

SUPERSEDEAS – WHAT FOR AND HOW MUCH?

Daryl L Moore

- ▶ Purpose of supersedeas is to:
- ▶ (1) preserve the status quo; *Ranger*, 182 S.W.2d 701 (Tex. 1994); and
- ▶ (2) secure the appellee and abate the remedies he would otherwise have for realizing the judgment. *Whitmire*, 333 S.W.3d 255 (Tex.App.—Houston [1st Dist.] 2010, pet. denied).
- ▶ A judgment debtor is entitled to supersede and defer payment of the judgment while pursuing an appeal. *Miga*, 299 S.W.3d 98 (Tex. 2009).

SUPERSEDEAS, GENERALLY

- Twice the amount of the judgment - 17th century England, most colonies, and Texas from statehood until adoption of TRCP in 1940.
- The amount of the judgment, plus interest and costs – from 1940 until 1988, the supreme court amended Rule 47, provided for “alternate security” upon a showing of “irreparable harm to the judgment debtor and no substantial harm to the judgment creditor.” *See* *m*, 925 S.W.2d 604 (Tex. 1996).
- 1989 – Legislature enacted Chapter 52, slightly different standard than Rule 47, provided for appellate review of sufficiency and excessiveness.
- 2003 – HB4, “new balance,” security only for compensatory damages, interest, costs, provided an absolute cap. Rule 24.2 amended to conform.

SUPERSEDEAS, HISTORICALLY

- ▶ When the judgment is for money:
- ▶ The amount of security must equal the sum of the amount of compensatory damages awarded in the judgment, interest for the estimated duration of the appeal, and costs.
- ▶ Must not exceed the lesser of: (1) 50% of the judgment debtor's net worth; or (2) \$25 million. CPRC 52.006; TRAP 24.2

SUPERSEDEAS, TO DAY

What constitutes compensatory damages under the statute?

Three times in three years, the Texas Supreme Court has addressed whether a judgment constitutes “compensatory damages” under the superdead statute.

- *In re Nalle*, 406 S.W.3d 168 (Tex. 2013).
- *In re Comal-Lerma*, 451 S.W.3d 385 (Tex. 2015).
- *In re Longview Energy Co.*, 464 S.W.3d 353 (2015).

In re Nalle

- To resolve a conflict in the courts of appeals (1st/3rd), the Supreme Court considered whether a judgment award for attorney’s fees incurred in the prosecution or defense of claim must be secured.
- Court held that, while attorney’s fees may be compensatory by helping “to make a claimant whole, they are not and have never been damages.”
- Court noted that Chapter 52 doesn’t define “compensatory damages,” cited Black’s for proposition that the term is interchangeable with “actual damages,” which is defined as “an amount awarded to a complainant to compensate for a proven injury or loss, damages that repay actual losses.”
- Court expressly declined to address whether Chapter 41’s “compensatory damages” definition (which includes “economic and noneconomic damages”) governs when Chapter 52 applies.

Find the full text of this and thousands of other resources from leading experts in dozens of legal practice areas in the [UT Law CLE eLibrary \(utcle.org/elibrary\)](http://utcle.org/elibrary)

Title search: Supersedeas Bonds — What For and How Much?

Also available as part of the eCourse

[2016 State and Federal Appeals eConference](#)

First appeared as part of the conference materials for the
26th Annual Conference on State and Federal Appeals session
"Supersedeas Bonds"