

### Interlocutory Appeals Update Michael Heidler Vinson & Elkins LLP

Civil Practice and Remedies Code Section 51.014(a)(4) gives the enjoined party a right to an interlocutory appeal:

(a) A person may appeal from an interlocutory order of a district court, county court at law, statutory probate court, or county court that:

. . . .

(4) grants or refuses a temporary injunction or grants or overrules a motion to dissolve a temporary injunction as provided by Chapter 65[.]

Tex. Civ. Prac. & Rem. Code  $\S 51.014(a)(4)$  (emphases added).



Confidential and Proprietary ©2017 Vinson & Elkins LLP velaw.com 2

#### Applicant for TI Must Prove a Probable Right to the Relief Sought

The applicant for a temporary injunction "must plead and prove three specific elements":

- (1) "a cause of action against the defendant"
- (2) "a probable right to the relief sought" (also called a "likelihood of success on the merits")
- (3) "a probable, imminent, and irreparable injury in the interim."

In re Newton, 146 S.W.3d 648, 652 (Tex. 2004) (emphasis added); accord Butnaru v. Ford Motor Co., 84 S.W.3d 198, 204 (Tex. 2002); DeSantis v. Wackenhut Corp., 793 S.W.2d 670, 686 (Tex. 1990); Sun Oil Co. v. Whitaker, 424 S.W.2d 216, 218 (Tex. 1968).

V&E

Confidential and Proprietary ©2017 Vinson & Elkins LLP velaw.com

### The Issue

In interlocutory appeals challenging temporary injunctions, a growing number of Texas appellate courts are refusing to review whether the movant established element #2: a "probable right to the relief sought."

**V&E** 

Confidential and Proprietary ©2017 Vinson & Elkins LLP velaw.com 4

# **Exemplary Cases**

Dallas/Fort Worth International Airport Board v. Association of Taxicab Operators:

- Association of taxi operators sued DFW Airport Board to invalidate policy that incentivized taxis to use environmentally friendly engines.
- Trial court entered TI, and Board appealed under CPRC § 51.014.
- The Court holds:
  - "[T]he Airport Board attacks only the 'probable right to recover' element necessary to support the entry of a temporary injunction."
  - "Without addressing the merits of the Airport Board's issues on appeal, we dismiss this appeal."

335 S.W.3d 361, 364-65, 367(Tex. App.—Dallas 2010, no pet.).



Confidential and Proprietary ©2017 Vinson & Elkins LLP velaw.com

# **Exemplary Cases**

Many cases refuse to consider whether the applicant for temporary injunction carried the burden to show a "probable right to the relief sought":

- DK8, LLC v. HBT JV, LLC, No. 05-16-00320-CV, 2016 WL 6094308, at \*2 (Tex. App.—Dallas Oct. 19, 2016, no pet.)
- Arch Resorts, L.L.C. v. City of McKinney, No. 05-15-01108-CV, 2016 WL 3196767, at \*2 (Tex. App.—Dallas May 26, 2016, no pet.)
- Morgan Sec. Consulting, LLC v. Kaufman County, 397 S.W.3d 248, 250 (Tex. App.—Dallas 2013, no pet.)
- Senter Investments, L.L.C. v. Veerjee, 358 S.W.3d 841, 842 (Tex. App.—Dallas 2012, no pet.)
- Barnett v. Manuel Griego, Jr., D.O., P.A., 337 S.W.3d 384, 385–86 (Tex. App.—Dallas 2011, no pet.)
- Brar v. Sedey, 307 S.W.3d 916, 920 (Tex. App.—Dallas 2010, no pet.)
- Hiss v. Great N. Am. Co., 871 S.W.2d 218, 219 (Tex. App.—Dallas 1993, no writ)



Confidential and Proprietary ©2017 Vinson & Elkins LLP velaw.com 6





Find the full text of this and thousands of other resources from leading experts in dozens of legal practice areas in the <u>UT Law CLE eLibrary (utcle.org/elibrary)</u>

# Title search: Interlocutory Appeals Update

Also available as part of the eCourse 2017 eConference on State and Federal Appeals

First appeared as part of the conference materials for the 27<sup>th</sup> Annual Conference on State and Federal Appeals session "Breakfast Buffet: Interlocutory Appeals, Summary Judgments, and the Impact of Early Dismissals on Appellate Dockets"