Elkins LLP, Austin, TX  
Jennifer B. Wuamett, Freescale Semiconductor, Inc., Austin, TX

10:15 a.m.  .50 hr  
**10:30 a.m.  Break**

10:45 a.m.  **Revisiting Remedies at the ITC**

From The White House to Congress to the Judiciary, and to the ITC itself, recent use of patent enforcement at the ITC has drawn the attention—and reaction—of all branches of government. Those reactions, their motivations, and their potential effects on patent enforcement and remedies at the ITC are surveyed.

Alan D Albright, Bracewell & Giuliani LLP, Austin, TX

11:00 a.m.  .75 hr | .25 hr ethics  
**How to Maximize Success before the Federal Circuit**

A discussion of critical pre-appeal efforts necessary to preserve issues for appeal, and how to craft a winning brief and oral argument accompanied by anecdotes from over 160 cases the presenter has argued.

Donald R. Dunner, Finnegan, Henderson, Farabow, Garrett & Dunner, LLP, Washington, DC

11:45 a.m.  **Best Practices in Design Patent Prosecution in View of In re Owens, and What Lies Ahead**

The landscape for preparing and prosecuting design patent applications has been radically altered by the recent Federal Circuit decision **In re Owens**. Strategies and best practices are discussed along with a look toward the future including implementation of the international design filing system under the Hague Agreement.

Perry Saidman, Saidman DesignLaw Group, LLC, Silver Spring, MD

12:15 p.m.  **Pick Up Lunch**  
Included in registration.

### THURSDAY AFTERNOON

**Presiding Officer:**
Shirley Webster, Ocean Tomo, LLC, Houston, TX

12:35 p.m.  **LUNCHEON PRESENTATION**

**BAKER BOTTOS**

1:00 hr | .50 hr ethics  
**Judicial Panel**

Distinguished judges from the District Court discuss their experiences hearing and trying patent cases, and examine the status and results of the Pilot Program.

Moderator:  
Scott F. Partridge, Baker Botts, Houston, TX

Panelists:  
Hon. Nancy F. Atlas, U.S. District Court, Southern District of Texas, Houston, TX  
Hon. Barbara M. G. Lynn, U.S. District Court, Northern District of Texas, Dallas, TX  
Hon. Lee Yeakel, U.S. District Court, Western District of Texas, Austin, TX

1:35 p.m.  **Break**

1:45 p.m.  **Damages and Remedies: Overview of Current Cases and Methodologies**

A look at important damages and remedy issues, including the market value rule and its application, damages and remedy issues when standard essential patents are at issue, and recent injunction case law.

Michael J. Newton, Alston & Bird LLP, Dallas, TX

2:15 p.m.  .75 hr  
**Damages and Remedies: Panel Discussion**

Moderator:  
Michael J. Newton, Alston & Bird LLP, Dallas, TX

Panelists:  
Brett C. Govett, Norton Rose Fulbright, Dallas, TX  
James J. Nawrocki, IPFC Corp., Houston, TX
FRIDAY MORNING, NOV. 1, 2013

Presiding Officer:
Michael P. Adams, Winstead PC, Austin, TX

8:00 a.m. Conference Room Opens
Includes continental breakfast.

8:30 a.m. .50 hr ethics
USPTO Ethic Rules vs. Texas Rules of Conduct
Effective May 2, 2013, the USPTO adopted new professional conduct rules. Compare and contrast the new USPTO rules with the Texas Disciplinary Rules of Professional Conduct.
Jane Politz Brandt, Thompson & Knight LLP, Dallas, TX

9:00 a.m. .75 hr
Developments in Claim Construction
Claim construction maintains its Copernican centrality to patent prosecution and litigation, displaying all the usual conundrums, inconsistencies and (seemingly) intractable contradictions which are its historic hallmarks. Added to this are two new factors—the presence of the PTAB and its claim construction practices (displayed principally through inter partes reviews), and the Federal Circuit's long-expected attempt to “rethink” deference in light of Markman, as announced by Lighting Ballast, when claim constructions are reviewed on appeal. These events are reviewed and puzzled over, through attention to new, old, and uncertain precedent and procedures for claim construction.
Kenneth R. Adamo, Kirkland & Ellis LLP, Chicago, IL

9:45 a.m. .50 hr
Claim Construction after Lighting Ballast
Lighting Ballast has been taken up en banc by the Federal Circuit to address the Court's power to review patent claim construction appeals on a de novo basis. This presentation examines the highlights of the briefing and oral arguments and the potential impact of the decision.
Jennifer Claire Kuhn, Law Office of Jennifer Kuhn, Austin, TX

