

PRESENTED AT

2018 Fundamentals of Oil, Gas and Mineral Law

April 19, 2018
Houston, Texas

Texas Title Examination Standards

George A. Snell III

Author contact information:

George A. Snell III

Snell Law Firm

Herring Bank Building

2201 Civic Circle

Suite 508

Amarillo, Texas 79109

George@gas3rd.com

806-359-8611

**CHAPTER I
TITLE EXAMINER**

- 1.10. Purpose of Title Examination.
- 1.20. Review by Examiner.
- 1.30. Consultation With Prior Examiner

**CHAPTER II
MARKETABLE TITLE**

- 2.10. Marketable Title Defined.
- 2.20. Correction Instruments.

**CHAPTER III
NAME VARIANCES**

- 3.10. Idem Sonans.
- 3.20. Middle Names Or Initials.
- 3.30. Abbreviations.
- 3.40. Recitals Of Identity.
- 3.50. Suffixes.
- 3.60. Variance In Name Within An Instrument.
- 3.70. Variances In Name Of Spouse.

**CHAPTER IV
EXECUTION, ACKNOWLEDGMENT,
AND RECORDATION**

- 4.10. Omissions And Inconsistencies.
- 4.20. Defective Acknowledgments.
- 4.30. Delivery; Effective Date; Delay In Recordation.
- 4.40. Notice Recording System.
- 4.50. Constructive Notice.
- 4.60. Recitals In Instruments In Chain Of Title.
- 4.70. Duty Of Inquiry Based On Actual Notice.
- 4.80. Duty Of Inquiry Based On Possession.
- 4.90. Qualification As Bona Fide Purchaser.
- 4.100. Qualification As Lien Creditor
- 4.110. Electronic Filing And Recordation.
- 4.120. Estoppel By Deed.

**CHAPTER V
LAND DESCRIPTIONS**

- 5.10. Land Descriptions Generally.
- 5.20. Land Descriptions in Patents.
- 5.30. Water Boundaries.
- 5.40. Roads.
- 5.50. Easements.

**CHAPTER VI
CORPORATE CONVEYANCES**

- 6.10. Corporate Existence.
- 6.20. Corporate Authority Presumed.
- 6.30. Foreign Corporations.
- 6.40. Corporate Seal.
- 6.50. Authority Of Particular Officers.
- 6.60. Corporate Name or Signer's Representative Capacity Omitted From Signature.
- 6.70. Name Variances [PROPOSED].

**CHAPTER VII
CONVEYANCES INVOLVING PARTNER-SHIPS, JOINT VENTURES, LIMITED
LIABILITY COMPANIES, AND UNINCORPORATED ASSOCIATIONS**

- 7.10. Conveyance Of Real Property Held In Partnership Or Joint Venture Name.
- 7.20. Authority Of Less Than All Partners Regarding Transactions That Are Not In The Ordinary Course Of Business.
- 7.30. Prior Conveyance In Chain By Partnership Or Joint Venture.
- 7.40. Conveyance Of Partnership Property Held In Name Of Partners.
- 7.50. Conveyance Of Real Property Held In Name Of Limited Liability Company.

**CHAPTER VIII
POWERS OF ATTORNEY**

- 8.10. Validity Of Instrument Executed By An Agent.
- 8.20. [Repealed].

**CHAPTER IX
CONVEYANCES INVOLVING TRUSTEES**

- 9.10. Powers Of Trustee.
- 9.20. Title As "Trustee" Without Further Identification Of Trust.

**CHAPTER X
CAPACITY TO CONVEY**

- 10.10. Minority.
- 10.20. Mental Capacity.
- 10.30. Guardians.

**CHAPTER XI
DECEDENTS' ESTATES**

- 11.10. Passage Of Title Upon Death [PROPOSED].
- 11.20. Estate Proceedings.
- 11.30. Conveyances By An Executor Or An Independent Administrator.
- 11.40. Conveyances By An Administrator.
- 11.50. Conveyances By Heirs Of An Estate.
- 11.60. Liens For Debts And Taxes.
- 11.70. Heirship Affidavits.
- 11.80. Community Survivors.
- 11.90. Community Administration.
- 11.100. Foreign Wills.

**CHAPTER XII
BANKRUPTCIES**

- 12.10. Relevance Of Bankruptcy Cases To Real Estate Transactions.
- 12.20. Authority For Prior Transfer.
- 12.30. Reliance Upon Recitals Of Authority For Prior Transfer.

