

**PRESENTED AT**

24<sup>th</sup> Annual Admiralty and Maritime Law Conference

January 21, 2016  
Houston, Texas

## **Recent Developments in Admiralty and Maritime Law at the National Level and in the Fifth and Eleventh Circuits**

**David W. Robertson and Michael F. Sturley**

Author Contact Information:

David W. Robertson  
W. Page Keeton Chair in Tort Law  
University Distinguished Teaching Professor  
University of Texas Law School  
727 East Dean Keeton Street  
Austin, Texas 78705  
[drobertson@law.utexas.edu](mailto:d Robertson@law.utexas.edu)  
512-232-1339

Michael F. Sturley  
Fannie Coplin Regents Chair in Law  
University of Texas Law School  
727 East Dean Keeton Street  
Austin, Texas 78705  
[msturley@law.utexas.edu](mailto:msturley@law.utexas.edu)  
512-232-1350

**RECENT DEVELOPMENTS IN ADMIRALTY AND MARITIME LAW AT THE NATIONAL LEVEL AND IN THE FIFTH AND ELEVENTH CIRCUITS**

<b>I. INTRODUCTION</b> .....	1
<b>II. MISCELLANEOUS DEVELOPMENTS AT THE NATIONAL LEVEL</b> .....	2
A. Federal Rules Amendments .....	2
B. Maximum Compensation Rate Under the LHWCA .....	3
<b>III. THE WORK OF THE SUPREME COURT</b> .....	4
A. Criminal Prosecution of Commercial Fishermen under the Sarbanes-Oxley Act .....	4
<i>Yates v. United States</i>	
B. Selected Nonmaritime Decisions .....	5
1. Federal Tort Claims Act .....	5
<i>United States v. Kwai Fun Wong</i>	
2. Foreign Sovereign Immunities Act .....	5
<i>OBB Personenverkehr A.G. v. Sachs</i>	
3. Removal of Cases from State to Federal Court .....	6
<i>Dart Cherokee Basin Operating Co. v. Owens</i>	
4. State Governmental Immunity .....	6
C. Denials of Certiorari .....	6
<i>McBride v. Estis Well Service</i>	
<i>American Commercial Lines, LLC v. United States</i>	
<i>BP Exploration &amp; Production Inc. v. Lake Eugenie Land &amp; Development, Inc.</i>	
<i>Anadarko Petroleum Corp. v. United States</i>	
<i>BP Exploration &amp; Production Inc. v. United States</i>	
<b>IV. SELECTED DECISIONS FROM AROUND THE COUNTRY</b> .....	7
A. Admiralty Jurisdiction .....	7
1. The Requirements of Admiralty Jurisdiction in Tort and Contract Cases .....	7
<i>Lu Junhong v. Boeing Co.</i>	
<i>Ali v. Rogers</i>	
<i>Guzaj v. Woods Hole, Martha's Vineyard and Nantucket Steamship Auth.</i>	
<i>Adamson v. Port of Bellingham</i>	
2. Removal into Admiralty .....	10
<i>Lu Junhong v. Boeing Co.</i>	

	<i>A.E.A. v. Volvo Penta of the Americas, LLC</i>	
	<i>Schaffer v. Air &amp; Liquid Systems Corp.</i>	
	<i>Nassau County Bridge Authority v. Olsen</i>	
	<i>Simmerman v. EIC Associates</i>	
	<i>Ficarra v. Germain</i>	
B. The Rights of Seamen .....		14
1. Seaman Status .....		14
	<i>Mercado v. Paducah River Painting, Inc.</i>	
	<i>Merrell v. Weeks Marine, Inc.</i>	
	<i>Turner v. Wayne B. Smith, Inc</i>	
2. Maintenance and Cure .....		15
	<i>Hedges v. Foss Maritime Co.</i>	
	<i>Helix Energy Solutions Group., Inc. v. Howard</i>	
3. Jones Act and Unseaworthiness Litigation .....		16
a. Loss of Consortium Damages .....		16
	<i>Butler v. Ingram Barge Co.</i>	
b. Primary Duty Doctrine .....		17
	<i>Newill v. Campbell Transportation Co.</i>	
4. Punitive Damages in Seamen’s Litigation .....		17
a. Maintenance and Cure .....		17
	<i>Hicks v. Tug Patriot</i>	
b. Unseaworthiness .....		18
	<i>Batterton v. Dutra Group</i>	
	<i>In re Brennan Marine, Inc.</i>	
c. Jones Act .....		19
	<i>In re Brennan</i>	
	<i>Russo v. APL Marine Services, Ltd.</i>	
C. Carriage of Goods .....		19
1. Act of God .....		19
	<i>Lord &amp; Taylor LLC v. Zim Integrated Shipping Services, Ltd.</i>	
2. Deviation .....		20
	<i>Mahmoud Shaban &amp; Sons Co. v. Mediterranean Shipping Co.</i>	
3. Forum Selection Clauses in Bills of Lading .....		21
	<i>Amazon Produce Network, LLC v. NYK Line</i>	
	<i>Fragrance House, Inc. v. Hanjin Shipping Co.</i>	

