

Data Breaches, Big Data, and FTC Oversight

Pierre Grosdidier

May 4, 2018

haynesboone

© 2015 Haynes and Boone, LLP

The FTC and data security

- Main federal agency re. data security
- Authority in FTC Act
 - 15 U.S.C. 45 (“Section 5”)
- 60+ FTC settlements since 2002
- Key case
 - *FTC v. Wyndham Worldwide Corp.*, 799 F.3d 236 (3d Cir. 2015)
 - Three breaches in 2008–10
 - 600,000 credit card; \$10.6m in fraud
 - Holding: Section 5 authorizes FTC to regulate cybersecurity

haynesboone

© 2015 Haynes and Boone, LLP

FTC v. Wyndham Worldwide Corp.

- It is inequitable to:
 - promise security to attract customers;
 - fail to deliver with poor security;
 - “expose unsuspecting customers” to harm;
 - and keep the profits.

haynesboone

© 2015 Haynes and Boone, LLP

3

FTC Act Sections 5(a), (n)

- “[U]nfair or deceptive acts or practices in or affecting commerce, are . . . unlawful.”
- Unlawful as unfair if “the act or practice
 - causes or is likely to cause substantial injury to consumers
 - which is not reasonably avoidable by consumers themselves and
 - not outweighed by countervailing benefits to consumers or to competition.”

haynesboone

© 2015 Haynes and Boone, LLP

4

In re LabMD, Inc., FTC No. 9357

•



hc

TIVERSA, INC.: WHITE KNIGHT OR HI-TECH PROTECTION RACKET?

© 201

5

In re LabMD, Inc. Complaint

- Complicated procedural history
- Initial Decision: ALJ dismissed the FTC complaint (Nov. 13, 2015)
- Full Commission reverses (July 29, 2016)
- Appeal to 11th Circuit Court
 - June 21, 2017 oral argument
 - “A tree fell and nobody heard it.”
 - “The aroma . . . is that [Tiversa] was shaking down private industry with the help of the FTC.”
 - Still waiting for a decision . . .

haynesboone

© 2015 Haynes and Boone, LLP

6

LabMD: the FTC's arguments

- A company's lax computer security measures create a significant risk of concrete harm and are likely to cause substantial consumer injury.
- *Proof of actual identity theft is not required.*
- Under this argument, Section 5 liability can be imposed merely based on the risk that inadequate security measures will cause a data breach that will cause future harm.

haynesboone

© 2015 Haynes and Boone, LLP

7

LabMD: The ALJ's arguments

- FTC had “proven the ‘possibility’ of harm, but not any ‘probability’ or likelihood of harm.”
- Finding that consumers likely to suffer future harm “would require speculation upon speculation.”
- FTC should concern itself with “substantial” injuries, and not “trivial or merely speculative harm.”

haynesboone

© 2015 Haynes and Boone, LLP

8

LabMD: Commission's arguments

- Release of 1718 File breached Section 5
- 11-month 1718 File exposure is a breach
 - Created “significant risk” of substantial consumer injury
- Commission punts on whether inadequate security alone constitutes a breach
 - “[W]e need not address Complaint Counsel’s broader argument.”

haynesboone

© 2015 Haynes and Boone, LLP

9

LabMD ten years after the breach

- 1718 File exposed for one year
- Only copied by Tiversa
- Not one complaint ever filed
- No evidence of harm
- LabMD is out of business
- LabMD principals filed *Bivens* action
- FBI raided Tiversa’s offices in 03/16

haynesboone

© 2015 Haynes and Boone, LLP

10

LabMD ten years after the breach



© 2015 Haynes and Boone, LLP

11

What's one to do?

- Commission Statement of Jan. 31, 2014
- FTC “does not require perfect security”
- Requires “reasonable and appropriate security” through “***a continuous process***”
- “[N]o one-size-fits-all data security program”
- “[M]ere fact that a breach occurred does not mean” a violation of the law
- FTC-published guidelines

haynesboone

© 2015 Haynes and Boone, LLP

12

FTC publications re. data security

- Protecting Personal Information, 2011
- Start with Security; lessons learned from FTC cases, 2015
- Stick with Security FTC blog
- Cases that did not follow the guidelines:
 - *In re LabMD, Inc.*, FTC No. 9357
 - *In re Adobe Systems Inc. Privacy Litigation*, No. 13-cv-05226-LHK, 2014 WL 4379916 (N.D. Cal. Sept. 4, 2014)
 - *FTC v. Wyndham Worldwide Corp.*, 799 F.3d 236 (3d Cir. 2015)

haynesboone

© 2015 Haynes and Boone, LLP

13

Things LabMD did wrong

- No data purge (100,000 unneeded records)
- No access segregation
- No password policies (“labmd”)
- No unauthorized access detection
- No effective antivirus and firewalls
- No risk assessments
- No security training
- No security program
- Haphazard, reactive, ineffective inspections

haynesboone

© 2015 Haynes and Boone, LLP

14

Things Adobe did wrong

- Hackers stole and decrypted credit card nos.; code
- Quotes from the opinion:
 - “Adobe’s security practices were deeply flawed”
 - “did not conform to industry standards”
 - “encryption scheme was poorly implemented”
 - “Adobe . . . failed to
 - employ intrusion detection systems,
 - properly segment its network, or
 - implement user or network level system controls.”

