

**RECENT DEVELOPMENTS IN THE ROLE
OF EQUITY COMMITTEES IN CHAPTER 11 CASES**

VALCON 2015

PANEL

*Absolute vs. Relative Priority:
What Creates Equity Value*

Geoffrey R. Raicht, Proskauer, Moderator
Tracy Hope Davis, Office of the U.S. Trustee, Region 17
Robert J. Dehney, Morris Nichols Arsht & Tunnell LLP
Daniel B. Kamensky, Paulson & Co. Inc.
Paul D. Leake, Jones Day*

* The panel thanks the authors of this article: Paul D. Leake, Daniel J. Merrett, Danielle Barav-Johnson and Genna L. Ghaul of Jones Day. Mr. Leake is global Practice Leader of Jones Day's Business Restructuring and Reorganization practice. Mr. Merrett, Ms. Barav-Johnson and Ms. Ghaul are Associates in Jones Day's Business Restructuring and Reorganization practice. The views expressed herein are those of the authors alone and do not reflect the views, positions or policies of the panel, Jones Day or its clients. The views expressed herein are not the views of the United States Trustee or the United States Trustee Program.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	Page
Introduction.....	1
Background Regarding the Appointment and Duties of Equity Committees.....	2
Authority for the Appointment of an Equity Committee.....	2
Standards Governing the Bankruptcy Court's Determination Whether to Appoint an Equity Committee	4
Selection of Members of the Equity Committee.....	5
Duties of Equity Committee Members	6
The Evolving Standard for Appointment of an Equity Committee	8
The Role of Solvency in Appointing an Equity Committee	8
Recent Decisions on the Role of Solvency in Appointing an Equity Committee	11
(i) The Receipt of a Gift under a Chapter 11 Plan Likely Does Not Constitute a "Meaningful Distribution"	12
(ii) Reliable Valuation Testimony is Essential to the Success of a Motion for Appointment of an Equity Committee	13
(iii) A Potential Shift Away from Reliance on the Solvency Factor	14
The Role of Adequate Representation in Appointing an Equity Committee.....	15
(i) Equity Ownership by the Debtor's Board of Directors May Weigh Against Appointment of an Equity Committee	15
(ii) Alignment of Interests with the Creditors Committee May Weigh Against the Appointment of an Equity Committee.....	16
(iii) Equity Holders' Competent Representation of Their Own Interests May Weigh Against Appointment of an Equity Committee	17
Modifying and Disbanding Equity Committees	18
Both the U.S. Trustee and the Courts Are Authorized to Modify the Membership of an Equity Committee	18
Neither the Courts Nor the U.S. Trustee Possess Clear Authority to Disband an Equity Committee	20
The Standards for Appointment May Influence the Standards for Disbanding an Equity Committee	22
The Procedure for Disbanding an Equity Committee Is Unsettled.....	24
Standard of Review of U.S. Trustee Appointments.....	26
Conclusion	28

Introduction

The appointment of an official committee of equity security holders (an "Equity Committee") in a chapter 11 case remains the exception rather than the rule.¹ Nevertheless, Equity Committees have played an increasingly important role in chapter 11 cases in recent years.² The growing impact of Equity Committees in chapter 11 has been reflected by, among other things, (i) the rising number of Equity Committee appointments in several recent "mega" chapter 11 cases³ and (ii) the increasingly common efforts by debtors and other constituencies to disband Equity Committees if they are able to formulate an argument that shareholders are out of the money.⁴

This article discusses recent developments relating to the appointment, function and occasional disbandment of Equity Committees in chapter 11 cases. This article sets forth: (1) a short introduction to the statutory basis, purpose and procedures for the appointment of Equity Committees and the duties of their members; (2) an analysis of the evolving standards for the

¹ See 7 COLLIER ON BANKRUPTCY ¶ 1102.03[2] (Alan N. Resnick & Henry J. Sommer eds., 16th ed. 2010) [hereinafter *Collier*].

² See Heather Lennox, Dennis J. Connolly, Alan W. Kornberg, Jonathan M. Landers, Richard G. Mason, James L. Patton, Jr. & Daniel W. Sklar, *Best Practices Report: Formation, Function & Obligations of Equity Committees in Chapter 11*, AM. COLL. OF BANKR. 2-3, 2 n.8 (2011).

