

PRESENTED AT

The University of Texas School of Law
25th Annual Admiralty and Maritime Law Conference

August 26, 2016
South Texas College of Law, Houston, Texas

RECENT DEVELOPMENTS IN ADMIRALTY AND MARITIME LAW AT THE NATIONAL LEVEL AND IN THE FIFTH AND ELEVENTH CIRCUITS

David W. Robertson
William Powers, Jr. and Kim L. Heilbrun Chair in Tort Law
University Distinguished Teaching Professor
University of Texas at Austin

Michael F. Sturley
Fannie Coplin Regents Chair in Law
University of Texas at Austin

Author Contact Information:
David W. Robertson
University of Texas School of Law
drobertson@law.utexas.edu
512.232.1339

Michael F. Sturley
University of Texas School of Law
msturley@law.utexas.edu
512.232.1350

RECENT DEVELOPMENTS IN ADMIRALTY AND MARITIME LAW AT THE NATIONAL LEVEL AND IN THE FIFTH AND ELEVENTH CIRCUITS

<u>I. INTRODUCTION</u>	1
<u>II. MISCELLANEOUS DEVELOPMENTS AT THE NATIONAL LEVEL</u>	2
A. Federal Rules Amendments	2
B. Maximum Compensation Rate Under the LHWCA	3
<u>III. THE WORK OF THE SUPREME COURT</u>	3
A. Selected Nonmaritime Decisions	3
<i>Franchise Tax Board of California v. Hyatt</i>	
B. Denials of Certiorari	3
<i>Ludlow v. BP, PLC</i>	
<i>Eason v. Huntington Ingalls Industries, Inc.</i>	
<u>IV. SELECTED DECISIONS FROM AROUND THE COUNTRY</u>	4
A. Admiralty Jurisdiction in Tort	4
1. Problems with the PDMC and SRTMA Requirements	4
<i>In re Germain</i>	
<i>Ficarra v. Germain</i>	
<i>In re Christopher Columbus, LLC</i>	
2. Problems with the L-or-AEA Requirements	7
<i>Blake Marine Group v. CarVal Investors LLC</i>	
3. Removal into Admiralty	10
<i>Brown v. Porter</i>	
B. Admiralty Jurisdiction in Contract	11
<i>Fireman's Fund Insurance Co. v. Great American</i>	
<i>Insurance Co.</i>	
<i>Galilea, LLC. v. AGCS Marine Insurance Co.</i>	
C. The Rights of Seamen	14
1. Releases of Liability	14
<i>In re New York City Asbestos Litigation</i>	

2. Removal of Jones Act Claims	15
<i>Leloff v. Georgia-Pacific Consumer Products, Ltd.</i>	
D. General Maritime Tort Law	16
1. Products Liability	16
<i>McIndoe v. Huntington Ingalls Inc.</i>	
2. Punitive Damages	18
<i>Hausman v. Holland America Line-USA</i>	
E. Carriage of Goods	19
1. The Cargo Claimant's <i>Prima Facie</i> Case	19
<i>Affiliated FM Insurance Co. v. M/V Maersk Visby</i>	
2. The COGSA Package Limitation	20
<i>Vilorio v. Sallaum Lines</i>	
3. Forum Selection Clauses in Bills of Lading	21
<i>Idaho Pacific Corp. v. Binex Line Corp.</i>	
F. Marine Insurance	22
1. Utmost Good Faith (<i>Uberrimae Fidei</i>)	22
<i>Fireman's Fund Insurance Co. v. Great American Insurance Co.</i>	
2. All-Risk Policies	23
<i>AGCS Marine Insurance Co. v. World Fuel Services, Inc.</i>	
3. Conditions of Coverage	24
<i>Brawner Builders, Inc. v. Northern Assurance Co. of America</i>	
G. Longshore and Harbor Workers' Compensation Act (LHWCA)	24
<i>SSA Terminals v. Carrion</i>	
<i>Shirrod v. Director, OWCP</i>	
H. Collision	26
<i>In re Frescati Shipping Co.</i>	
<i>In re Buccina</i>	
<i>Buccina v. Grimsby</i>	