	<i>Pwrtech, LLC v. NYK Line (North America) Inc.</i>	
	<i>Atlantic Container Line AB v. Volvo Car Corp.</i>	
4. The One-Year Time-Bar .....		23
	<i>Tienda Escolar, Inc. v. Magic Transport, Inc.</i>	
	<i>Gourmet Gallery Crown Bay, Inc. v. Tropical Shipping &amp; Construction Co.</i>	
D. General Maritime Tort and Contract Law .....		23
	<i>Colombo v. BRP US Inc.</i>	
	<i>Moreno v. Ross Island Sand &amp; Gravel Co.</i>	
E. Marine Insurance .....		25
1. Utmost Good Faith ( <i>Uberrimae Fidei</i> ) .....		25
	<i>Catlin (Syndicate 2003) at Lloyd's v. San Juan Towing &amp; Marine Services, Inc.</i>	
	<i>St. Paul Fire &amp; Marine Insurance Co. v. Abhe &amp; Svoboda, Inc.</i>	
2. Warranties .....		27
	<i>Guam Industrial Services v. Zurich American Insurance Co.</i>	
3. Duty to Defend .....		28
	<i>Century Indemnity v. Marine Group, LLC</i>	
F. The Longshore and Harbor Workers' Compensation Act (LHWCA) .....		28
1. Dual-Capacity Negligence Actions Under 33 U.S.C. § 905(b) .....		28
	<i>Merrell v. Weeks Marine, Inc.</i>	
2. The Zone-of-Special-Danger Doctrine .....		29
	<i>Battelle Memorial Institute v. DiCecca</i>	
3. Miscellaneous LHWCA/DBA Cases .....		32
	<i>Brink v. Continental Ins. Co.</i>	
	<i>Huntington Ingalls Industries, Inc. v. Eason</i>	
G. Limitation of Liability Act: Procedural Issues and Interaction with Rivers & Harbors Act .....		34
	<i>In re American River Transportation Co.</i>	
	<i>In re Osage Marine Services</i>	
	<i>In re Massman</i>	
	<i>United States v. Jantram, Inc.</i>	
H. Miscellaneous Cases .....		37
1. Clean Water Act Decisions: Winners and Losers .....		37
	<i>American Farm Bureau Federation v. U.S. E.P.A.</i>	
	<i>Alaska Eskimo Whaling Commission v. U.S. E.P.A.</i>	

2. Neutrality Act .....	38
<i>Bauer v. Mavi Marmara</i>	
3. The Foreign Sovereign Immunities Act and the <i>U.S.S. Cole</i> Litigation: Continued .....	41
<i>Harrison v. Republic of Sudan</i>	
4. Piracy .....	43
<i>United States v. Beyle</i>	
<i>Wu Tien Li-Shou v. United States</i>	
<b>V. THE WORK OF THE FEDERAL COURTS IN THE FIFTH AND ELEVENTH CIRCUITS .....</b>	<b>46</b>
A. Admiralty Jurisdiction .....	46
1. The <i>Grubart</i> Requirements .....	46
<i>In re Louisiana Crawfish Producers</i>	
2. Vessel Status Cases: <i>Lozman</i> 's Influence .....	47
<i>Armand v. Terrall</i>	
<i>Higginbotham v. Drake Towing, L.L.C.</i>	
<i>Badon v. Nabors Offshore Corp.</i>	
<i>Schouest v. Marsh Buggies, Inc.</i>	
3. Removal into Admiralty .....	49
<i>Doyle v. Tidewater Inc.</i>	
<i>Jimenez v. US Environmental Services, LLC</i>	
<i>Aarons v. Phillips 66 Co.</i>	
<i>Bourgeois v. Weber Marine, LLC</i>	
<i>Sanders v. Cambrian Consultants (CC) America, Inc.</i>	
<i>Rutherford v. Breathwite Marine Contractors, Ltd.</i>	
<i>Parker v. US Environmental Services, LLC</i>	
<i>Cormier v. Chet Morrison Contractors, LLC</i>	
<i>Alexander v. Magnolia Marine Transport Co.</i>	
<i>Serigny v. Chevron U.S.A., Inc.</i>	
<i>Parish of Plaquemines v. Total Petrochemical &amp; Refining, USA, Inc.</i>	
<i>Waddell v. Edison Chouest Offshore</i>	
<i>Carnes v. Friede &amp; Goldman, L.L.C.</i>	
<i>Langlois v. Kirby Inland Marine, LP</i>	
<i>Mims v. Deepwater Corrosion Services, Inc.</i>	
<i>Dyche v. US Environmental Services, LLC</i>	
<i>J.P. v. Connell</i>	

