

## THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE GEORGE MASON UNIVERSITY SCHOOL OF LAW THE UNIVERSITY OF TEXAS SCHOOL OF LAW



### 9<sup>TH</sup> ANNUAL

# ADVANCED PATENT LAW INSTITUTE



January 23–24, 2014
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Alexandria, Virginia

Earn up to 14.75 Hours of Credit Including 2.00 Hours of Ethics Credit (60-minute hour)

Approved in CA, DE, IL, NJ, NY, OK, TX

Special Rules Apply in VA: 12.50 Hours of MCLE Credit Including 2.00 Hours of Ethics Credit Expected

MCLE Credit Including Ethics Credit Expected for OH and PA

#### ADVANCED PATENT LAW INSTITUTE

#### January 23-24, 2014 • United States Patent and Trademark Office • Alexandria, Virginia

Earn up to 14.75 Hours of Credit Including 2.00 Hours of Ethics Credit (60-minute hour)

Approved in CA, DE, IL, NJ, NY, OK, TX

Special Rules Apply in VA: 12.50 Hours of MCLE Credit Including 2.00 Hours of Ethics Credit Expected

MCLE Credit Including Ethics Credit Expected for OH and PA

#### THURSDAY MORNING, JAN. 23, 2014

#### **Presiding Officer:**

Hon. James D. Smith, Chief Judge, Patent Trial and Appeal Board, U.S. Patent and Trademark Office, Alexandria, VA

**7:30 a.m.** Registration Opens Includes continental breakfast.

8:15 a.m. Welcoming Remarks

8:30 a.m. .50 hr

#### Patentable Subject Matter: Life Sciences

Review of the Supreme Court decisions, Mayo v. Prometheus and Association for Molecular Pathology v. Myriad Genetics; plus related Federal Circuit Court decisions and USPTO guidance on the patent eligibility of biotech and personalized medicine inventions.

Courtenay C. Brinckerhoff, Foley & Lardner LLP, Washington, DC

9:00 a.m. .50 hr

#### Patentable Subject Matter: Software and Business Methods

Discussion of the patent-eligibility of software and business methods, with analysis of *CLS Bank v. Alice Corporation* and other recent decisions.

Christopher A. Cotropia, Intellectual Property Institute, University of Richmond School of Law, Richmond, VA

9:30 a.m. .75 hr

#### **Functional Claiming**

Patent attorneys sometimes broadly claim inventions in terms of the functions that they perform, rather than reciting detailed structural features. This can lead to problems during the patent application process or later in litigation. The USPTO has recently provided additional training to patent examiners providing guidance in this area.

Andrew H. Hirshfeld, Deputy Commissioner for Patent Examination Policy, U.S. Patent and Trademark Office, Alexandria, VA Bradley C. Wright, Banner & Witcoff, Ltd., Washington, DC

10:15 a.m. Break

#### 10:30 a.m.

.50 hr

#### ITC Developments:

#### Standards-Essential Patents and the Domestic Industry Requirement

The United States Trade Representative's recommendations concerning the ITC exclusion orders issued against Apple and Samsung, as well as recent developments in the application of the ITC's domestic industry requirement as it relates to patent assertion and NPEs.

Lore Unt, Office of the Solicitor, U.S. Patent and Trademark Office, Alexandria, VA T. Cy Walker, Kenyon & Kenyon, Washington, DC

11:00 a.m. .50 hr

#### Antitrust and FRAND Patents: Development and Current Issues

A focus on Judge Robart's analysis of factors in evaluating rate setting in FRAND cases, the recent GAO report on Standard Setting Organizations and the availability of injunctive relief in cases involving standard essential patents.

Robert L. Stoll, Drinker Biddle & Reath LLP, Washington, DC

11:30 a.m. .50 hr

#### The New Landscape for Design Patents

Examination of the new landscape that is taking shape in the field of U.S. design patent law, including new matter/written description in light of *In re Owens*, obviousness and functionality in light of *High Point Design v. Buyers Direct*, and postgrant proceedings and claim construction in view of *ATAS International v. Centria*. Also, a look at recent international developments and the implications of the U.S. moving closer to officially being a member of the Hague system.

Tracy-Gene G. Durkin, Sterne, Kessler, Goldstein & Fox P.L.L.C., Washington, DC David Gerk, Office of Policy and International Affairs, U.S. Patent and Trademark Office, Alexandria, VA

12:00 p.m. .50 hr

#### **Patent Law Treaty Implementation**

In December 2013, the U.S. becomes a party to the Patent Law Treaty (PLT), which harmonizes and streamlines formal procedural requirements pertaining to the filing and processing of patent applications. Review the changes to the patent law and USPTO's rules of practice that were made in accordance with the U.S. becoming a party to the PLT.