I. Maritime Liens	28
<i>In re World Imports Ltd.</i>	
<i>O'Rourke Marine Services L.P., L.L.P. v.</i>	
<i>M/V COSCO Haifa</i>	
<i>Bunker Holdings Ltd. v. M/V YM Success (IMO 9294800)</i>	
<i>Billybey Marina Services, LLC v. Affairs Afloat, Inc.</i>	
<i>City of Newport Beach v. M/Y Bad Habit</i>	
J. Limitation of Liability Act	32
<i>In re Henry Marine Service, Inc.</i>	
 V. THE WORK OF THE FEDERAL COURTS IN THE FIFTH AND ELEVENTH CIRCUITS	33
A. Admiralty Jurisdiction	33
1. Admiralty Jurisdiction in Tort	33
<i>Petrobras America, Inc. v. Vicinay Cadenas, S.A.</i>	
<i>Newell v. Carnival Cruise Lines</i>	
2. Removal into Admiralty	38
<i>Harrison v. Crowley Maritime Corp.</i>	
<i>Darville v. Tidewater Marine Service, Inc.</i>	
B. Vessel Status Cases	39
<i>Gold v. Helix Energy Solutions Group, Inc.</i>	
C. The Federal vs. State Law Consequences of Admiralty Jurisdiction	41
<i>Petrobras America, Inc. v. Vicinay Cadenas, S.A.</i>	
D. The Rights of Seamen	41
1. Seaman Status	41
a. The substantial-in-duration requirement and the <i>Bertrand</i> exception	42
<i>Lebrun v. Baker Hughes, Inc.</i>	
b. "Vessel in navigation" is synonymous with "vessel"?	44
<i>Gold v. Helix Energy Solutions Group, Inc.</i>	
c. The substantial-in-nature requirement	45
<i>Starks v. Advantage Staffing</i>	
d. The <i>Michael Tracy</i> principle	45
<i>Cepeda v. Orion Marine Construction, Inc.</i>	

2. Seaman Status Under the FLSA	46
<i>Halle v. Galliano Marine Service</i>	
<i>Johnson v. Canal Barge Co.</i>	
3. Maintenance and Cure	49
a. <i>McCorpen</i>	49
<i>Chapman v. Spartan Offshore Drilling</i>	
<i>LeBlanc v. LA Carriers</i>	
<i>Copeland v. Offshore Marine Contractors, Inc.</i>	
b. Recoupment of undeserved maintenance-and-cure payments	50
<i>Boudreaux v. Transocean Deepwater, Inc.</i>	
c. Punitive damages and attorney's fees	52
<i>Weeks Marine, Inc. v. Watson</i>	
<i>Stermer v. Archer-Daniels-Midland Co.</i>	
d. Procedure in maintenance and cure cases	52
<i>Robb v. Jantran, Inc.</i>	
4. Jones Act and Unseaworthiness Litigation	53
a. The primary duty doctrine	53
<i>Orion Marine Constr., Inc. v. De Leon</i>	
<i>Soudelier v. PBC Management, Inc.</i>	
b. FELA decisions from the Texas Supreme Court	54
<i>Union Pacific R. Co. v. Nami</i>	
<i>BNSF Railway Co. v. Phillips</i>	
c. Nonpecuniary Damages	55
<i>Lewis v. Noble Drilling Services, Inc.</i>	
5. Ramifications of the Collateral Benefits Rule in Seamen's Litigation	56
<i>Howard v. Offshore Liftboats, LLC</i>	
6. Property Damage and Indemnity Claims by Jones Act Employers Against Their Injured Employees	57
<i>Thompson v. Yellow Fin Marine Services, LLC</i>	
7. Punitive Damages in Seamen's Tort Actions	58
<i>Collins v. A.B.C. Marine Towing, L.L.C.</i>	

8. Arbitration and Other Forum Selection Clauses	59
<i>Suazo v. NCL (Bahamas), Ltd.</i>	
E. Carriage of Goods	60
<i>Atwood Oceanics, Inc. v. M/V PAC Altair</i>	
F. Marine Insurance	61
1. P&I Coverage	61
<i>Naquin v. Elevating Boats, L.L.C.</i>	
2. All-Risk Coverage	61
<i>Great Lakes Reinsurance (UK) PLC v. Kan-Do, Inc.</i>	
3. Policy Exclusions	62
<i>Richard v. Dolphin Drilling Ltd.</i>	
4. Bad Faith	63
<i>Atlantic Specialty Insurance Co. v. Mr. Charlie Adventures, LLC</i>	
5. The Separate-Occurrences Doctrine	63
<i>Seahawk Liquidating Trust v. Certain Underwriters at Lloyds</i>	
G. Longshore and Harbor Workers' Compensation Act (LHWCA)	63
1. The Act's Coverage	63
<i>Johnson v. Abe's Boat Rentals, Inc.</i>	
<i>Posey v. National Oilwell Varco, L.P.</i>	
2. Workers' Compensation Nuts & Bolts	65
<i>Bis Salamis, Inc. v. Director, OWCP</i>	
3. Borrowed Employees	66
<i>Singleton v. Fieldwood Energy, LLC</i>	
<i>Todd v. Cameron Int'l Corp.</i>	
H. Outer Continental Shelf Lands Act	67
<i>Petrobras America, Inc. v. Vicinay Cadenas, S.A.</i>	
<i>Hefren v. Murphy Exploration & Production Co.</i>	
<i>Boudreaux v. Scott's Boat Rentals, LLC</i>	
I. Maritime Liens	70
<i>World Fuel Services Singapore Pte, Ltd. v. Bulk Juliana M/V</i>	

