

Ethics and Risk Allocation Between In-House and Outside Counsel

Presented By:

Jack Tanner
Director, Fairfield and Woods, P.C.

INTRODUCTION

- Numbers of in-house counsel growing greatly of late. Based on a quick computer search:
- First reported case involving ethics and in-house counsel was in 1989.
- This was a case involving unethical conduct by outside counsel toward in-house counsel.

INTRODUCTION

- First reported case involving ethical conduct of in-house counsel was in 1991; case arose after in-house counsel had left in-house job and regarded scope of his conflict.
- First reported case involving contemporaneous ethical issue for in-house counsel was in 1995.
- First reported malpractice case against in-house counsel was in 1998.

INTRODUCTION

- Formerly, only large companies had in-house departments.
- Now even small companies have in-house departments.
- Some companies have large in-house departments with highly-specialized lawyers.

BASIC RESOURCES

- Rule 1.01—lawyer will be competent.
- Rule 1.02—client sets objectives, lawyer decides means.
- Rule 1.10—imputed disqualification of “firm” includes in-house legal department.

BASIC RESOURCES

- Rule 1.12—the organization is the client.
 - If constituent misunderstands this, lawyer shall ‘splain it to constituent.
- Rule 5.04—lawyer shall exercise independent judgment.

Find the full text of this and thousands of other resources from leading experts in dozens of legal practice areas in the [UT Law CLE eLibrary \(utcle.org/elibrary\)](http://utcle.org/elibrary)

Title search: Ethics and Risk Allocation between In-House and Outside Counsel

Also available as part of the eCourse

[Corporate Counsel 2016: Compliance and Best Practices](#)

First appeared as part of the conference materials for the
38th Annual Corporate Counsel Institute session

"Ethics and Risk Allocation between In-House and Outside Counsel"