Matthew J. Kohner, Office of External Affairs, U.S. Patent and Trademark Office, Alexandria, VA **12:30 p.m. Pick Up Lunch** Included in registration.

#### THURSDAY AFTERNOON

#### Presiding Officer:

Adam Mossoff, George Mason University School of Law, Arlington, VA

#### KEYNOTE LUNCHEON PRESENTATION

12:50 p.m.

#### **USPTO Update**

Margaret A. "Peggy" Focarino, Acting Deputy Under Secretary of Commerce for Intellectual Property and Deputy Director of the USPTO, Alexandria, VA

.50 hr

1:20 p.m. Break

1:30 p.m. 1.00 hr

#### First-Inventor-To-File:

#### **Problems, Ambiguities and Practical Solutions**

The new Section 102 is shorter if not simpler than the former establishment, though not without subtleties and ambiguities that can be a trap for the unwary. The USPTO's interpretation of the new Section 102 is presented, along with alternative interpretations and practical solutions for practitioners for addressing the problems and ambiguities.

#### Moderator:

Dale S. Lazar, DLA Piper LLP US, Reston, VA

#### Panelists:

Kathleen Fonda, Office of Patent Legal Administration, U.S. Patent and Trademark Office, VA Brad D. Pedersen, Patterson Thuente Pedersen, P.A., Minneapolis, MN

2:30 p.m. .50 hr

#### Obviousness

This presentation aims to reaffirm the importance of evaluating objective evidence in the obviousness analysis. Objective evidence of nonobviousness is too often treated as 'secondary considerations.'

Michael W. O'Neill, Novak Druce Connolly Bove & Quigg LLP, Washington, DC

3:00 p.m. Break

3:15 p.m. .75 hr

#### Divided Infringement after Akamai

Discussion of the Akamai decision and how it impacts litigation strategy.

Gianni Minutoli, DLA Piper LLP US, Washington, DC Phillip B. Philbin, Haynes and Boone, LLP, Dallas, TX

4:00 p.m. .75 hr

## Patent Damages Today—but What about Tomorrow?

Review of recent Federal Circuit Court decisions providing guidance on acceptable patent damages methodology, with highlights of District Court orders giving insight into the continuing evolution of patent damages.

Shirley Webster, Ocean Tomo, LLC, Houston, TX Gregory L. Hillyer, Feldman Gale, P.A., Philadelphia, PA

4:45 p.m. .75 hr

#### Issues with Patent NPEs

Is there a problem with NPEs in patent litigation? If so, what are alternative possible solutions? A discussion of the economic consequences of the proliferation of NPE litigation in recent years, the pros and cons of proposed patent legislation, rule and case management changes involving feeshifting, pleading requirements, disclosure of real parties in interest and other issues.

#### Moderator:

William L. LaFuze, Vinson & Elkins LLP, Houston, TX

#### Panelists:

Donald R. Dunner, Finnegan, Henderson, Farabow, Garrett & Dunner, LLP , Washington, DC Philip S. Johnson, Johnson & Johnson, New Brunswick, NJ Phyllis Turner-Brim, Intellectual Ventures,

Bellevue, WA

5:30 p.m. Adjourn

#### FRIDAY MORNING, JAN. 24, 2014

#### **Presiding Officer:**

Jeffrey A. Wolfson, Haynes and Boone, LLP, Washington, DC

**7:30 a.m.** Conference Room Opens Includes continental breakfast.

8:30 a.m. .75 hr

## AIA Practice Tips before the Patent Trial and Appeal Board

Tips for improving practice in AIA cases before the Patent Trial and Appeal Board are presented and explored. Tips address both written and oral advocacy, from both the Board and the practitioner perspectives.

#### Moderator:

Hon. Scott Boalick, Patent Trial and Appeal Board, U.S. Patent and Trademark Office, Alexandria, VA

#### Panelists

Hon. Jennifer Bisk, Patent Trial and Appeal Board, U.S. Patent and Trademark Office, Alexandria, VA Hon. Thomas L. Giannetti, Patent Trial and Appeal Board, U.S. Patent and Trademark Office, Alexandria, VA 9:15 a.m. 1.00 hr

## Post-Grant Proceedings, Strategies and Practice

Post-grant patent proceedings were pursued in record number at the USPTO in 2013 and the rate of new petition filings continues to accelerate beyond initial expectations. Emerging trends, notable outcomes, and best practices are identified relative to the 700+ proceedings filed with the PTAB. Both petitioner and patentee perspectives are analyzed relative to current and future USPTO practices, including contemplated legislative and/or rule based developments.