J. General Maritime Tort and Contract Law	73
1. Dueling indemnity clauses	73
<i>Grogan v. W&T Offshore, Inc.</i>	
2. Yamaha puzzles	73
<i>In re Marquette Transportation Co. Gulf-Inland, LLC</i>	
<i>Pucci v. Carnival Corp.</i>	
3. Proximate cause in marine insurance law, Texas style	75
<i>Seahawk Liquidating Trust v. Certain Underwriters at</i>	
<i>Lloyds</i>	
a. Factual and procedural background	75
b. The \$1.1 million claim in <i>Seahawk</i> : multiplicity of proximate	
causation acknowledged	76
c. The \$17 million <i>Seahawk</i> claim: multiplicity of proximate	
causation denied	76
4. Punitive Damages	77
<i>Warren v. Shelter Mutual Insurance Co.</i>	
K. Salvage	80
<i>Sunglory Maritime Ltd. v. PHI, Inc.</i>	
L. Procedure	81
1. Personal jurisdiction	81
<i>Patterson v. Aker Solutions Inc.</i>	
2. Forum non conveniens	82
<i>Weber v. PACT XPP Technologies, AG</i>	
<i>A/S Dan-Bunkering Ltd. v. M/V Centrans Demeter</i>	
<i>Watson v. Fieldwood Energy Offshore, LLC</i>	
<i>Bennett v. Moran Towing Corp</i>	
<i>In re Oceanografia, S.A. de C.V.</i>	
3. Rule B	84
<i>Malin International Ship Repair v. Oceanografia,</i>	
<i>S.A. de C.V.</i>	
<i>World Fuel Services Europe, Ltd. v. Thoresen Shipping</i>	
<i>Singapore Private Ltd.</i>	
4. Removal	85
<i>Savoie v. Huntington Ingalls, Inc.</i>	

M. Miscellaneous Cases	87
1. Pollution	87
<i>United States v. Fafalios</i>	
2. Wong's Influence	88
<i>Trinity Marine Products, Inc. v. United States</i>	
3. Drugs	89
<i>United States v. Iguaran</i>	
N. Postscript on the B.P. Oil Spill Litigation	90
<i>In re Deepwater Horizon</i>	
<i>In re Deepwater Horizon: Lake Eugenie Land & Development, Inc. v. BP Exploration & Production, Inc.</i>	
<i>In re Deepwater Horizon: Seacor Holdings, Inc. v. Mason</i>	

RECENT DEVELOPMENTS IN ADMIRALTY AND MARITIME LAW AT THE NATIONAL LEVEL AND IN THE FIFTH AND ELEVENTH CIRCUITS

David W. Robertson
William Powers, Jr. and Kim L. Heilbrun Chair in Tort Law
University Distinguished Teaching Professor
University of Texas
727 East Dean Keeton Street, Austin, Texas 78705
512/232-1339; Fax 512/858-1045
drobertson@law.utexas.edu

Michael F. Sturley
Fannie Coplin Regents Chair in Law
University of Texas
727 East Dean Keeton Street, Austin, Texas 78705
512/232-1350; Fax 512/471-6988
msturley@law.utexas.edu

August 1, 2016

I. INTRODUCTION

This is the sixteenth paper in a series of annual reports on U.S. admiralty and maritime law and practice.¹ In these papers we try to call attention to the principal

¹ The preceding fifteen papers are David W. Robertson & Michael F. Sturley, *Recent Developments in Admiralty and Maritime Law at the National Level and in the Fifth and Eleventh Circuits*, 40 TUL. MAR. L.J. 343 (2016) [hereinafter *2015 Recent Developments*]; David W. Robertson & Michael F. Sturley, *Recent Developments in Admiralty and Maritime Law at the National Level and in the Fifth and Eleventh Circuits*, 39 TUL. MAR. L.J. 471 (2015) [hereinafter *2014 Recent Developments*]; David W. Robertson & Michael F. Sturley, *Recent Developments in Admiralty and Maritime Law at the National Level and in the Fifth and Eleventh Circuits*, 38 TUL. MAR. L.J. 419 (2014) [hereinafter *2013 Recent Developments*]; David W. Robertson & Michael F. Sturley, *Recent Developments in Admiralty and Maritime Law at the National Level and in the Fifth and Eleventh Circuits*, 37 TUL. MAR. L.J. 401 (2013) [hereinafter *2012 Recent Developments*]; David W. Robertson & Michael F. Sturley, *Recent Developments in Admiralty and Maritime Law at the National Level and in the Fifth and Eleventh Circuits*, 36 TUL. MAR. L.J. 425 (2012) [hereinafter *2011 Recent Developments*]; David W. Robertson & Michael F. Sturley, *Developments in Admiralty and Maritime Law at the National Level and in the Fifth and Eleventh Circuits*, 35 TUL. MAR. L.J. 493 (2011) [hereinafter *2010 Recent Developments*]; David W. Robertson & Michael F. Sturley, *Recent Developments in Admiralty and Maritime Law at the National Level and in the Fifth and Eleventh Circuits*, 34 TUL. MAR. L.J. 443 (2010) [hereinafter *2009 Recent Developments*]; David W.