	<i>Boudreaux v. Global Offshore Resources, LLC</i>	
	<i>Thomas v. Chevron U.S.A., Inc.</i>	
	<i>Carnes v. Friede &amp; Goldman, L.L.C.</i>	
	<i>Langlois v. Kirby Inland Marine, LP</i>	
	<i>Ritchey v. Kirby Corp.</i>	
	<i>Clear Lake Marine Center, Inc. v. Leidolf</i>	
	<i>Parish of Plaquemines v. Total Petrochemical &amp; Refining, USA, Inc.</i>	
	<i>J.P. v. Connell</i>	
	<i>Bartel v. Alcoa Steamship Co.</i>	
	<i>Bartel v. Alcoa Steamship Co.</i>	
	<i>Bartel v. American Export Isbrandtsen</i>	
	<i>Seafarers, Inc. v. King Ocean Services Ltd.</i>	
	<i>Blinc, Inc. v. AZ Miami Corp.</i>	
B.	The Federal vs. State Law Consequences of Admiralty Jurisdiction .....	55
	<i>Bourgeois v. Weber Marine, Inc.</i>	
C.	The Rights of Seamen .....	55
1.	Seaman Status .....	55
	<i>Skinner v. Schlumberger Technology Corp.</i>	
	<i>Baldwin v. Clean blast, LLC.</i>	
	<i>Alexander v. Express Energy Services Operating, L.P.</i>	
	<i>Pellegrini v. Monto Oilfield Contractors, LLC</i>	
	<i>Philip v. Hornbeck Offshore Services, LLC</i>	
	<i>Sanders v. Cambarian Consultants (CC) America, Inc.</i>	
	<i>Bendlis v. NCL (Bahamas), Ltd.</i>	
2.	Seaman Status Under the FLSA .....	58
	<i>Coffin v. Blessey Marine Services, Inc.</i>	
3.	Maintenance and Cure .....	58
a.	Dueling Policy Perspectives: Farrell and McCorpen .....	58
	<i>Weeks Marine, Inc. v. Wright</i>	
	<i>Meche v. Doucet</i>	
	<i>Wimberly v. Harvey Gulf Int'l Marine, LLC.</i>	
	<i>Meche v. Doucet</i>	
b.	Federal- and State-Court Procedure in Maintenance and Cure Cases .....	61
	<i>In re Atlantic Sounding Co.</i>	
	<i>Helix Energy Solutions Group, Inc. v. Howard</i>	