³ See, e.g., *In re Genco Shipping and Trading Ltd.*, No. 14-11108-SHL (Bankr. S.D.N.Y. May 9, 2014) (Docket No. 139) (notice of appointment of equity committee); *In re The Dolan Company*, No. 14-10614-BLS (Bankr. D. Del. Apr. 23, 2014) (Docket No. 195) (same); *In re Overseas Shipholding Group, Inc.*, No. 12-20000-PJW (Bankr. D. Del. Mar. 17, 2014) (Docket No. 2641) (same); *In re Tuscany Int'l Holdings (U.S.A.) Ltd.*, No. 14-10193-KG (Bankr. D. Del. Mar. 13, 2014) (Docket No. 147) (same); *In re AgFeed USA, LLC*, No. 13-11761-BLS (Bankr. D. Del. Aug. 23, 2013) (Docket No. 195) (same); *In re Rotech Healthcare Inc.*, No. 13-10741-PJW (Bankr. D. Del. April 24, 2013) (Docket No. 124) (same); *In re Trident Microsystems, Inc.*, No. 12-10069-CSS (Bankr. D. Del. Feb. 14, 2012) (Docket No. 190) (same).

⁴ See, e.g., *Dolan*, No. 14-10614 (Docket No. 210) (debtor's motion requesting order disbanding Equity Committee); *Rotech*, No. 13-10741-PJW (Docket No. 142) (same); *In re Dewey & LeBoeuf LLP*, No. 12-12321-MG, 2012 WL 5985325 (Bankr. S.D.N.Y. Oct. 30, 2012) (Docket No. 589) (debtor's reply in support of order directing the U.S. Trustee to disband an official committee of former partners); *In re Filene's Basement, LLC*, No. 11-13511-KJC (Bankr. D. Del. Nov. 23, 2011) (Docket No. 264) (motion of the creditors' committee requesting order disbanding the Equity Committee); *In re Dana Corp.*, No. 06-10354-BRL (Bankr. S.D.N.Y. Feb. 9, 2007) (Docket No. 4735) (notice of disbandment of Equity Committee); *In re Gadzooks, Inc.*, No. 04-31486-HDH-11, 2005 BL 104487, at *2 (Bankr. N.D. Tex. Jan. 13, 2005) (Docket No. 1191) (same).

appointment of Equity Committees, focusing, in particular, on the key issues of solvency and adequate representation, as addressed by bankruptcy courts in recent chapter 11 cases; and (3) a discussion of recent developments relating to the disbandment of Equity Committees and the standards for judicial review of decisions by the Office of the United States Trustee (the "U.S. Trustee") relating to Equity Committees. Despite developments in each of these areas, the standards remain ambiguous with many open questions that courts have yet to fully address.

Background Regarding the Appointment and Duties of Equity Committees

Authority for the Appointment of an Equity Committee

Equity Committees may be appointed in chapter 11 cases at the discretion of the U.S. Trustee or by order of the bankruptcy court. Although section 1102(a)(1) of title 11 of the United States Code (the "Bankruptcy Code") mandates that the U.S. Trustee appoint – or at least attempt to appoint – an official committee of unsecured creditors (a "Creditors' Committee") in every large chapter 11 case,⁵ the U.S. Trustee may appoint additional official committees, including Equity Committees, as it deems appropriate.⁶ Pursuant to this straightforward authorization, the U.S. Trustee has appointed an Equity Committee in a number of recent cases.⁷

Additionally, the Bankruptcy Code authorizes the bankruptcy court, upon motion by a party in interest, to order the U.S. Trustee to appoint an Equity Committee "if necessary to assure

⁵ See 11 U.S.C. § 1102(a)(1) (providing in relevant part that "[e]xcept as provided in paragraph (3) [relating to small business debtors], as soon as practicable after the order for relief under chapter 11 of this title, the United States trustee shall appoint a committee of creditors holding unsecured claims").

⁶ See *id.* (providing that the U.S. Trustee "may appoint additional committees of creditors or of equity security holders as [it] deems appropriate").

⁷ See, e.g., *Genco*, No. 14-11108-SHL (Docket No. 139) (notice of appointment of an Equity Committee of three equity holders less than a month after the petition date); *Dolan*, No. 14-10614 (Docket No. 195) (notice of appointment of an Equity Committee of five equity holders about a month after the petition date); *Overseas Shipholding Group*, No. 12-20000-PJW (Docket No. 2641) (notice of appointment of an Equity Committee of three equity security holders nearly two years after the petition date); *Tuscany Int'l Holdings*, No. 14-10193-KG (Docket No. 147) (notice of appointment of an Equity Committee of three equity holders about a month after the petition date); *AgFeed*, No. 13-11761-BLS (Docket No. 195) (same); *Rotech*, No. 13-10741-PJW (Docket No. 124) (same); *Trident Microsystems*, No. 12-10069-CSS (Docket No. 190) (same).

Find the full text of this and thousands of other resources from leading experts in dozens of legal practice areas in the [UT Law CLE eLibrary \(utcle.org/elibrary\)](http://utcle.org/elibrary)

Title search: Absolute vs. Relative Priority: What Creates Equity Value?

Also available as part of the eCourse

[Absolute vs. Relative Priority: What Creates Equity Value?](#)

First appeared as part of the conference materials for the 2015 VALCON session

"Absolute vs. Relative Priority: What Creates Equity Value?"