national-level developments that bear on the work of admiralty judges, lawyers, and scholars, and we look more closely at the relevant work of the U.S. Courts of Appeals for the Fifth and Eleventh Circuits. We do not warrant full coverage, although with respect to the Fifth and Eleventh Circuit Courts of Appeals, we try to be fairly thorough.²

II. MISCELLANEOUS DEVELOPMENTS AT THE NATIONAL LEVEL

A. Federal Rules Amendments

Amendments to the Federal Rules of Appellate and Civil Procedure will go into effect on December 1, 2016, unless Congress acts on them prior to that date. The affected rules include Civil Rules 50, 52, and 59 and Appellate Rule 4.

Robertson & Michael F. Sturley, *Recent Developments in Admiralty and Maritime Law at the National Level and in the Fifth and Eleventh Circuits*, 33 TUL. MAR. L.J. 381 (2009) [hereinafter 2008 *Recent Developments*]; David W. Robertson & Michael F. Sturley, *Recent Developments in Admiralty and Maritime Law at the National Level and in the Fifth and Eleventh Circuits*, 32 TUL. MAR. L.J. 493 (2008) [hereinafter 2007 *Recent Developments*]; David W. Robertson & Michael F. Sturley, *Recent Developments in Admiralty and Maritime Law at the National Level and in the Fifth and Eleventh Circuits*, 31 TUL. MAR. L.J. 463 (2007) [hereinafter 2006 *Recent Developments*]; David W. Robertson & Michael F. Sturley, *Recent Developments in Admiralty and Maritime Law at the National Level and in the Fifth and Eleventh Circuits*, 30 TUL. MAR. L.J. 195 (2006) [hereinafter 2005 *Recent Developments*]; David W. Robertson & Michael F. Sturley, *Recent Developments in Admiralty and Maritime Law at the National Level and in the Fifth and Eleventh Circuits*, 29 TUL. MAR. L.J. 369 (2005) [hereinafter 2004 *Recent Developments*]; David W. Robertson & Michael F. Sturley, *Recent Developments in Admiralty and Maritime Law at the National Level and in the Fifth and Eleventh Circuits*, 16 U.S.F. MAR. L.J. 147 (2004) [hereinafter 2003 *Recent Developments*]; David W. Robertson & Michael F. Sturley, *Recent Developments in Admiralty and Maritime Law at the National Level and in the Fifth and Eleventh Circuits*, 27 TUL. MAR. L.J. 495 (2003) [hereinafter 2002 *Recent Developments*]; David W. Robertson & Michael F. Sturley, *Recent Developments in Admiralty and Maritime Law at the National Level and in the Fifth and Eleventh Circuits*, 26 TUL. MAR. L.J. 193 (2001) [hereinafter 2001 *Recent Developments*].

² We make no attempt to be thorough respecting district court decisions, although we have included some for their information value. “A decision by a federal district judge is not binding precedent in either a different judicial district, the same judicial district, or even upon the same judge in a different case.” 18 MOORE’S FEDERAL PRACTICE § 134.02[1][d], p. 138-24.1 (3d ed. 2007). See also *American Electric Power Co. v. Connecticut*, 564 U.S. 410, 428 (2011) (“[F]ederal district judges, sitting as sole adjudicators, lack authority to render precedential decisions binding other judges, even members of the same court.”).

Find the full text of this and thousands of other resources from leading experts in dozens of legal practice areas in the [UT Law CLE eLibrary \(utcle.org/elibrary\)](http://utcle.org/elibrary)

Title search: Recent Developments in Admiralty and Maritime Law at the National Level and in the Fifth and Eleventh Circuits

Also available as part of the eCourse

[25th Annual Admiralty and Maritime Law eConference - Fall 2016](#)

First appeared as part of the conference materials for the

25th Annual Admiralty and Maritime Law Conference session

"Recent Developments in Admiralty and Maritime Law at the National Level and in the Fifth and Eleventh Circuits"