10:15 a.m. Break

10:30 a.m. .50 hr
Synchronizing Specification and Claims for Strong (Valid) Patents
The inventor creates the invention. Who creates the claims? The inventor? The patent practitioner? Implicit in Federal Circuit decisions is that the Court is more confident that the inventor created the described embodiments/examples than the claims. If the claims reach too far beyond the disclosed embodiments/examples, the claims are often construed more narrowly than the ordinary meaning of the claims would suggest, or the claims are held invalid for lack of written description support. An in-depth look at what needs to be done with patent specifications so that broad claims are interpreted broadly and found to be valid.
Dale S. Lazar, DLA Piper, Reston, VA

11:00 a.m. .75 hr
Patentable Subject Matter: Life Sciences
Review and analysis of recent cases regarding patentable subject matter under 35 U.S.C. 101 such as Prometheus v. Mayo and The Association for Molecular Pathology v. Myriad Genetics, and their impact on USPTO practice and the biotechnology industry including the realm of personalized medicine.
Moderator:
Ana Christina Ward, Auragen, Inc., Austin, TX
Panelists:
Mary K. Ferguson, Finnegan, Henderson, Farabow, Garrett & Dunner, LLP, Boston, MA
Gina N. Shishima, Norton Rose Fullbright, Austin, TX

11:45 a.m. Pick Up Lunch
Included in registration.

FRIDAY AFTERNOON

Presiding Officer:
John M. Golden, The University of Texas School of Law, Austin, TX

THANK YOU TO OUR LUNCHEON SPONSOR

Kenyon&Kenyon LLP

LUNCHEON PRESENTATION

12:05 p.m. 1.00 hr ethics
Ethics in Doing Deals and Settling IP Suits
Using video cartoons to examine ethical issues in negotiation, this presentation addresses issues of client identity, the line between “puffing” and “material misrepresentations,” the duty of disclosure and interaction between the rule against ex parte contacts, the client’s right to talk directly to the other side, and the lawyer’s obligation not to induce the client to engage in acts the lawyer is barred from doing.
David Hricik, Mercer University School of Law, Macon, GA

1:05 p.m. Break

ABOUT THE COVER
Span 4, 24” x 20”, mixed media collage of reclaimed rusty metal, nails, acrylic and ink on board, is by Leah Dunaway.
Economics of NPEs
Non-Practicing Entities (NPEs) and their supporters believe that NPEs provide a valued market to move, enable and monetize otherwise stagnant patent assets for the benefit of patent assignees. Many corporations believe that NPEs needlessly tax the resources and cash flows of corporate America for little or no gain, whereby NPEs should not be allowed to engage in their business model. The panel explores and debates the differing views and economic effects of the NPE business from all perspectives.

Moderator:
Keith E. Witek, Advanced Micro Devices, Inc.,
Austin, TX

Panelists:
Richard Harris, Intellectual Ventures, Austin, TX
Paul Reidy, RPX Corporation, San Francisco, CA
Neal A. Rubin, Cisco Systems, Inc., San Jose, CA

Update on Patent Exhaustion

Amber L. Hagy, Weil, Gotshal & Manges LLP,
Austin, TX

The Mental Gymnastics of Divided Infringement after Akamai and McKesson
Divided infringement is now quite different than direct infringement. Learn about the new law and how it has been applied.

Phillip B. Philbin, Haynes and Boone, LLP, Dallas, TX

Inequitable Conduct After Therasense
A survey of how the courts have applied the new inequitable conduct standards of Therasense (including 1st Media), and whether the new standards have impacted the number of inequitable conduct allegations and the disclosure of references to the Patent Office.

Darryl J. Adams, Baker Botts, Austin, TX

This course has been approved for Minimum Continuing Legal Education credit by the State Bar of Texas Committee on MCLE in the amount of 14.50 hours, of which 2.75 credit hours will apply to legal ethics/professional responsibility credit. The University of Texas School of Law is a State Bar of California approved MCLE provider (#1044), and an Oklahoma Bar Association MCLE presumptively-approved provider (#1097).
### How to Register

**Register online:**
www.utcle.org

**Or mail your registration to:**
The University of Texas
School of Law
Attn. Registration
PO Box 7759
Austin, TX 78713-7759

**Or fax to:**
512.475.6876

**Questions? Call us at 512.475.6700**

Dietary requirements or Accessibility needs? Call 512.475.6700 or email service@utcle.org

---

### Registration Form

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>PLEASE PRINT CLEARLY</th>
<th>PT13</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Bar Card#</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>☐ TX</td>
<td>☐ N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other State:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Name [ Mr. / Ms. ]</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Firm</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Address</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>City</td>
<td>State</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Telephone</td>
<td>Fax</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Registrant's Email (required)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Assistant's Email (optional)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Invoices, confirmations and receipts are emailed to these addresses.