c. Maintenance and Cure Nuts & Bolts .....	62
<i>Jefferson v. Baywater Drilling, LLC</i>	
4. Punitive Damages in Seamen’s Actions .....	63
<i>Collins v. A.B.C. Marine Towing, L.L.C.</i>	
<i>Howard v. Offshore Liftboats, LLC</i>	
5. Arbitration and Other Forum Selection Clauses .....	64
<i>Pysarenko v. Carnival Corp.</i>	
<i>Asignacion v. Rickmers Genoa Schiffahrtsgesellschaft mbH &amp; Cie KG</i>	
6. Miscellaneous Seamen’s Actions .....	65
<i>Barto v. Shore Construction, L.L.C.</i>	
D. Carriage of Goods .....	65
<i>North American Auto Sales, LLC v. Kaisha</i>	
E. Marine Insurance .....	67
1. Utmost Good Faith ( <i>Uberrimae Fidei</i> ) .....	67
<i>AIG Centennial Insurance Co. v. O’Neill</i>	
2. Jury Trials in Coverage Actions .....	69
<i>Travelers Property Casualty Company of America v. Ivy Marine Consultants, L.L.C.</i>	
3. Insurance Disputes in the BP Oil Spill Litigation .....	70
<i>In re Deepwater Horizon</i>	
<i>Ironshore Specialty Insurance Co. v. Aspen Underwriting Ltd.</i>	
<i>Cameron International Corp. v. Liberty Insurance Underwriters, Inc.</i>	
4. Duty to Defend .....	71
<i>XL Specialty Insurance Co. v. Bollinger Shipyards, Inc.</i>	
F. The Longshore and Harbor Workers’ Compensation Act (LHWCA) .....	72
1. The Act’s Coverage .....	72
<i>Hernandez v. Louisiana Workers’ Comp. Corp.</i>	
2. Tort Actions Under 33 U.S.C. § 905(b) .....	72
a. Section 905(b) does not apply to injuries occurring outside admiralty jurisdiction .....	72
<i>Crace v. Northrop Grumman Ship Systems, Inc.</i>	
b. The three <i>Scindia</i> duties .....	73
<i>Irika Shipping S.A. v. Henderson</i>	
3. Workers’ Compensation Nuts & Bolts .....	75

	<i>Ramsay Scarlett &amp; Co. v. Director, OWCP</i>	
G. Collision .....		76
1. Wreck Act Liability .....		76
	<i>Ensco Offshore Co. v. MV Satilla</i>	
2. Pipeline Allisions .....		77
	<i>National Union Fire Insurance Co. v. Weeks Marine, Inc.</i>	
	<i>Contango Operators, Inc. v. Weeks Marine, Inc.</i>	
H. Outer Continental Shelf Lands Act .....		78
1. The <i>Grand Isle v. Seacor</i> Indemnity-Contract Regime .....		78
	<i>Alfred v. Anadarko Petroleum Corp.</i>	
2. For § 1333(a)(2)(A) Purposes, Which State is Deemed “Adjacent” to the OCS Controversy? .....		79
	<i>Danos &amp; Curole Marine Contractors v. BP America Production Co.</i>	
I. Oil Pollution Act .....		81
	<i>Lewis v. Helmerich &amp; Payne Int’l Drilling Co.</i>	
	<i>Nguyen v. American Commercial Lines, L.L.C.</i>	
	<i>In re Deepwater Horizon</i>	
J. General Maritime Tort Law .....		83
1. Shipboard Medical Malpractice .....		83
a. <i>O’Brien v. Cunard (Mass. 1891)</i> .....		83
b. <i>Barbetta v. S/S Bermuda Star (5th Cir. 1988)</i> .....		85
c. <i>Franz v. Royal Caribbean (11th Cir. 2014)</i> .....		85
	<i>Franz v. Royal Caribbean Lines, Ltd.</i>	
2. Other Cruise Ship Litigation .....		86
	<i>Sorreles v. NCL (Bahamas) Ltd.</i>	
	<i>Smith v. Royal Caribbean Cruises, Ltd.</i>	
	<i>Sabo v. Carnival Corp.</i>	
3. Injuries to Workers Engaged in U.S. Companies’ Oil-and-Gas Operations in Foreign Waters .....		88
	<i>Sabo v. Carnival Corp.</i>	
	<i>Johnson v. PPI Technology Services, L.P.</i>	
	<i>Johnson v. GlobalSantaFe Offshore Services, Inc.</i>	
4. Robins Dry Dock .....		90
	<i>Plains Pipeline, L.P. v. Great Lakes Dredge &amp; Dock Co.</i>	
	<i>In re Deepwater Horizon</i>	