haynesboone

© 2015 Haynes and Boone, LLP

15



Things Wyndham did wrong

- Three attacks in three years
- Default user ID and password (“micros”)
 - Micros Systems, Inc.
- No firewalls
- Out-of-date operating system
 - No security update in over three years
- No third-party access restrictions
- No unauthorized access detection
- No security investigations

haynesboone

© 2015 Haynes and Boone, LLP

16



LifeLock FTC Penalty



The screenshot shows the Federal Trade Commission (FTC) website with the following content:

- FEDERAL TRADE COMMISSION**
PROTECTING AMERICA'S CONSUMERS
- Contact | Stay Connected
- Seal of the Federal Trade Commission
- LifeLock breached a federal court order
- LifeLock
 - Failed to deploy a security program
 - Falsely advertised safeguards
 - Falsely advertised breach notices
 - Failed to maintain records
- \$100 million**

© 2015 Haynes and Boone, LLP

Do not rest on your laurels



FTC Statement: “security is a continuous process of assessing and addressing risk.”



haynesboone

© 2015 Haynes and Boone, LLP

**Audit your system security
Get second opinion**

Have a data breach plan

- Security is now a Legal-IT joint effort



Data breach consequences & issues

- Huge, costly distraction
 - Forensic and legal investigations
 - Crisis management
- Class actions
 - Consumers
 - Target breach: 10¢ per consumer
 - Vendors
 - Shareholders
 - Banks
 - \$8 per card replacement cost
- Data breach insurance policy terms?

haynesboone

© 2015 Haynes and Boone, LLP

Big Data

- Data stores
 - Internet footprints
 - Transaction history
 - Social media
- Information
 - Conclusions
 - Predictions
 - Decisions




haynesboone

© 2015 Haynes and Boone, LLP

21

Data to information ex. (LIGO)




© 2015

22

Big Data

- Applications
 - Targeted advertising
 - Customer selection



“Your recent Amazon purchases, Tweet score, Internet browsing history, and Facebook ‘Likes’ make you 17.3% desirable in this country. Your return flight home is at Gate E23. TY & GB.”

© 2015 Haynes and Boone, LLP

23


The FTC



- Misuse
protection
- **Fact-spe**

haynesboone

© 2015 Haynes and Boone, LLP



g data

Exclusion?

re, and



Four BD issues to keep in mind

- Data set representativeness
 - Bias toward Internet users
- Model bias
 - Model incorporates embedded biases
- Model errors
 - Google Flu Trends did not work
 - Correlation does not mean causation
- “Ethical or fairness concerns”
- *Reminder: concern is the exclusion of “low-income and underserved populations”*

haynesboone

© 2015 Haynes and Boone, LLP

25

Potentially applicable statutes

- Fair Credit Reporting Act
- Equal Credit Opportunity Act
- Title VII of the Civil Rights Act of 1964
- Americans with Disabilities Act
- Age Discrimination in Employment Act
- Fair Housing Act
- Genetic Information Nondiscrimination Act.
- Federal Trade Commission Act

haynesboone

© 2015 Haynes and Boone, LLP

26

Fair Credit Reporting Act

- Big data use to prepare and sell reports that are used to make consumer-related eligibility decisions might be considered credit reporting agencies subject to the FCRA
 - employment, credit, housing
- Even companies that merely purchase and use this information might have their own FCRA obligations that are intended to protect consumers

haynesboone

© 2015 Haynes and Boone, LLP

27

FCRA Safe harbor

- FCRA does not apply to companies when they use data derived from their own relationship with their customers for purposes of making decisions about them
- *Other federal statutes might apply*

haynesboone

© 2015 Haynes and Boone, LLP

28

FTC Act

- Risks of
 - Misrepresenting big data use
 - “Big data” data breach
 - Selling data to fraudsters or identity thieves

haynesboone

© 2015 Haynes and Boone, LLP

29

Takeaways

- Data security
 - Take data security seriously
 - Joint effort between Legal and IT
 - Have a data breach plan
- Big data
 - Think through the use of big data
 - Understand the analytics
 - Protect the data

haynesboone

© 2015 Haynes and Boone, LLP

30

haynesboone

© 2015 Haynes and Boone, LLP

Find the full text of this and thousands of other resources from leading experts in dozens of legal practice areas in the [UT Law CLE eLibrary \(utcle.org/elibrary\)](https://utcle.org/elibrary)

Title search: Data Breaches, Big Data, and FTC Oversight

Also available as part of the eCourse

[Data Breaches, Big Data, and FTC Oversight](#)

First appeared as part of the conference materials for the
2018 STUDIO WEBCAST: Data Breaches, Big Data, and FTC Oversight session
"Data Breaches, Big Data, and FTC Oversight"