---

**REGISTRATION**—Includes Course Materials, Thursday and Friday Luncheon Presentations

For Group registration, call 512.475.6700.

1. **Select Type**
   - ☐ Registration by Wednesday, October 23 ....................................................................................... $675
   - ☐ Registration after Wednesday, October 23 ................................................................................... $725

2. **Select Course Materials Format**
   - ☐ Electronic Course Binder on USB Key ONLY
   - ☐ Printed Course Binder ONLY

**IN-HOUSE**—For Texas MCLE Credit

ConferenceComplete package includes Audio CD Set plus a Printed Binder and MCLE Reporting Form for each participant. Available for delivery 3–5 weeks after conference date. Shipping included.

- ☐ In-House for 2......................................................................................................................... $850
- ☐ Additional participant(s) $275 each.................................................................

**CONFERENCECOMPLETE MATERIALS†—For Research and Self-Study**

Comprehensive Binder and Audio products from the live conference. Available for delivery 3–5 weeks after conference date. Shipping included.

- ☐ eBinder Download (PDF) ................................................................................................................. $225
- ☐ Printed Binder ..................................................................................................................................$275
- ☐ Audio Download (MP3) ................................................................................................................... $175
- ☐ Audio CD Set ....................................................................................................................................$225

†Texas customers—add 8.25% sales tax or include an Exemption Certificate .................$

Sales tax will be invoiced separately on taxable orders for which payment does not include tax.

**TOTAL $ ____**

**METHOD OF PAYMENT**

- ☐ Check (make check payable to The University of Texas at Austin)
- ☐ VISA ☐ MasterCard ☐ American Express

Card number ____________________________________________

Authorized Signature

Exp. Date (mm/yy)

---

**REGISTRATION BENEFITS**

Before the Conference: Access course materials—downloadable PDFs of papers and slides—and speaker and attendee roster in Your Briefcase 48 hours before the conference.

After the Conference: Unlimited access to final, complete course materials—downloadable PDFs of papers and slides—in Your Briefcase.

**Bonus eCourses:** Complimentary 180-day access to Bonus eCourses—with papers, slides, and audio—for CLE credit you may have missed at the conference. Available in Your Briefcase 6–8 weeks after the conference.

**TX MCLE Credit Reporting:** UT Law CLE can report credit on your behalf directly to the State Bar of Texas. Track your Texas MCLE credit claimed and reported through UT Law CLE in Your Account.

**Your Account:** Create Your Account online at www.utcle.org. Your UT Law CLE Account is created for you as a first-time conference registrant (mail and fax registrations) with the email address you provide.

---

**IN-HOUSE AND CONFERENCECOMPLETE MATERIALS**

**In-House**
Bring the conference in-house. Audio presentations and written materials for learning with your colleagues—for Texas MCLE credit. We manage the accreditation process from approval to reporting. Custom packages available.

**ConferenceComplete Materials**
18TH ANNUAL
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October 31 – November 1, 2013 • Four Seasons Hotel • Austin, Texas

Visit www.utcle.org
Email service@utcle.org
Call 512.475.6700 for more information
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Norton Rose Fulbright
Dallas, TX

DAVID L. MCCOMBS—CO-CHAIR
Haynes and Boone, LLP
Dallas, TX

AMBER L. HAGY
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MICHAEL P. ADAMS
Winstead PC
Austin, TX

GARY W. HAMILTON
Hamilton & Terrile, LLP
Austin, TX

TOM ADOLPH
Adolph Locklar
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DAVID J. HEALEY
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Houston, TX

JANE POLITZ BRANDT
Thompson & Knight LLP
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JOHN F. HORVATH
Austin, TX
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Baker Botts
Dallas, TX

ROBERT L. KING
Silicon Laboratories
Austin, TX

BRYAN FARNEY
Farney Daniels PC
Georgetown, TX

KEVIN KUDLAC
Weil, Gotshal & Manges LLP
Houston, TX

JOHN D. FLYNN
IBM Corporation
Austin, TX

MARK A. LEMLEY
Stanford Law School
Stanford, CA

JOHN GARVISH
McKool Smith, P.C.
Austin, TX

KEVIN J. MEEK
Baker Botts
Austin, TX

JOHN M. GOLDEN
The University of Texas School of Law
Austin, TX
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IPFC Corp.
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