5. Borrowed Servant Issues .....	92
<i>Santacruz v. Hertz Equipment</i>	
6. Punitive Damages .....	94
<i>Cook v. Kim Susan LLC</i>	
K. Limitation of Liability .....	94
<i>In re RLB Contracting, Inc.</i>	
L. Procedure .....	95
1. Appellate Procedure .....	95
<i>Southwestern Electric Power Co. v. Certain Underwriters at Lloyds of London</i>	
<i>Blessey Marine Services, Inc. v. Jeffboat, L.L.C.</i>	
<i>Ministry of Oil of the Republic of Iraq v. Kurdistan Region of Iraq</i>	
<i>Certain Underwriters at Lloyd's v. Vicinay Cadenas, S.A.</i>	
<i>Waste Management of Louisiana, L.L.C. v. Jefferson Parish</i>	
2. Forum Selection Clauses .....	97
<i>In re Lloyd's Register North America, Inc.</i>	
<i>Waste Management of Louisiana, L.L.C. v. Jefferson Parish</i>	
3. Wrongful Arrest .....	98
<i>Industrial Maritime Carriers, LLC v. Dantzler, Inc.</i>	
<i>Lozman v. City of Riviera Beach</i>	
M. Federal Governmental Immunity Protects Private Operators of Government Ships .....	99
<i>Pinckney v. American Overseas Maritime Corp.</i>	
N. Miscellaneous Cases: The Clean Air Act/Migratory Bird Treaty Act Proceedings Against CITGO .....	100
<i>United States v. CITGO Petroleum Corp.</i>	
1. The CAA Case against CITGO .....	100
2. The MBTA Case Against Citgo .....	102
O. Postscript on the B.P. Oil Spill Litigation .....	103
1. Overview of Facts .....	103
<i>In re Oil Spill</i>	
<i>United States v. Kaluza</i>	
2. Overview of Litigation to Date .....	105
<i>In re Deepwater Horizon</i>	
3. Allocations of Responsibility for the Blowout and for the Inadequate Efforts to Stem the Flow of Oil .....	106

*In re Deepwater Horizon*

*Young (Johnson) v. BP Exp. & Prod., Inc.*

*In re Oil Spill*

4. Update on Settlements .....	109
5. Potentially Important Pending Appeal .....	109

# RECENT DEVELOPMENTS IN ADMIRALTY AND MARITIME LAW AT THE NATIONAL LEVEL AND IN THE FIFTH AND ELEVENTH CIRCUITS

David W. Robertson  
W. Page Keeton Chair in Tort Law  
University Distinguished Teaching Professor  
University of Texas  
727 East Dean Keeton Street, Austin, Texas 78705  
512/232-1339; Fax 512/858-1045  
[drobertson@law.utexas.edu](mailto:drobertson@law.utexas.edu)

Michael F. Sturley  
Fannie Coplin Regents Chair in Law  
University of Texas  
727 East Dean Keeton Street, Austin, Texas 78705  
512/232-1350; Fax 512/471-6988  
[msturley@law.utexas.edu](mailto:msturley@law.utexas.edu)

December 1, 2015

## **I. INTRODUCTION**

This is the fifteenth article in a series of annual reports on U.S. admiralty and maritime law and practice.<sup>1</sup> In these articles we try to call attention to the principal na-

---

<sup>1</sup> The preceding fourteen articles are David W. Robertson & Michael F. Sturley, *Recent Developments in Admiralty and Maritime Law at the National Level and in the Fifth and Eleventh Circuits*, 39 TUL. MAR. L.J. 471 (2015) [hereinafter *2014 Recent Developments*]; David W. Robertson & Michael F. Sturley, *Recent Developments in Admiralty and Maritime Law at the National Level and in the Fifth and Eleventh Circuits*, 38 TUL. MAR. L.J. 419 (2014) [hereinafter *2013 Recent Developments*]; David W. Robertson & Michael F. Sturley, *Recent Developments in Admiralty and Maritime Law at the National Level and in the Fifth and Eleventh Circuits*, 37 TUL. MAR. L.J. 401 (2013) [hereinafter *2012 Recent Developments*]; David W. Robertson & Michael F. Sturley, *Recent Developments in Admiralty and Maritime Law at the National Level and in the Fifth and Eleventh Circuits*, 36 TUL. MAR. L.J. 425 (2012) [hereinafter *2011 Recent Developments*]; David W. Robertson & Michael F. Sturley, *Developments in Admiralty and Maritime Law at the National Level and in the Fifth and Eleventh Circuits*, 35 TUL. MAR. L.J. 493 (2011) [hereinafter *2010 Recent Developments*]; David W. Robertson & Michael F. Sturley, *Recent Developments in Admiralty and Maritime Law at the National Level and in the Fifth and Eleventh Circuits*, 34 TUL. MAR. L.J. 443 (2010) [hereinafter *2009 Recent Developments*]; David W. Robertson & Michael F. Sturley, *Recent Developments in Admiralty and Maritime Law at the National Level and in the Fifth and Eleventh Circuits*, 33 TUL. MAR. L.J. 381 (2009) [hereinafter *2008 Recent Developments*]; David W. Robertson & Michael F. Sturley, *Recent Developments in*

