

Patent Prosecution and Malpractice

David Hricik,
Professor, Mercer Law School
Of Counsel, Taylor English Duma, LLP



2

History Repeats

Joint Development: Common Interest Privilege or Joint
Clients?

Rule 56 or IPRC and/or versus Inequitable Conduct

Shared Prosecution: Common Interest Privilege or Joint Clients?

Regional Circuit Law Applies.

Common Cooperation Clause in Prosecution Contracts

- You're counsel (in-house or outside) for Client A.
- You're prosecuting applications for Client A.
- Client A & Party B have a shared prosecution agreement. (Joint development; license; other forms) which has this clause:
“Client A shall manage and have the primary responsibility to file, prosecute, and maintain the patent applications, but Party B shall have reasonable opportunity to comment and advise on office actions, prosecution, and other filings.”
- Party B has its own lawyers representing it.

You Do Your Job

- ▶ You send Party B's lawyers emails and updates, as required, and often you label them "privileged and confidential."
 - ▶ Common interest privilege allows for privileged communications to be shared with non-client if non-client shares a common "legal" interest.
- ▶ All is good.

What's Everyone's(?) Goal?




PRIV

and highly
confidential,
privileged email to
Party B's lawyers
with
suggested
request

PRIV

Party B's lawyers
respond

You file response



Find the full text of this and thousands of other resources from leading experts in dozens of legal practice areas in the [UT Law CLE eLibrary \(utcle.org/elibrary\)](http://utcle.org/elibrary)

Title search: Patent Prosecution and Malpractice

Also available as part of the eCourse

[2017 Advanced Patent Law \(Austin\) eConference](#)

First appeared as part of the conference materials for the
22nd Annual Advanced Patent Law Institute session
"Patent Prosecution and Malpractice"