

EMPLOYEE ACTIVISM AND WORKPLACE POLITICAL SPEECH

Laura M. Merritt

University of Texas, Continuing Legal Education
May 7-8, 2020 – Austin, TX

OVERVIEW

- Rules for public versus private employers
- Laws and regulations implicated by workplace speech
- “Callout culture” vs. lawful off-duty conduct
- Best practices: to restrict or not restrict?



(Photo credits, top to bottom: Michael Chow/The Republic, April, 2020;
Chip Somodevilla/Getty Images, 2017; Lucy Nicholson/Reuters, 2018; Erin Patrick
O'Connor, Joyce Koh/The Washington Post, 2019)

**BOULETTE GOLDEN
& MARIN L.L.P.**

What is “speech”?

3

- ❑ Spoken words
- ❑ Written words
- ❑ Gestures
- ❑ Displays: images, pins, bumper stickers, flags, hats, t-shirts. . .
- ❑ Social media conduct (individual posts, replies to posts, clicking “like” on Facebook)
- ❑ Lawsuits?
 - ❑ Associated press conferences/media coverage
 - ❑ Example: April 23, 2020 Smithfield employee lawsuit protesting COVID-19 safety measures (*Rural Community Workers Alliance and Jane Doe v. Smithfield Foods, Inc. and Smithfield Fresh Meats Corp.*; in the United States District Court for the Western District of Missouri, CA No. 5:20-cv-06063)

**BOULETTE GOLDEN
& MARIN L.L.P.**

The Laws – Public v. Private

4

- ❑ Federal and State constitutions provide for the right to “free speech”
- ❑ AKA “what about my First Amendment rights?!”
 - ❑ Public employers may not unduly restrict an employee’s right to expression
 - ❑ Not all speech is protected
 - ❑ While public employer cannot regulate protected speech, is permissible to regulate communication method
 - ❑ If claiming retaliation, employee must prove his/her interest in speaking openly on a matter of public concern outweighs the government's interest in having an efficient workplace
 - ❑ Complex area, will focus on private employer dynamic today

**BOULETTE GOLDEN
& MARIN L.L.P.**

The Laws

5

- Anti-discrimination laws, for example:
 - Title VII/Texas Commission on Human Rights Act/local ordinances
 - Religious discrimination/accommodation
 - Evidence of discriminatory intent
 - Harassment prevention obligations
 - Americans with Disabilities Act
 - Age Discrimination in Employment Act

**BOULETTE GOLDEN
& MARIN L.L.P.**

The Laws

- Federal and state whistleblower laws may also be implicated by employee speech or conduct, depending on the topic.
- Potential claim asserting that discharge based on certain employee conduct or speech violates "public policy."

**BOULETTE GOLDEN
& MARIN L.L.P.**

Find the full text of this and thousands of other resources from leading experts in dozens of legal practice areas in the [UT Law CLE eLibrary \(utcle.org/elibrary\)](https://utcle.org/elibrary)

Title search: The Ethics of Civility

Also available as part of the eCourse

[2020 Labor and Employment Law eConference](#)

First appeared as part of the conference materials for the
27th Annual Labor and Employment Law Conference session
"The Ethics of Civility"