

PRESENTED AT

2017 Renewable Energy Law

January 31-February 1, 2017

Austin, Texas

**Webber: Where the Candidates Stand on U.S.
Energy Policy Matters a Great Deal to Texas – Each
Has a Plan, but Narrow Focus on Coal Misses Much
of the Picture**

Dr. Michael E. Webber

A dark blue rectangular banner with white and orange text. At the top, it says "HOUSTON★CHRONICLE". Below that, it says "As low as \$1 per week / Unlimited Digital Access". Underneath, in smaller text, it says "HoustonChronicle.com | App for iPhone and iPad | eEdition". At the bottom center, there is an orange button with the word "SUBSCRIBE" in white capital letters.

HOUSTON★CHRONICLE
As low as \$1 per week / Unlimited Digital Access
HoustonChronicle.com | App for iPhone and iPad | eEdition
SUBSCRIBE

Webber: Where the candidates stand on U.S. energy policy matters a great deal to Texas

Each has a plan, but narrow focus on coal misses much of the picture

By Michael E. Webber | October 1, 2016



Photo: Kin Man Hui, Staff

Energy is intertwined with the issues of climate change and manufacturing, but energy policy warrants its own designation. It should not be subordinated in the presidential candidates' agendas.

The irony for Texas - a state that hasn't had a Democratic governor or senator since 1995 and that has made a competitive sport out of suing President Barack Obama - is that Hillary Clinton's energy platform is better for the Lone Star State than Donald Trump's.

Why? Because her policies, as flawed as they are, show greater respect for free-market principles and the future of natural gas. Trump, in contrast, wants to push the country back with policies protecting coal. His approach would be bad for the future of jobs, investments and fortunes in Texas.

Energy is vital to the Texas economy.



Also available as part of the eCourse

[Hooked on CLE: February 2018](#)

First appeared as part of the conference materials for the
2017 Renewable Energy Law session

"The Energy-Water Nexus"