

THE DEVIL IN THE DETAILS:
AN ETHICAL JOURNEY TOWARD
GOVERNMENTAL APPROVALS

19th Annual Land Use Conference

Planning, Law and Regulation

UT Law CLE

March 25-27, 2015

Marriott Austin North, Round Rock, TX

BARBARA BOULWARE-WELLS

Knight & Partners

223 West Anderson Lane

Suite A-105

Austin, Texas 78752

Phone - 512.323.5778

Facsimile – 512.323.5773

bbw@cityattorneytexas.com

www.cityattorneytexas.com

Author's Bio

Barbara Boulware-Wells, partner with Knight & Partners, presently serves as the City Attorney for Lago Vista, Holland, Uvalde and Goliad; she has served as City Attorney for Bandera, Balch Springs, Castroville, Combine and Wortham, Texas, Assistant City Attorney for Cedar Park, Round Rock, and Elgin, as well as numerous other cities throughout Texas. Beginning her practice in 1991, Barbara's early career was spent as corporate counsel for an international environmental and engineering firm and then as staff attorney for both the Texas Natural Resource Conservation Commission (now the Texas Commission on Environmental Quality) and the Texas Railroad Commission. She has provided legal representation in the areas of zoning, annexations, PIDs, and land use, water and utility matters, and employment and labor law. Barbara also assists with litigation for the firm, having litigated in the areas of EEOC and other personnel claims and suits, eminent domain and other land use matters, and administrative actions involving water, wastewater and environmental challenges. She has additional extensive experience in municipal court having served as prosecutor to over 25 different cities. Barbara currently serves as President of the Civil Service Commission of Cedar Park and has served on the Charter Review Commission and the 4(B) Economic Development Board. She has presented legal papers and has spoken at various state and local conferences and training seminars. She has testified during State Legislative sessions concerning land use matters and assisted in drafting legislation regarding zoning, vesting and land use. Barbara received the Certificate of Merit in Municipal Law from the Texas City Attorneys Association.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

I. INTRODUCTION	4
II. CLASSIFICATIONS.....	4
III. REGULATIONS APPLICABLE TO THE LOCAL OFFICIAL:	4
IV. REGULATIONS APPLICABLE TO THE ATTORNEYS:	7
V. COMMISSIONER OR COUNCILMEMBER’S ROLE.....	14
VI. SCENARIOS.....	15
VII. CONCLUSION	16
APPENDIX A	17
APPENDIX B	21

This paper is presented as a general statement of the law for use by attorneys. No specific legal advice is intended nor should any be inferred. Those who review and are not attorneys should consult a licensed attorney for assistance and counsel.

I. INTRODUCTION

Many times those who are in the municipal arena find themselves faced with ethical issues and dilemmas. A particularly ripe area for these issues is in the area of land use and development matters, in large part because this is the most common area where residents and/or owners of property (and their representatives) within the city must interact with City staff to receive approval from City commissions and/or councils for many of the things that they desire to do with their property. Sometimes these individuals are *not* represented by legal counsel or other professional representative which can lead to more concerns or issues. Finally, these concerns or issues can be further complicated in smaller cities and towns where multiple hats are worn by individuals and most everyone knows everyone else. This paper intends to present the framework of regulations that control various parties, address how some situations can be viewed from various sides and offer suggestions as to ways to deal with and/or prevent such situations.

II. CLASSIFICATIONS

Generally in classifying the players, one initially carves them into two groups: Political subdivision and the landowner/applicant. From there, one can further break them down: 1) the City staff (e.g. land use planner, public works director), the local governmental official (e.g. the board member, commissioner and/or council person), and the attorney who represents the City (may or may not be an employee); or 2) the landowner/applicant/developer, and attorney, if represented. Ethical guidance for many of these individuals can be found in the professional ethics for the planner (American Planning Association and its local chapter) and possibly other city personnel (Engineer, Surveyor), the ethics codes for the local official (Texas Local Government Code, Chapter 171, Texas Local Government Code, Section 212.017, or perhaps a local ethics ordinance) and the legal ethics for those who represent either side (The American Bar Association Model Rules of Professional Conduct and the Texas Disciplinary Rules of Professional Conduct).

