V&E

# **Attorney-Client Privilege: Best Practices for In-House Counsel**

Jennifer B. Poppe Christopher V. Popov

May 7-8, 2015

© 2015 Vinson & Elkins LLP All Rights Reserved

V&E

#### Introduction

- Overview of attorney-client privilege and work-product doctrine
- Areas where privilege issues commonly arise
- The special role of in-house counsel
  - > Defining legal v. business advice
- Defining the client in the corporate context
  - > Former employees
  - > Affiliated entities, portfolio companies, and joint ventures
  - > Advisors and consultants
  - > Ownership of privilege post-merger
- Best practices to establish and preserve privilege
- How privilege is waived
- Attorney-client privilege around the world
- Document retention policies

2

© 2015 Vinson & Elkins LL

V&E

### **Overview of Attorney-Client Privilege**

- In general, the attorney-client privilege protects:
  - > a communication,
  - > made between privileged persons (i.e., attorney, client, or agent),
  - > in confidence,
  - > for the purpose of obtaining or providing legal assistance for the
- Attorney-client privilege developed from two assumptions:
  - > good legal assistance requires full disclosure of a client's legal problems, and
  - a client will only reveal details required for proper representation if her confidences are protected.
- Construed narrowly

© 2015 Vinson & Elkins LLP

3

V&E

## **Overview of Attorney-Client Privilege**

- The fundamental aspect of any privileged communication is that the communication was made for the purpose of <u>obtaining</u> <u>legal advice</u>, rather than business or other advice.
  - > Legal advice should be the <u>primary</u> purpose of communication and there should be an expectation that it will not be disclosed.
  - > Lawyer's primary role cannot be non-legal, such as giving business advice.

© 2015 Vinson & Elkins LLP

ļ

V&E

#### **Overview of the Work-Product Doctrine**

- Protects material prepared and mental impressions developed in anticipation of litigation.
- Work product creates a zone of privacy.
  - > An anti-"free loader" rule
  - No protection for documents prepared in the ordinary course of business
- Work product is both narrower and broader than attorney-client privilege.
  - Narrower because it only applies to work done "in anticipation of litigation"
    - But see California
  - Broader because the privilege is not as easily waived

© 2015 Vinson & Elkins LLP

5

#### V&E

## **Exceptions to Privilege and Work Product**

- Crime/fraud: Legal advice or services used by the client in furtherance of an ongoing or future crime or fraud are not protected.
- Where an attorney's conduct is challenged: Where a client sues the attorney for malpractice, the client is generally said to "waive" any claim of privilege that would attach to his communications with the attorney.
- Fiduciary exception:
  - > Originally developed for shareholder derivative actions.
  - Has been expanded to other fiduciary relationships, including those between a general partner and its limited partners.
  - When there is a mutuality of interest between an organization and the parties to whom it owes fiduciary duties, then the *Garner* doctrine may apply.
  - > There must be a showing of "good cause" for disclosure.
  - > Does not apply to work-product doctrine.

© 2015 Vinson & Elkins LLF

6





Find the full text of this and thousands of other resources from leading experts in dozens of legal practice areas in the <u>UT Law CLE eLibrary (utcle.org/elibrary)</u>

Title search: Attorney-Client Privilege: Best Practices for In-House Counsel

Also available as part of the eCourse Attorney-Client Privilege for In-House Counsel

First appeared as part of the conference materials for the 37<sup>th</sup> Annual Corporate Counsel Institute session "Attorney-Client Privilege for In-House Counsel"