

PRESENTED AT
ESSENTIAL EMPLOYMENT LAW

August 2, 2019
Austin, Texas

**BASIC GUIDELINES: HOW TO PROVE
DISCRIMINATION, HARASSMENT, AND RETALIATION**

Presented by Scott Brutocao

Cornell Smith Mierl Brutocao Burton, LLP
1607 West Ave.
Austin TX 78701
512.328.1540
sbrutocao@cornellsmith.com
www.cornellsmith.com

Written by Katrina Grider

Ogletree, Deakins, Nash,
Smoak & Stewart, P.C.
One Allen Center
500 Dallas Street, Suite 3000
Houston, TX 77002
katrina.grider@ogletree.com

713.655.5700

BASIC GUIDELINES: HOW TO PROVE DISCRIMINATION, HARASSMENT, AND RETALIATION

I. COVERAGE

Title VII of the Civil Rights Act of 1964 (“Title VII”) prohibits discrimination in employment based upon race, color, religion, sex, and national origin. Prohibitions based on age and disability are covered in separate laws, the Age Discrimination in Employment Act (“ADEA”) and the Americans with Disabilities Act (“ADA”) and the Americans with Disabilities Act Amendments (“ADAAA”).

Title VII applies to employment agencies, labor organizations, and employers that employ more than 15 employees. The prohibitions of Title VII extend to every conceivable employment decision, such as hiring, firing, promotion, wages, training, and job classification. The ADEA extends to every employer with 20 or more employees and the Americans with Disabilities Act covers every employer with 15 or more employees.

The Texas Commission on Human Rights Act (“TCHRA”), TEX. LAB. CODE § 21.001 *et. seq.* is the state version of Title VII, but also includes age and disability. It covers every employer with 15 or more employees.

II. PROVING CLAIMS OF DISCRIMINATION

A. Disparate Treatment

1. Elements of Claim

- a. Title VII prohibits disparate treatment in terms and conditions of employment based on race, color, gender, national origin, and religion. An employee can make out a disparate treatment claim by direct or indirect evidence. Cases of direct evidence disparate treatment claims are rare. The most common disparate treatment claims are based on indirect or circumstantial evidence.

i. Direct Evidence

In a case where an employee has direct evidence of discriminatory animus

– like a statement by a supervisor attributing a change in terms and conditions specifically based on a person’s protected trait – it is well established that such direct evidence establishes a prima facie case for the employee and the burden of production and persuasion automatically shifts to the employer to show a legitimate, non-discriminatory reason(s) for its challenged actions.

ii. Circumstantial Evidence

Also available as part of the eCourse

[2019 Essential Employment Law eConference](#)

First appeared as part of the conference materials for the
2019 Essential Employment Law: A Practical Course in the Basics session
"Discrimination and Harassment"