

Occurrence Based Loss Of Use

Drafting Considerations: *Force Majeure, impossibility of performance, casualty loss, civil unrest and related issues*




MCGINNIS LOCHRIDGE we're in it together®

© 2020 McGinnis Lochridge

1

Speakers



Eric Johnston
ejohnston@mcginnislaw.com



Sophia Makris
smakris@mcginnislaw.com



MCGINNIS LOCHRIDGE we're in it together®

© 2020 McGinnis Lochridge

2

2

COVID-19 Related Litigation

- Litigation has spiked since the COVID-19 pandemic.
 - 6,196 Complaints have been filed nationwide. (As of November 9, 2020)
 - Of these complaints:
 - 442 related to contract disputes
 - 464 related to landlord/tenant and other property disputes
 - 451 Complaints have been filed in Texas.
 - Of these complaints:
 - 70 related to contract disputes
 - 71 related to landlord/tenant and other property disputes



Generally: Force Majeure

- A party to a contract who is unable to perform may assert a Force majeure provision to a contract to excuse performance.
- A “force majeure” provision excuses the party’s non-performance when it is caused by circumstances beyond the reasonable control of the party.
- The term “force majeure” generally refers to an event, such as an “act of God,” beyond the reasonable control of the parties to the lease that intervenes to create a contractual impossibility and thereby excuses performance under the lease. See *Virginia Power Energy Mktg., Inc. v. Apache Corp.*, 297 S.W.3d 397, 400 (Tex. App.—Houston [14th Dist.] 2009, pet. denied).



Force Majeure: a combustible history

- Created in English common law.
- *Taylor v. Caldwell*: An event organizer had contracted with a venue owner to rent a music hall and gardens for four days during the summer of 1861. Before the first event could take place, however, an accidental fire destroyed the music hall.
- The *Taylor* Court held that “[I]n contracts in which the performance depends on the continued existence of a given person or thing, a condition is implied that the impossibility of performance arising from the perishing of the person or thing shall excuse the performance.”



Force Majeure: the fire crosses the Atlantic

- *The Tornado*: Twenty years after the English court's decision in *Taylor*, the SCOTUS came to the same conclusion.
 - The owner of *The Tornado* agreed to deliver goods.
 - *The Tornado* caught fire and sunk to the bottom of the harbor before the ship commenced the voyage and was rendered unseaworthy.
 - SCOTUS expressly adopted the rule in *Taylor* that, where the agreement of two contracting parties contemplate a specific set of circumstances that can no longer be performed, both parties are excused from performance.



Find the full text of this and thousands of other resources from leading experts in dozens of legal practice areas in the [UT Law CLE eLibrary \(utcle.org/elibrary\)](https://utcle.org/elibrary)

Title search: Occurrence Based Loss of Use

Also available as part of the eCourse

[2020 Bernard O. Dow Leasing Institute eConference](#)

First appeared as part of the conference materials for the
2020 Bernard O. Dow Leasing Institute session

"Drafting Considerations for Occurrence Based Loss of Use"