Scott A. McKeown, Oblon, Spivak, McClelland, Maier & Neustadt, L.L.P., Alexandria, VA Robert Greene Sterne, Sterne, Kessler, Goldstein & Fox P.L.L.C., Washington, DC

10:15 a.m. Break

10:30 a.m. 1.00 hr | 1.00 hr ethics

## Harmonization and Enforcement of USPTO Ethical Standards in the AIA Era

Present day ethical issues affecting attorneys and agents who practice before the USPTO, including ethical standards under the Proposed New USPTO Rules of Professional Conduct, modeled on the ABA's Model; the mechanics of OED's complaint and investigative process; the ethical impact of the AIA on practitioners and OED; and the practical examples and statistics relating to OED enforcement.

William R. Covey, Deputy General Counsel and Director, Office of Enrollment and Discipline, U.S. Patent and Trademark Office. Alexandria. VA

11:30 a.m. .75 hr

#### Claims Construction

Lighting Ballast and de novo review (and how it changes strategies) and broadest reasonable construction standard.

Edward R. Reines, Weil, Gotshal & Manges LLP, Redwood City, CA

Nathan Kelley, Office of the Solicitor, U.S. Patent and Trademark Office, Alexandria, VA

12:15 p.m. .50 hr

#### Ex Parte Reexam in View of Fresenius— A Hail Mary Pass Which Should Be in Every Playbook

Due to typically lengthy District Court litigation, accused patent infringers may file an ex parte reexamination request as a final opportunity to cancel the claims of an asserted patent. The AIA replaced the much maligned inter partes reexamination with a more streamlined inter partes review having a quick trigger estoppel provision. However, the AIA left ex parte reexamination intact, which means that parties such as Fresenius still have the ability to take advantage of the difference between the evidentiary standards applied in district court and at the USPTO.

W. Todd Baker, Oblon, Spivak, McClelland, Maier & Neustadt, L.L.P., Alexandria, VA 12:45 p.m. Pick Up Lunch

Included in registration.

#### FRIDAY AFTERNOON

#### **Presiding Officer:**

John W. Ryan, Thompson Hine LLP, Washington, DC

#### **KEYNOTE LUNCHEON PRESENTATION**

1:15 p.m. .75 hr

#### View from Federal Circuit

Hon. Pauline Newman, U.S. Court of Appeals for the Federal Circuit, Washington, DC

2:00 p.m. Break

2:15 p.m. 1.00 hr

#### **Judicial Panel**

Distinguished judges discuss their experiences hearing and trying patent cases.

#### Moderator:

Hon. Paul R. Michel, Chief Judge (Retired), U.S. Court of Appeals for the Federal Circuit, Washington, DC

#### Panelists:

Hon. James F. Holderman, U.S. District Court, Northern District of Illinois, Chicago, IL Hon. Charles E. Bullock, U.S. International Trade Commission, Washington, DC

Hon. J. Rodney Gilstrap (Invited), U.S. District Court, Eastern District of Texas, Marshall, TX

3:15 p.m.

1.00 hr | 1.00 hr ethics

#### Ethics in Doing Deals and Settling IP Suits

Using video cartoons to examine ethical issues in negotiation, this presentation addresses issues of client identity, the line between "puffing" and "material misrepresentations," the duty of disclosure and interaction between the rule against ex parte contacts, the client's right to talk directly to the other side, and the lawyer's obligation not to induce the client to engage in acts the lawyer is barred from doing.

David Hricik, Mercer University School of Law, Macon, GA

4:15 p.m. Adjourn

#### CONFERENCE FACULTY

W. TODD BAKER Oblon, Spivak, McClelland, Maier & Neustadt, L.L.P. Alexandria, VA

HON. JENNIFER BISK Patent Trial and Appeal Board, U.S. Patent and Trademark Office Alexandria, VA

HON. SCOTT BOALICK Patent Trial and Appeal Board, U.S. Patent and Trademark Office Alexandria, VA

COURTENAY C. BRINCKERHOFF Foley & Lardner LLP Washington, DC

HON. CHARLES E. BULLOCK U.S. International Trade Commission Washington, DC

CHRISTOPHER A. COTROPIA Intellectual Property Institute, University of Richmond School of Law Richmond, VA

