# **Fixing or Killing Off Broken Trusts**

(Including 2017 Statutory Changes)

Glenn M. Karisch The Karisch Law Firm, PLLC Austin, Texas texasprobate.com

19<sup>th</sup> Annual Estate Planning, Guardianship and Elder Law Conference Galveston, Texas August 3-4, 2017

Revised June 8, 2017

# Glenn M. Karisch

The Karisch Law Firm, PLLC 301 Congress Avenue, Suite 1910 Austin, Texas 78701 (512) 328-6346 Fax: (512) 597-4062 karisch@texasprobate.com

## **Education**

The University of Texas School of Law, Austin, Texas
Juris Doctor with Honors, 1980
Order of the Coif
The University of Texas at Austin, Austin, Texas
Bachelor of Journalism with Highest Honors, 1977

# **Professional Experience**

The Karisch Law Firm, PLLC, 2008 Barnes & Karisch, P. C., Austin, Texas, 1998 - 2007
Ikard & Golden, P. C., Austin, Texas, 1992 - 1998
Hoffman & Stephens, P. C., Austin, Texas, 1991-1992
The Texas Methodist Foundation, Austin, Texas, Vice President and General Counsel, 1989-1991
Coats, Yale, Holm & Lee, P. C., Houston, Texas, 1980-1989

### **Professional Activities**

Board Certified, Estate Planning and Probate Law, Texas Board of Legal Specialization Fellow, American College of Trust and Estate Counsel

Real Estate, Probate and Trust Law Section, State Bar of Texas

Section Chair, 2007-2008

Council Member, 1999-2003

Chair, Probate Legislation Committee, 2003 - 2008

Chair, Trust Code Committee, 2000-2004

Chair, Subcommittee Studying Articles 8 and 9 of the Uniform Trust Code, 2000 - 2002

Chair, Subcommittee Studying Uniform Principal and Income Act, 2000 - 2002

Chair, Guardianship Law Committee, 1999-2000

Estate Planning and Probate Section, Austin Bar Association, Chair, 1996-97

# Partial List of Legal Articles and Papers

Author and Editor, Texas Probate Web Site [texasprobate.com] and email mailing list [probate@texasprobate.net] (1995-Present).

Legislative updates on Texas probate, guardianship and trust legislation at numerous continuing legal education seminars, 2003 – 2015, on texasprobate.com, 1997 – 2015, and in *O'Connor's Probate/Estates Code Plus* (2003 – 2015).

"Disclaimers under the New Texas Uniform Disclaimer of Property Interests Act," (with Thomas M. Featherston, Jr. and Julia E. Jonas), State Bar of Texas Advanced Estate Planning and Probate Course (2015), updated on texasprobate.com.

"Problems with the Texas Disclaimer Statutes and What to Do About Them," (with Julia E. Jonas), State Bar of Texas Advanced Estate Planning and Probate Course (2014).

"Multi-Party Accounts and Other Non-Probate Assets in Texas," University of Texas CLE Estate Planning, Guardianship and Elder Law (2011), updated on texasprobate.com.

"Dealing with Dysfunctional Clients," State Bar of Texas Advanced Estate Planning and Probate Course (2010).

"Bypass Trust Basics," University of Texas CLE Estate Planning, Guardianship and Elder Law (2010).

"Night of the Living Trust: Living in the World of Non-Probate Assets," National Committee on Planned Giving (2009).

"Protecting the Surviving Spouse and Protecting Yourself After *Belt v. Oppenheimer*," State Bar of Texas Advanced Estate Planning and Probate Law Course (2006), updated on texasprobate.com.

"UPIA Handbook," The Texas Probate Web Site [texasprobate.com] (2003 - 4).

"The New Guardianship Rules Regarding Inventories, Monthly Allowances and Investments," State Bar of Texas Guardianship Law Course (2004).

"Top Ten Brilliance and Blunders: A View from the Webmaster," State Bar of Texas Annual Advanced Estate Planning and Probate Course (2002).

"Modifying and Terminating Irrevocable Trusts," State Bar of Texas Advanced Estate Planning and Probate Law Course (1999), updated on texasprobate.com.

"Court-Created Trusts in Texas," State Bar of Texas Advanced Drafting: Estate Planning and Probate Law Course (1995), updated on texasprobate.com.

