







## Garcetti & Job Descriptions

• We reject, however, the suggestion that employers can restrict employees' rights by creating excessively broad job descriptions. The proper inquiry is a practical one. Formal job descriptions often bear little resemblance to the duties an employee actually is expected to perform, and the listing of a given task in an employee's written job description is neither necessary nor sufficient to demonstrate that conducting the task is within the scope of the employee's professional duties for First Amendment purposes.

Garcetti v. Ceballos, 547 U.S. 410, 424–25 (2006)(citations omitted).

## Howell v. Town of Ball, 827 F.3d 515, 524 (5th Cir. 2016)

"Howell's statements to the FBI were made outside the normal chain of command and without the knowledge or permission of anyone else in the police department.... Indeed, the confidential nature of Howell's speech alone suggests that it was not part of his "ordinary" professional duties; the FBI did not ask for any assistance from the Ball Police Department, and Howell was forbidden from telling anyone at the department that he was aiding the FBI by recording town officials' conversations, since doing so would have compromised the investigation" (citations omitted)

Find the full text of this and thousands of other resources from leading experts in dozens of legal practice areas in the <u>UT Law CLE eLibrary (utcle.org/elibrary)</u>

## Title search: Recent Developments Regarding Government Employers and Employees

Also available as part of the eCourse 2017 Labor and Employment Law eConference

First appeared as part of the conference materials for the 24<sup>th</sup> Annual Labor and Employment Law Conference session "Public Sector Employment Law Update"