FROM PARTIES TO PRESIDENTS:

DEALING WITH COMPROMISED DECISION-MAKERS

University of Texas Continuing Legal Education – Employment Law Semina Austin, TX · 2017

Travel Ban - Executive Order 13769

- □ 01/27/17 Executive Order 13769
 - Suspended entry of individuals from seven countries for 120 days
 - Suspended processing refugees from all countries for 120 days and capped entry at 50,000
 - Suspended entry of Syrian refugees indefinitely
 - Provisions for minority religion
- □ 01/28/17 TRO entered in NY
- \square 01/29/17 TRO entered in MA
- \square 02/02/17 WH lifts restrictions as to LPRs
- \square 02/03/17 TRO entered in WA
- □ 02/09/17 9th Cir. Denies emergency stay



www.sousamachadoarts.com/2017/2/5/i-got-this

BOULETTE GOLDEN

6 MARIN LLE

Travel Ban – Executive Order 13780

- □ 03/06/17 Executive Order 13780
 - Suspends entry from six countries (exempts Iraq)
 - Exempts LPRs and dual nationals, among others
 - Suspended processing refugees from all countries for 120 days and capped entry at 50,000
 - Lifts ban on Syrian refugees
 - Eliminates provisions for minority religions
- \square 03/15/17 Partial injunction entered in HI
- \square 03/16/17 Partial injunction entered in MD
- \square 05/25/17 4th Cir. upholds injunction
- \square 06/02/17 WH petitions for SCT review and stay
- \square 06/12/17 9th Cir. Upholds injunction



www.pbs.org/newshour/rundown/trumps-muslin rhetoric-key-issue-travel-ban-rulings/

BOULETTE GOLDEN

6 MARIN LLE

Travel Ban - Comments

- □ 12/07/15 "Shutdown of Muslims entering the US"
- \square 03/09/16 "I think Islam hates us"
- □ 03/22/16 "We're having problems with Muslims"
- □ 07/24/16 "Oh, you can't use the word Muslim"
- □ 12/19/16 "Islamic terrorists slaughter Christians"
- □ 12/21/16 "You know my plans"
- \square 01/27/17 "We all know what [EO's title] means"
- \square 01/28/17 "Show me the way to do it legally"
- □ 02/22/17 "[S]ame basic policy outcome"
- □ 06/05/17 "The Justice Dept. should have stayed with the original Travel Ban, not the watered down, politically correct version they submitted to S.C."



htheringer.com/donald-trump-twitter-afterlife-dc42b72901f2

BOULETTE GOLDEN

6 MARIN LLE

Price Waterhouse v. Hopkins (1989)

- □ Some evaluation comments were legitimate:
 - Abrasive
 - Issues with staff
- □ Some were not:
 - Hopkins "overcompensated for being a woman"
 - □ Hopkins should take "a course at charm school"
 - Hopkins "has matured from a tough-talking somewhat masculine hard-nosed mgr to an authoritative, formidable, but much more appealing lady ptr candidate"
 - Hopkins should "walk more femininely, talk more femininely, dress more femininely, wear make-up, have her hair styled, and wear jewelry" to improve her chances



www.deannalittellscharmschool.com/

BOULETTE GOLDEN

MARIN LLE

Price Waterhouse v. Hopkins (1989) (plurality)

But-for causation is a hypothetical construct. In determining whether a particular factor was a but-for cause of a given event, we begin by assuming that that factor was present at the time of the event, and then ask whether, even if that factor had been absent, the event nevertheless would have transpired in the same way. ... The critical inquiry ... is whether gender was a factor in the employment decision at the moment it was made. Moreover, since we know that the words "because of" do not mean "solely because of," we also know that Title VII meant to condemn even those decisions based on a mixture of legitimate and illegitimate considerations.

Supreme Court Ruling in Sex-Bias Case Hailed by Women's Rights Groups

COURT, Frees A1

The case began in 1983 when
Acn B. Hopkins, a management
consultant, was not among the 47
employees selected for paramethploat Price Waterhouse, one of the
notion's "lag eight" accounting
firms, even though she brought is
more business that any of the other
37 centifiates for paramethpl.
Core supervision suggested that
One supervision suggested that
Core supervision suggested that
for the price of the

here was no discrimination in the rest place and that Hopkins could out show that the comments she intend played any role in the specific cision in her case. The reason she raw rejected, the company apped, raw because she was too absensive and difficult to write which are for the company as and from the company as and proper must prever "less stereotying" by multi-parties was the cenral reason; that Hopkins must have the would have been made a starter "but for "the discrimination starter" but for "the discrimination".

can be made to justify it.

Justice Byzon R. White also conrequiring the property of the conrequiring employers to produce ofproof.

Justice Anthony M. Kennedy,
justed in dissently Chief Justice
Autonia Scalia, said the decision
was "certain to result in confission"
rather than clarify shrendy "complete
rudes for employment discriminarudes for employment discriminarudes for employment discriminarudes for employment discrimina-



Washington Post, 1989

BOULETTE GOLDEN

6 MARIN LLE





Find the full text of this and thousands of other resources from leading experts in dozens of legal practice areas in the <u>UT Law CLE eLibrary (utcle.org/elibrary)</u>

Title search: From Parties to Presidents: Dealing with Compromised Decision-Makers

Also available as part of the eCourse <u>From Parties to Presidents: The Use of Social Media to Prove Animus</u>

First appeared as part of the conference materials for the 24th Annual Labor and Employment Law Conference session "From Parties to Presidents: The Use of Social Media to Prove Animus"