2012 EEOC Guidance

EEOC Enforcement Guidance

Number 915.002 Date 4/25/2012

- 1. **SUBJECT**: Enforcement Guidance on the Consideration of Arrest and Conviction Records in Employment Decisions Under Title VII of the Civil Rights Act of 1964, *as amended*, 42 U.S.C. § 2000e *et seq*.
- 2. **PURPOSE**: The purpose of this Enforcement Guidance is to consolidate and update the U.S. Equal Employment Opportunity Commission's guidance documents regarding the use of arrest or conviction records in employment decisions under Title VII of the Civil Rights Act of 1964, as amended, 42 U.S.C. § 2000e *et seq*.
- 3. **EFFECTIVE DATE**: Upon receipt.
- 4. **EXPIRATION DATE**: This Notice will remain in effect until rescinded or superseded.
- 5. **ORIGINATOR**: Office of Legal Counsel.

Consideration of Arrest and Conviction Records in Employment Decisions Under Title VII of the Civil Rights Act of 1964

Table of Contents

I.	Sum	nary	1
II.	Intro	duction	3
III.	Back	ground	4
	A. B. C.	Criminal History Records Employers' Use of Criminal History Information The EEOC's Interest in Employers' Use of Criminal Records in	4 6
		Employment Screening	6
IV.	Dispa	arate Treatment Discrimination and Criminal Records	6
V.	Dispa	arate Impact Discrimination and Criminal Records	8
	A.	Determining Disparate Impact of Policies or Practices that Screen	
		Individuals Based on Records of Criminal Conduct	9
		1. Identifying the Practice or Policy	9
		2. Determining Disparate Impact	9
	B.	Job Related for the Position in Question and Consistent with	
		Business Necessity	10
		1. Generally	10
		2. Arrests	12
		3. Convictions	13
		4. Determining Whether a Criminal Conduct Exclusion Is Job	
		Related and Consistent with Business Necessity	14
		5. Validation	14
		6. Detailed Discussion of the <i>Green</i> Factors and Criminal	
		Conduct Screens	15
		a. The Nature and Gravity of the Offense or Conduct	15
		b. The Time that Has Passed Since the Offense, Conduct	
		and/or Completion of the Sentence	15
		c. The Nature of the Job Held or Sought	16
		7. Examples of Criminal Conduct Exclusions that Do Not Consider	10
		the Green Factors	16
		8. Targeted Exclusions that Are Guided by the <i>Green</i> Factors	17
		9. Individualized Assessment	18
	C.	Less Discriminatory Alternatives	20

VI.	Positions Subject to Federal Prohibitions or Restrictions on Individuals with Records of Certain Criminal Conduct		
	A.	Hiring in Certain Industries	20
	B.	Obtaining Occupational Licenses	21
	C.	Waiving or Appealing Federally Imposed Occupational	
		Restrictions	21
	D.	Security Clearances	23
	E.	Working for the Federal Government	23
VII.	Positions Subject to State and Local Prohibitions or Restrictions on Individuals with Records of Certain Criminal Conduct		24
	with i	Accords of Certain Criminal Conduct	∠ +
VIII.	Employer Best Practices		25

I. Summary

- An employer's use of an individual's criminal history in making employment decisions may, in some instances, violate the prohibition against employment discrimination under Title VII of the Civil Rights Act of 1964, as amended.
- The Guidance builds on longstanding court decisions and existing guidance documents that the U.S. Equal Employment Opportunity Commission (Commission or EEOC) issued over twenty years ago.
- The Guidance focuses on employment discrimination based on race and national origin. The Introduction provides information about criminal records, employer practices, and Title VII.
- The Guidance discusses the differences between arrest and conviction records.
 - The fact of an arrest does not establish that criminal conduct has occurred, and an
 exclusion based on an arrest, in itself, is not job related and consistent with
 business necessity. However, an employer may make an employment decision
 based on the conduct underlying an arrest if the conduct makes the individual
 unfit for the position in question.
 - In contrast, a conviction record will usually serve as sufficient evidence that a person engaged in particular conduct. In certain circumstances, however, there may be reasons for an employer not to rely on the conviction record alone when making an employment decision.
- The Guidance discusses disparate treatment and disparate impact analysis under Title VII.
 - A violation may occur when an employer treats criminal history information differently for different applicants or employees, based on their race or national origin (disparate treatment liability).
 - An employer's neutral policy (e.g., excluding applicants from employment based on certain criminal conduct) may disproportionately impact some individuals protected under Title VII, and may violate the law if not job related and consistent with business necessity (disparate impact liability).
 - National data supports a finding that criminal record exclusions have a disparate impact based on race and national origin. The national data provides a basis for the Commission to investigate Title VII disparate impact charges challenging criminal record exclusions.

- Two circumstances in which the Commission believes employers will consistently meet the "job related and consistent with business necessity" defense are as follows:
 - The employer validates the criminal conduct exclusion for the position in question in light of the Uniform Guidelines on Employee Selection Procedures (if there is data or analysis about criminal conduct as related to subsequent work performance or behaviors); or
 - The employer develops a targeted screen considering at least the nature of the crime, the time elapsed, and the nature of the job (the three factors identified by the court in *Green v. Missouri Pacific Railroad*, 549 F.2d 1158 (8th Cir. 1977)). The employer's policy then provides an opportunity for an individualized assessment for those people identified by the screen, to determine if the policy as applied is job related and consistent with business necessity. (Although Title VII does not require individualized assessment in all circumstances, the use of a screen that does not include individualized assessment is more likely to violate Title VII.).
- Compliance with other federal laws and/or regulations that conflict with Title VII is a defense to a charge of discrimination under Title VII.
- State and local laws or regulations are preempted by Title VII if they "purport[] to require or permit the doing of any act which would be an unlawful employment practice" under Title VII. 42 U.S.C. § 2000e-7.
- The Guidance concludes with best practices for employers.



Also available as part of the eCourse

Employment Issues on Campus: Federal and State Employment Law Update; Use of Criminal History; ADA and FMLA; plus On-the-Job Injuries

First appeared as part of the conference materials for the 29th Annual School Law Conference session
"Use of Criminal History to Make Personnel Decisions"