WILLIAM R. COVEY

Deputy General Counsel and Director, Office of Enrollment and Discipline, U.S. Patent and Trademark Office Alexandria, VA

DONALD R. DUNNER Finnegan, Henderson, Farabow, Garrett & Dunner, LLP Washington, DC

TRACY-GENE G. DURKIN Sterne, Kessler, Goldstein & Fox P.L.L.C. Washington, DC MARGARET A. "PEGGY" FOCARINO Acting Deputy Under Secretary of Commerce for Intellectual Property and Deputy Director of the USPTO, U.S. Patent and Trademark Office Alexandria, VA

KATHLEEN FONDA
Office of Patent Legal Administration,
U.S. Patent and Trademark Office

Alexandria, VA

DAVID GERK

Office of Policy and International Affairs, U.S. Patent and Trademark Office Alexandria, VA

HON. THOMAS L. GIANNETTI Patent Trial and Appeal Board, U.S. Patent and Trademark Office Alexandria. VA

HON. J. RODNEY GILSTRAP (INVITED) U.S. District Court, Eastern District of Texas Marshall, TX

GREGORY L. HILLYER Feldman Gale, P.A. Philadelphia, PA

ANDREW H. HIRSHFELD
Deputy Commissioner for Patent
Examination Policy, U.S. Patent and
Trademark Office
Alexandria, VA

HON. JAMES F. HOLDERMAN U.S. District Court, Northern District of Illinois Chicago, IL

DAVID HRICIK Mercer University School of Law Macon, GA PHILIP S. JOHNSON Johnson & Johnson New Brunswick, NJ

NATHAN KELLEY Office of the Solicitor, U.S. Patent and Trademark Office Alexandria, VA

MATTHEW J. KOHNER Office of External Affairs, U.S. Patent and Trademark Office Alexandria, VA

WILLIAM L. LAFUZE Vinson & Elkins LLP Houston, TX

DALE S. LAZAR DLA Piper LLP US Reston, VA

SCOTT A. MCKEOWN Oblon, Spivak, McClelland, Maier & Neustadt, L.L.P. Alexandria, VA

HON. PAUL R. MICHEL (RETIRED) U.S. Court of Appeals for the Federal Circuit Washington, DC

GIANNI MINUTOLI DLA Piper LLP US Washington, DC

HON. PAULINE NEWMAN U.S. Court of Appeals for the Federal Circuit Washington, DC

MICHAEL W. O'NEILL Novak Druce Connolly Bove & Quigg LLP Washington, DC BRAD D. PEDERSEN
Patterson Thuente Pedersen, P.A.
Minneapolis, MN

PHILLIP B. PHILBIN Haynes and Boone, LLP Dallas, TX

EDWARD R. REINES Weil, Gotshal & Manges LLP Redwood City, CA

ROBERT GREENE STERNE Sterne, Kessler, Goldstein & Fox P.L.L.C. Washington, DC

ROBERT L. STOLL Drinker Biddle & Reath LLP Washington, DC

PHYLLIS TURNER-BRIM Intellectual Ventures Bellevue, WA

LORE UNT Office of the Solicitor, U.S. Patent and Trademark Office Alexandria, VA

T. CY WALKER Kenyon & Kenyon Washington, DC

SHIRLEY WEBSTER Ocean Tomo, LLC Houston, TX

BRADLEY C. WRIGHT Banner & Witcoff, Ltd. Washington, DC

#### PLANNING COMMITTEE

HON. JAMES D. SMITH—CHAIR Chief Judge, Patent Trial and Appeal Board, U.S. Patent and Trademark Office Alexandria, VA

W. TODD BAKER Oblon, Spivak, McClelland, Maier & Neustadt, L.L.P. Alexandria, VA

JAMES E. BEYER Dinsmore & Shohl LLP Dayton, OH

COURTENAY C. BRINCKERHOFF Foley & Lardner LLP Washington, DC

CHRISTOPHER A. COTROPIA Intellectual Property Institute, University of Richmond School of Law Richmond, VA JEFFREY D. FELDMAN Feldman Gale, P.A. Miami, FL

EDWARD J. KESSLER Sterne, Kessler, Goldstein & Fox Washington, DC

STEPHEN G. KUNIN Oblon, Spivak, McClelland, Maier & Neustadt, LLP Alexandria, VA

WILLIAM L. LAFUZE Vinson & Elkins LLP Houston, TX

WILLIAM LAMARCA U.S. Patent and Trademark Office Arlington, VA

DALE S. LAZAR DLA Piper LLP US Reston, VA DAVID L. MCCOMBS Haynes and Boone, LLP Dallas, TX