# **Table of Contents**

1. Introduction 1				
2. Drafting	with the Future in Mind	2		
2.1. Pro	ovide Broad Trustee Discretion and Powers	2		
	clude Robust Successor Trustee Provisions			
	rmit Change of Situs			
	Plude a Decanting Provision.			
	eative Use of Trust Protectors			
	e Liberal Trust Division and Combination Provisions			
	e Care About Including Remote Charitable Beneficiaries			
3. Judiciall	y Modifying and Terminating Irrevocable Trusts	6		
3.1. Co	mmon Law	6		
3.2. Te	x. Trust Code §112.054	8		
3.2.1.	The 2005 Changes	8		
3.2.2.	The 2017 Changes			
A.	Trust Reformations are Permitted			
В.	Modifications to Qualify a Distributee for Governmental Benefits			
C.	2017 Changes Apply to New and Existing Trusts			
3.2.3.	Trustee or Beneficiary May Bring Suit			
3.2.4.	Actions the Court is Authorized to Take			
3.2.5.	Findings Required			
A.	Prior to 2005 Changes			
В.	Findings Required Under Current Statute – Subsection (a)			
A.	Findings Required Under Current Statute – Subsection (b-1)			
3.2.6.	Conforming to the Intent of the Settlor			
3.2.7.	Spendthrift Clauses are a Factor But Not an Impediment			
3.2.8.	No Justiciable Controversy Required			
	uitable Reformation and Recission			
3.4. Jui	risdiction			
3.4.1.	District Court and Statutory Probate Court			
3.4.2.	County Court at Law			
3.4.3.	Court-Created Trusts			
	nue			
3.6. Par	rties to Termination/Modification/Reformation Suit	26		
361	Who May Initiate Suit	26		

3.6.2.	Necessary and Proper Parties	27
3.6.3.		28
3.6.4.	Analysis of Necessary Parties, Virtual Representation, and Ad Litem Re	quirements
in Mo	dification and Termination Proceedings	
4. Nonjudi	cial Modification or Termination	32
4.1. A	ctions Permitted by the Trust Instrument	22
	ermination and Modification Permitted by Statute	
4.2.1.	Revocation by Settlor	
4.2.2.	Termination by Occurrence of Event	
4.2.3.	Merger	
4.2.4.	Division and Combination of Trusts	
4.2.5.	Decanting	
4.2.6.	Disclaimers	
4.2.7.	Amendment of Charitable Trusts	35
4.3. To	ermination by Agreement of Settlor and Beneficiaries	36
	ne Nonjudicial Virtual Representation Statute	
5. Selected	Problem Areas in Trust Modification and Termination	37
5.1. Ta	ax Issues Affecting Trust Modifications, Combinations and Decanting	37
	oes a Trustee Have a Duty to Seek Trust Modification or Termination?	
	naritable Beneficiaries	
5.3.1.	Charity/Attorney General Involvement	39
5.3.2.	Cy Pres	40
5.4. Pi	oblems Unique to the Trustee	40
6. Conclus	ion	42
7. Append	ix A Drafting for Maximum Flexibility	43
71 D	irpose of Trust.	13
7.1. T	istributions of Income and Principal.	43 43
	pecial Power of Appointment.	
, S <sub>1</sub>		
A	Beneficiaries of Appointed Property.	
	nal Termination of Lifetime Trusts.	
	dependence of Trustee	
	o Disqualification Due to Conflict of Interest.	
	aiver of Bond.	
	ompensation	
	Disclosure to Certain Beneficiaries.	
7.8. Ti	rust Protectors.	
7.8.1.	Appointment of Trust Protectors.	47
7.8.2.	Powers of Trust Protectors.	47

A.	Designate Successor Trust Protectors.	47
B.	Appoint Co-Trust Protectors	
C.	Appoint Special Trust Protector	48
D.	Remove Trustee.	
E.	Fill Trustee Vacancies	48
F.	Designate Successor Trustees.	48
G.	Appoint Special Trustee	49
H.	Authorize Distributions to Other Trusts.	49
I.	Direct the Trustee to Combine Trusts.	49
J.	Terminate Trust Early.	49
K.	Reform or Amend Instrument.	50
L.	Change Trust Situs.	50
7.8.3.	Limitation of Powers of Trust Protector Regarding Taxes	50
7.8.4.	Administrative Matters Related to Trust Protectors.	
A.	Trust May Have No Trust Protector.	50
В.	Rights and Duties Apply to Successor Trust Protectors	51
C.	No Compensation	51
D.	Waiver of Bond.	51
E.	Multiple Trust Protectors.	51
7.8.5.	Duties of Trustee to Trust Protector	
7.8.6.	No Requirement to Act; Liability of Trust Protector	52
7.8.7.	Distribution to Other Trusts.	53
7.9. Con	nbination of Trusts	53
	o Duty to Diversify Investments.	
7.11. T	ransactions With Trustees, Trust Protectors and Beneficiaries	54
7.12. St	andard of Liability	54
7.13. S <sub>1</sub>	pendthrift Trust	55
	istributions Are Separate Property.	
7.15. W	Vaiver of Duty of Impartiality.	55
7.16. D	efinitions	56
7.16.1.	Charity.	
7.16.2.	Independent Trustee.	
7.16.3.	Independent Trust Protector.	
7.16.4.	Individual and Corporate Trustee.	56
7.17. A	pplicable Law; Situs of Administration.	57

