



Chapter 18.001 Affidavits, Post-HB 1693: What Changed and What Remains the Same?

JENNIFER MAUER LEE – FEE, SMITH, SHARP & VITULLO, DALLAS

1



What Changed?

- ▶ 1. Deadlines
- ▶ 2. Supplementation
- ▶ 3. Notice
- ▶ 4. Causation?

2

What is Reasonable and Necessary?

NO CHANGE

3

Plaintiff must prove the expenses were necessary to treat, reasonable in amount, and expenses were paid and incurred.

CPRC 18.001

- ▶ Eliminated the cost and need to call an expert live to testify.
- ▶ Done through an affidavit.
- ▶ Can be controverted by affidavit.
- ▶ Controverting affidavit negates the effect of the initial affidavit and forces the proponent to call expert testimony (or by other means) and operates to increase litigation cost.
- ▶ If not controverted, supports finding of fact and bars conflicting evidence.
- ▶ Does NOT conclusively establish amount of damages as a matter of law.
- ▶ Not limited to medical services, covers "services" generally.

4

Find the full text of this and thousands of other resources from leading experts in dozens of legal practice areas in the [UT Law CLE eLibrary \(utcle.org/elibrary\)](https://utcle.org/elibrary)

Title search: Chapter 18.001 Affidavits, Post-HB 1693: What Changed, and What Remains the Same

Also available as part of the eCourse

[Car Crash Trials Tactics: Affidavits, Deposition and Cross-Exam, Medical Records, and more](#)

First appeared as part of the conference materials for the 2019 Car Crash Seminar session

"Chapter 18.001 Affidavits, Post-HB 1693: What Changed, and What Remains the Same"