HON. JAMES T. MOORE Patent Trial and Appeal Board, U.S. Patent and Trademark Office Alexandria, VA

ADAM MOSSOFF George Mason University School of Law Arlington, VA

MICHAEL W. O'NEILL Novak Druce Connolly Bove & Quigg LLP Washington, DC

CHRISTOPHER J. RENK Banner & Witcoff, Ltd. Chicago, IL

JOHN W. RYAN Thompson Hine LLP Washington, DC ROBERT GREENE STERNE Sterne, Kessler, Goldstein & Fox P.L.L.C. Washington, DC

T. CY WALKER Kenyon & Kenyon Washington, DC

SHIRLEY WEBSTER Ocean Tomo, LLC Houston, TX

JEFFREY A. WOLFSON Haynes and Boone, LLP Washington, DC

BRADLEY C. WRIGHT Banner & Witcoff, Ltd. Washington, DC

#### **HOW TO REGISTER**

## Register online: www.utcle.org

#### Or mail your registration to:

The University of Texas School of Law Attn. Registration PO Box 7759 Austin, TX 78713-7759

**Or fax to:** 512.475.6876

#### Questions? Call us at 512.475.6700

Dietary requirements or Accessibility needs? Call 512.475.6700 or email service@utcle.org

## REASONS TO ATTEND ADVANCED PATENT LAW INSTITUTE

The 9th Annual Advanced Patent Law Institute at the U.S. Patent and Trademark Office offers a unique opportunity to join USPTO senior staff, leading practitioners, academics and members of the federal judiciary from a variety of courts and forums area for two days of presentations on the latest developments in patent law, including:

- The impact of key patentable subject matter cases in both software and life sciences
- Current issues in antitrust and FRAND patents
- Post-grant proceedings and strategies one year after AIA; plus practice tips from the Patent Trial and Appeal Board
- Developments in claim construction and potential impact of Lighting Ballast
- The new landscape for U.S. design patent law and the implications of the U.S.'s likely membership in the Hague System
- "First-to-File" final rules and the USPTO's interpretation of the new Section 102
- Strategies and tactics for dealing with the increasing influence of patent NPEs
- The popular Judicial Panel, moderated this year by Former Chief Judge of the Federal Circuit, Paul R. Michel
- Earn up to 2.00 hours of ethics including a Keynote Presentation by David Hricik of Mercer University School of Law—Ethics in Doing Deals and Settling IP Suits.

The Institute is presented by The University of Texas School of Law, the U.S. Patent and Trademark Office and George Mason University School of Law.

REGISTRATION FORM			
PLEASE PRINT CLEARLY			PV14
Bar Card#	_ <b>_</b> TX	Other State:	_ 🔲 N/A
Name [ Mr. / Ms. ]			
Firm			
Address			
City	State	Zip	
Telephone	Fax		
Registrant's Email (required)			
Assistant's Email (optional)			
Invoices, confirmations and receipts are emailed to these addresses.			
For Group registration, call 512.475.6700.  1. Select Registration Type Individual  Registration by Wednesday, January 15, 2014 Registration after Wednesday, January 15, 2014			
USPTO			
<ul> <li>□ Employee Registration</li> <li>2. Select Course Materials Format</li> <li>□ Electronic Course Binder on USB Key ONLY</li> <li>□ Printed Course Binder ONLY</li> </ul>			\$250
IN-HOUSE—For Texas MCLE Credit			
ConferenceComplete package includes Audio CD Set plus a Printer Available for delivery 3–5 weeks after conference date. Shipping inc		MCLE Reporting Form for each	participant.
☐ In-House for 2			\$850
Additional participant(s) for \$275 each			\$
CONFERENCECOMPLETE MATERIALS <sup>†</sup> —For Resear Comprehensive Binder and Audio products from the live conference Available for delivery 3–5 weeks after conference date. Shipping inc	э.	lf-Study	
☐ eBinder Download (PDF)			\$225
☐ Printed Binder			
☐ Audio Download (MP3)			
☐ Audio CD Set			
<sup>†</sup> Texas customers—add 8.25% sales tax or inclu Sales tax will be invoiced separately on taxable orders for which p		•	⊅
		TOTAL	_ \$
METHOD OF PAYMENT			
☐ Check (make check payable to The University of Texas	at Austin)		
☐ VISA ☐ MasterCard ☐ American Express			
Card Number		Exp. Date	(mm/yy)
Authorized Signature			



The University of Texas at Austin
THE UNIVERSITY OF TEXAS SCHOOL OF LAW
PO Box 7759 • Austin, TX 78713-7759

This program is not printed or mailed at state expense.