# Fixing or Killing Off Broken Trusts<sup>1</sup>

(Including 2017 Statutory Changes)

By Glenn M. Karisch The Karisch Law Firm, PLLC 301 Congress Avenue, Suite 1910 Austin, Texas 78701 karisch@texasprobate.com

### 1. Introduction

One of the ironies of the enactment of the generation-skipping transfer tax ("GST") in 1986 is that a statute designed to curb using trusts for multigenerational planning actually encouraged multigenerational planning. By taxing generation-skipping transfers to the extent they exceeded the lifetime GST exemption amount, estate planners found a new basis for trust planning: if the government is going to allow generation-skipping planning up to the exemption amount, then families ought to take advantage of it.

For this and other reasons, the use of trusts having long terms has increased dramatically. As these trusts mature, families and estate planners are discovering that the trust terms used at the time the trust was created often fail to address the family's needs and fail to meet the industry standard of trusts today. This paper calls these "broken trusts."

Not coincidentally, as the practice of using long-term trusts has grown, there has been a movement toward finding ways to change or terminate broken trusts. This movement is happening on four fronts:

- 1. Drafters are including provisions in new trust documents which permit adjustment of trust terms in the future.
- 2. Statutes are being amended to liberalize modification or termination of trusts.

<sup>1</sup> Creative Commons License CC BY-NC-ND 3.0 US. The State Bar of Texas, the Real Estate, Probate and Trust Law Section of the State Bar of Texas and texasbarcle.com are licensed to use this paper commercially.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> These trusts may have been expertly and correctly drafted. They may have accurately represented the settlors' intentions at the time they were signed. They are called "broken" in this paper because they have that attribute to the persons desiring to change or terminate them.

- 3. The legal academic community and the courts have moved the needle in the direction of deviating from the settlor's literal terms in appropriate cases.
- 4. Attorneys working on broken trusts are becoming craftier at using the available tools to fix or terminate them.

This paper mentions strategies which drafters may use to build flexibility into new trust documents. It then takes a close look at the evolving law of judicially modifying and terminating irrevocable trusts. Finally, it discusses the tools – some old, some new – in the attorney's toolbox to fix or terminate broken trusts without going to court, including decanting and combining trusts.

# 2. Drafting with the Future in Mind

This paper mostly focuses on fixing or terminating existing broken trusts. Still, it is worth noting some of the things drafters of trusts can do to make it easier to adjust to future events. While these strategies build in flexibility, some of them are inappropriate in specific cases. Still, it is the author's opinion that the default approach of the drafter should be to provide flexibility.

Appendix A contains some sample trust provisions to consider using when maximum flexibility is desired.

#### 2.1. Provide Broad Trustee Discretion and Powers

By far, the best way to provide flexibility in the future is to grant the trustee broad discretion – or, at least, broad discretion to the extent permitted by tax law – and to give the trustee broad powers. A settlor creating a trust which may last 10+ years (especially a multigenerational trust) cannot anticipate all of the circumstances which may arise. If the settlor restricts the trustee as to investments or distributions, modification is much more likely to be necessary. Of course, this approach makes selection of the trustee critical and it will not work in all situations. There may be factors which make wide discretion inappropriate.

Consider the following approaches:

- Use a broad discretionary distribution standard if an ascertainable standard is not required for tax purposes.
  - Precatory advice about details of distribution decisions is preferable to mandatory instructions and helps overcome a corporate trustee's reluctance to be left with broad discretion.
  - A savings provision may be used to convert the trust to an ascertainable standard trust if a beneficiary becomes trustee. Also, Section 113.029(b) of the Texas Trust Code may convert the trust to an ascertainable standard trust if a beneficiary becomes a trustee.



Also available as part of the eCourse 2017 Estate Planning, Guardianship, and Elder Law eConference

First appeared as part of the conference materials for the  $19^{\text{th}}$  Annual Estate Planning, Guardianship and Elder Law Conference session "Trust Modifications: Balancing Competing Interests"