tional-level developments that bear on the work of admiralty judges, lawyers, and scholars, and we look more closely at the relevant work of the U.S. Courts of Appeals for the Fifth and Eleventh Circuits. We do not warrant full coverage, although with respect to the Fifth and Eleventh Circuit Courts of Appeals, we try to be fairly thorough.<sup>2</sup>

## **II. MISCELLANEOUS DEVELOPMENTS AT THE NATIONAL LEVEL**

### **A. Federal Rules Amendments**

Amendments to the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure go into effect on December 1, 2015. These include changes to Rules 1, 4(m), 16(b), 26(b, c, d, f), 30(a, d), 31(a), 33(a), 34(b), 37(a, e), and 55(c). According to *U.S. Law Week*, “the most hotly contested changes are to Rule 37, governing e-discovery, and Rule 26, addressing overall proportionality of discovery.”<sup>3</sup>

---

*Admiralty and Maritime Law at the National Level and in the Fifth and Eleventh Circuits*, 32 TUL. MAR. L.J. 493 (2008) [hereinafter *2007 Recent Developments*]; David W. Robertson & Michael F. Sturley, *Recent Developments in Admiralty and Maritime Law at the National Level and in the Fifth and Eleventh Circuits*, 31 TUL. MAR. L.J. 463 (2007) [hereinafter *2006 Recent Developments*]; David W. Robertson & Michael F. Sturley, *Recent Developments in Admiralty and Maritime Law at the National Level and in the Fifth and Eleventh Circuits*, 30 TUL. MAR. L.J. 195 (2006) [hereinafter *2005 Recent Developments*]; David W. Robertson & Michael F. Sturley, *Recent Developments in Admiralty and Maritime Law at the National Level and in the Fifth and Eleventh Circuits*, 29 TUL. MAR. L.J. 369 (2005) [hereinafter *2004 Recent Developments*]; David W. Robertson & Michael F. Sturley, *Recent Developments in Admiralty and Maritime Law at the National Level and in the Fifth and Eleventh Circuits*, 16 U.S.F. MAR. L.J. 147 (2004) [hereinafter *2003 Recent Developments*]; David W. Robertson & Michael F. Sturley, *Recent Developments in Admiralty and Maritime Law at the National Level and in the Fifth and Eleventh Circuits*, 27 TUL. MAR. L.J. 495 (2003) [hereinafter *2002 Recent Developments*]; David W. Robertson & Michael F. Sturley, *Recent Developments in Admiralty and Maritime Law at the National Level and in the Fifth and Eleventh Circuits*, 26 TUL. MAR. L.J. 193 (2001) [hereinafter *2001 Recent Developments*].

<sup>2</sup> We make no attempt to be thorough respecting district court decisions, although we have included some for their information value. “A decision by a federal district judge is not binding precedent in either a different judicial district, the same judicial district, or even upon the same judge in a different case.” 18 MOORE’S FEDERAL PRACTICE § 134.02[1][d], p. 138-24.1 (3d ed. 2007). See also *American Electric Power Co. v. Connecticut*, 131 S. Ct. 2527, 2540 (2011) (“[F]ederal district judges, sitting as sole adjudicators, lack authority to render precedential decisions binding other judges, even members of the same court.”).

<sup>3</sup> 84 U.S.L.W. 561 (Oct. 27, 2015).

Find the full text of this and thousands of other resources from leading experts in dozens of legal practice areas in the [UT Law CLE eLibrary \(utcle.org/elibrary\)](http://utcle.org/elibrary)

## Title search: Recent Developments in Admiralty and Maritime Law at the National Level and in the Fifth and Eleventh Circuits

Also available as part of the eCourse

[Admiralty & Maritime Federal Law Update](#)

First appeared as part of the conference materials for the  
24<sup>th</sup> Annual Admiralty and Maritime Law Conference session

"Recent Developments in Admiralty and Maritime Law at the National Level and in the Fifth and Eleventh Circuits"