

Case Law Update

Ernest E. Smith

Rex G. Baker Centennial Chair in Natural Resources Law
The University of Texas School of Law

2016 Oil, Gas & Mineral Law Institute
April 14-15, 2016
Houston, Texas

[1]


Railroad Commission of Texas v. Gulf Energy Exploration

The issue of the RRC's good faith in plugging the wrong well should have been submitted to the jury.

The issue of whether a contract was entered into at the meeting of representatives of the Railroad Commission, the plaintiff, the service company and the original lessee should have been submitted this issue to the jury.

[2]

Titan Operating v. Marsden



3


Sciscoe v. Enbridge Gathering

(Amarillo Court of Appeals)

The airborne migration of particulates can be the basis for actionable trespass, and the suit is not precluded by the fact that defendants' operations and emissions were within the limits established by state agency regulations.


[4]

Lightening Oil Co. v. Anadarko E & P Onshore LLC



[5]

Lightening Oil Co. v. Anadarko E & P Onshore LLC



[6]

Find the full text of this and thousands of other resources from leading experts in dozens of legal practice areas in the [UT Law CLE eLibrary \(utcle.org/elibrary\)](http://utcle.org/elibrary)

Title search: Case Law Update

Also available as part of the eCourse

[Professor Ernest E. Smith's Oil, Gas and Mineral Law: 2016 Case Law Update](#)

First appeared as part of the conference materials for the
42nd Annual Ernest E. Smith Oil, Gas and Mineral Law Institute session
"Case Law Update"