#### **ADVANCED PATENT LAW INSTITUTE**

January 23–24, 2014 United States Patent and Trademark Office Alexandria, Virginia NON-PROFIT-ORG
U.S. Postage
PAID
U T School of Law

Visit

www.utcle.org

Email

service@utcle.org

Call

512.475.6700

Follow

@UTLawCLE

Tweet

**#UTLawpatentUSPTO** 

#### **ALEXANDRIA, VIRGINIA**

January 23-24, 2014

#### CONFERENCE LOCATION

USPTO - Main Auditorium

Concourse Level of the Madison Building (Main Building on the USPTO Campus) 600 Dulany Street Alexandria, VA 22314

Visit www.uspto.gov/main/visiting.htm

for directions and information about public transportation

#### **ACCOMMODATIONS**

The Westin Alexandria

400 Courthouse Square Alexandria, VA 22314 866.837.4210

Special Room Rate: \$179

good through December 23, 2013 (subject to availability)

Valet Parking: \$10 daily, \$26 overnight

#### **KEY DATES**

January 15, 2014

last day for early registration add \$50 for registrations received after this time

January 17, 2014

last day for cancellation (full refund)

January 20, 2014

last day for cancellation (partial refund) \$50 processing fee applied

January 23, 2014, 8:15 a.m. *Institute begins* 

#### ADVANCED PATENT LAW INSTITUTE ACCREDITATION

#### CALIFORNIA-14.75 hrs | 2.00 hrs ethics

The University of Texas School of Law is a State Bar of California approved MCLE provider (#1944).

#### DELAWARE—14.80 credits | 2.00 credits ethics

This course has been approved for 14.80 credits, including 2.00 Enhanced Ethics credits by the Delaware Commission on Continuing Legal Education.

#### ILLINOIS—14.75 MCLE general credit hours

This course has been approved by the Minimum Continuing Legal Education Board of the Supreme Court of Illinois for 14.75 MCLE general credit hours.

#### NEW JERSEY—15.00 hrs | 2.00 hrs ethics

As The University of Texas School of Law is a State Bar of Texas approved MCLE provider (Sponsor #13), our courses are presumptively approved for MCLE credit based on a 50-minute credit hour, and in accordance with the Regulations of the Supreme Court of New Jersey Board on Continuing Legal Education.

#### NEW YORK—17.50 hrs | 2.00 hrs ethics

As The University of Texas School of Law is a State Bar of California approved MCLE provider (#1944), our courses are presumptively approved for MCLE credit based on a 50-minute credit hour, and in accordance with the Program Rules and the Regulations and Guidelines of the New York State Bar Association.

#### OHIO—14.75 hrs | 2.00 hrs ethics (Expected)

This course has been submitted to the Supreme Court of Ohio Commission on Continuing Legal Education for 14.75 total CLE hours, with 2.00 of ethics instruction.

#### OKLAHOMA—17.50 hrs | 2.00 hrs ethics

The University of Texas School of Law is an Oklahoma Bar Association presumptively approved provider (#169).

## PENNSYLVANIA—14.50 hrs | 2.00 hrs ethics (Expected)

This program has been submitted to the Pennsylvania Continuing Legal Education Board for 14.50 hours of substantive law, practice and procedure CLE credit and 2.00 hours of ethics, professionalism or substance abuse CLE credit.

#### TEXAS—14.75 hrs | 2.00 hrs ethics

This course has been approved for Minimum Continuing Legal Education credit by the State Bar of Texas Committee on MCLE in the amount of 14.75 hours, of which 2.00 credit hours will apply to legal ethics/professional responsibility credit.

## VIRGINIA—12.50 hrs | 2.00 hrs ethics (Expected)

This course has been submitted for MCLE approval to the Virginia Mandatory Continuing Legal Education Board by Sponsor, George Mason University School of Law.

#### **OTHER STATES**

Many jurisdictions accept conferences offered by The University of Texas School of Law, and approved by the State Bar of Texas, for CLE credit. Please check with your jurisdiction's regulatory authority. A Certificate of Attendance and credit reporting documentation will be provided at the conference.