
2019 Update – Public **Employee Issues**

Presenters: Craig Deats & Natalie DeLuca



- But their rights can be limited more than those of the public generally
- Courts engage in *Pickering* balancing test, where employee rights are measured against governmental employer's needs
- Pickering v. Bd. of Educ. (Sup Ct 1968)

1

Elements – public employee Free Speech Claims

- Test for public employee free speech claims:
- 1. Did employee suffer adverse employment action?
- 2. Did employee speak on matter of public concern?
- 3. Did employee interest in speech outweigh employer's interest in gov'tal efficiency?
- 4. Was the adverse action substantially motivated by the employee's speech?

Added Element – did employee speak pursuant to official job duties?

- Seminal case Garcetti v. Ceballos, 547 U.S. 410 (2006)
- New clarification Lane v. Franks, 573 U.S. 13 (2014)
- Critical distinction speech connected to job can still be protected as long as it is not speech required by job (but courts blur this line often)

Undecided Issue (5th Cir.): What constitutes an adverse employment action?

- Old law must have concrete action like discharge, demotion that involves loss of pay
- Transfer in some cases constitutes adverse employment action, even w/out pay loss, if it is in effect a demotion.

Does Burlington Northern supplant Adv Emp Act standard?

- Burlington Northern any action considered materially adverse, if it would dissuade an employee from making a report.
- BN has been applied in First Amendment context by some district courts in the 5th circuit – e.g., *Rodriguez v. Laredo*, 2007 WL 2329860
- But 5th Circuit has not decided question Dumas v. St. Tammany, 2018 WL 2117240 (W.D.La.)

5

Find the full text of this and thousands of other resources from leading experts in dozens of legal practice areas in the <u>UT Law CLE eLibrary (utcle.org/elibrary)</u>

Title search: 2019 Update - Public Employee Issues

Also available as part of the eCourse 2019 Labor and Employment Law eConference

First appeared as part of the conference materials for the 26^{th} Annual Labor and Employment Law Conference session "Public Sector Update"