III. REGULATIONS APPLICABLE TO THE LOCAL OFFICIAL:

Chapter 171, Texas Local Government Code provides the minimum amount of ethical conduct for local public officials.¹ Chapter 171 provides, in part, as follows:

§ 171.003. Prohibited Acts; Penalty.

(a) A local public official commits an offense if the official knowingly:

¹ According to § 171.001(1) of the Texas Local Government Code, a “local public official” means “a member of the governing body or another officer, whether elected, appointed, paid, or unpaid, of any district (including a school district), county, municipality, precinct, central appraisal district, transit authority or district, or other local governmental entity who exercises responsibilities beyond those that are advisory in nature.”

- (1) violates Section 171.004;
- (2) acts as surety for a business entity that has work, business, or a contract with the governmental entity; or
- (3) acts as surety on any official bond required of an officer of the governmental entity.

(b) An offense under this section is a Class A misdemeanor.

It is important to note that by definition then, councilmembers, planning and zoning commission members, zoning board of adjustment members and city staff all qualify as “local public officials” for conflict of interest purposes.

What is a violation of Section 171.004² Based upon a review of this section, an official would violate Section 171.004 if he or she failed to (1) file an affidavit describing the nature of the substantial interest with the City (usually kept with official City records) and (2) abstain from all participation in the matter. This triggers the at least two questions - what is meant by “substantial interest” and “participation.”

One must look to whether the official has a substantial interest in real property or a substantial interest in a business entity. As for real property, if the interest is an equitable or legal ownership with a fair market value of \$2,500 or more,” that official would be considered to have a substantial interest.³ This substantial interest would be applicable if a person related to the official in the first degree of consanguinity or affinity has the substantial interest as defined herein.⁴ Spouses, children, parents, spouses of parents or children, spouse’s parents and children and stepchildren/stepparents are included.⁵

As for a business entity interest: “if the official 1) owns 10% or more of the voting stock or shares of a business entity, or owns either 10% or more or \$15,000 or more of the fair market value of

² See, Tex. Loc. Gov’t Code, Sec. 171.004. Affidavit and Abstention From Voting Required.

(a) If a local public official has a substantial interest in a business entity or in real property, the official shall file, before a vote or decision on any matter involving the business entity or the real property, an affidavit stating the nature and extent of the interest and shall abstain from further participation in the matter if:

- (1) in the case of a substantial interest in a business entity the action on the matter will have a special economic effect on the business entity that is distinguishable from the effect on the public; or
- (2) in the case of a substantial interest in real property, it is reasonably foreseeable that an action on the matter will have a special economic effect on the value of the property, distinguishable from its effect on the public.

(b) The affidavit must be filed with the official record keeper of the governmental entity.

(c) If a local public official is required to file and does file an affidavit under Subsection (a), the official is not required to abstain from further participation in the matter requiring the affidavit if a majority of the members of the governmental entity of which the official is a member is composed of persons who are likewise required to file and who do file affidavits of similar interests on the same official action.

³ *Id.*, § 171.002(b), Tex. Loc. Gov’t Code

⁴ *Id.*, § 171.002(c), Tex. Loc. Gov’t Code

⁵ See, Tex. Gov’t Code, Sec. 573.024(b) - the affinity relationships continue after divorce or death if there is a living child of the marriage.

Find the full text of this and thousands of other resources from leading experts in dozens of legal practice areas in the [UT Law CLE eLibrary \(utcle.org/elibrary\)](http://utcle.org/elibrary)

Title search: The Devil in the Details: An Ethical Journey toward Governmental Approvals

Also available as part of the eCourse

[Avoiding Open Government Pitfalls; plus an Ethics Journey toward Government Approvals](#)

First appeared as part of the conference materials for the
19th Annual The Land Use Conference session

"The Devil in the Details: An Ethical Journey toward Governmental Approvals"