

Motions to Reopen After *Mata v. Lynch*: Practice Advisory

Raed Gonzalez



Motions to Reopen

- Statutory, INA 240(c)(7)
 - Must state new facts that will be proved at a hearing to be held if the motion is granted; and
 - Must be supported by affidavits or other evidentiary material
- Regulatory, 8 C.F.R. § 1003.23(b) (IJ) or 8 C.F.R. § 1003.2(c) (BIA)
 - Must state new facts; supported by affidavits and other evidence
 - Must be material, unavailable/undiscovered or presented at the earlier hearing

Time and Numerical limits

- Must be filed within 90 days of the final administrative order or removal
- Only one motion may be filed

Exceptions to the Time and Numerical Limits

- Requests for relief from removal for battered spouse, child, or parent (VAWA)
- *In absentia* orders
 - If no notice: MTR may be filed at any time
 - If notice: 180 days; must show "exceptional circumstances"
- Changed country conditions in asylum cases
- Joint motions
- Motions filed before September 30, 1996
- MTR for termination of withholding of removal under INA § 241(b)(3) or CAT
- MTR granted by Board or IJ *sua sponte* under 8 C.F.R. § § 1003.23(b)(1) and 1003.2(a)
- And now, Motions subject to **equitable tolling**

MTR under *Matter of Lozada*

- If the alien meets requirements under *Lozada*, he/she can file for MTR
- Must also show **prejudice** due the counsel's performance (unless the counsel's ineffectiveness resulted in an entry of an *in absentia* order)

- Generally, must meet 90-day deadline
- The Board has held that the ineffectiveness of counsel does not create an "exception" to the 180-day time limit for filing a motion to reopen under former section 242B(c)(3)(A) of the Act. *Matter of A-A-*, 22 I&N Dec. 140 (BIA 1998); *Matter of Lei*, 22 I&N Dec. 113 (BIA 1998).
- Unless it is an *in absentia* case, in which case the deadline is 180-days – IAC falling under exceptional circumstances (*Matter of Grijalva*). If claiming IAC the 180-day deadline still must be met, but the failure to appear at the hearing must be due to IAC which could be an exceptional circumstance
- Beyond these deadlines, practitioners should argue that equitable tolling applies

Find the full text of this and thousands of other resources from leading experts in dozens of legal practice areas in the [UT Law CLE eLibrary \(utcle.org/elibrary\)](http://utcle.org/elibrary)

Title search: Motions to Reopen after *Mata v. Lynch*: Practice Advisory

Also available as part of the eCourse

[2016 Immigration and Nationality Law eConference](#)

First appeared as part of the conference materials for the 40th Annual Conference on Immigration and Nationality Law session "Motions to Reopen (MTRs) after *Mata*"