CHAPTER IV

EXECUTION, ACKNOWLEDGMENT, AND RECORDATION

Standard 4.10. Omissions And Inconsistencies

Omission of the date of execution from an instrument affecting title does not, in itself, impair marketability. An examiner may presume that an undated instrument has been timely executed if the dates of acknowledgment and recordation, and other circumstances of record, support the presumption.

Inconsistencies in recitals or dates (such as among dates of execution, attestation, acknowledgment, or recordation) do not, in themselves, impair marketability, and an examiner may presume that a proper sequence of formalities occurred.

Comment:

The date of execution is not essential to an instrument's validity or delivery. *Dunn v. Taylor*, 113 S.W. 265, 268 (Tex.1908); *Webb v. Huff*, 61 Tex. 677, 679 (1884); *Owen v. State*, 26 S.W.2d 251, 253 (Tex.Crim. App.1930). See generally 5 Aloysius A. Leopold, *Land Titles and Title Examination* § 36.2 (Texas Practice 3d ed., 2005). The date on an instrument, like other recitals, is important, if the date is in issue, and the given date is presumptively correct, but subject to rebuttal or explanation. *Farrell v. Comer*, 84 S.W.2d 300, 303 (Tex.Civ.App.—Fort Worth 1935, no writ); *Owens v. Jackson*, 35 S.W.2d 186, 188 (Tex.Civ.App.—Austin 1931, writ dismissed w.o.j.); *Brown v. Rodgers*, 248 S.W. 750 (Tex.Civ.App.—Amarillo 1923, no writ). The same is true of the date of attestation and, generally, of acknowledgment. *Wilson v. Curry*, 151 S.W.2d 356, 358 (Tex.Civ.App.—Fort Worth 1941, writ dismissed).

The critical date—that of delivery—is not normally found in the instrument. See Standard 4.30. Hence, omission of the date from one conveyance in an ordinary series of conveyances may be disregarded. Even though special importance may attach to the date of execution, as in the case of a power of attorney, there is a presumption of timely execution (i.e., in proper sequence in relation to other instruments) if such is supported by other dates and circumstances of record.

Because recitals of dates may be omitted or explained, are notoriously inaccurate, and are more generally in error than are the actual sequences of formalities, inconsistencies in the indicated dates of formalities (e.g., acknowledgment dated prior to execution or execution dated subsequent to indicated date of recordation) should be disregarded. Further, the inconsistency or impossibility of a recited date should not be regarded as vitiating the particular formality involved. *Brown v. Rodgers*, supra; *Wilson v. Curry*, supra; *Owen v. State*, supra; *Panhandle Construction Co. v. Flesher*, 87 S.W.2d 273, 275 (Tex.Civ.App.—Amarillo 1935, writ dismissed).

Regarding instruments that have been filed for record, an examiner should consider Tex. Civ. Prac. & Rem. Code Ann. § 16.033, which contains a two-year statute of limitations that bars certain actions to recover real property based upon acts and omissions specified in the statute. For further discussion, see Comment and Caution to Standard 4.20. In addition, Tex. Loc. Gov't Code Ann. § 191.007(k) provides that a recorded instrument that fails to meet certain specifications relating to page size, paper weight, font size, legibility, and other technical matters, is deemed to have been properly recorded.

Tex. Prop. Code Ann. § 12.0011 addresses the requirements for recordation. Effective September 1, 2007, this was amended to provide that a paper document attached as an exhibit to a paper affidavit or other document having an original signature or signatures and acknowledged,

sworn to with a proper jurat, or proved according to law, may be recorded and, if recorded, imparts notice.

Effective July 1, 2018, personal appearance may be by way of two-way video and audio conference technology that meets specified standards. See Tex. Gov't Code Ch. 406, new subchapter C (addressing online notary public services).

Caution:

If, under the circumstances indicated by the record, a date has a particular significance (e.g., for a priority or for an important presumption), an inconsistency or impossibility should not be disregarded.

Source:

Citations in the Comment; Lewis M. Simes & Clarence B. Taylor, Model Title Standards, Std. 6.2 (1960); 5 Aloysius A. Leopold, Land Titles and Title Examination § 36.2 (Texas Practice 3d ed. 2005).

History:

Adopted June 27, 1997.

Standard 4.20. Defective Acknowledgments

If a certificate of acknowledgment does not conform to the exact wording of the applicable statute, but shows substantial compliance with the statutory requirements for acknowledgments, an examiner should not require corrective action. If a deed or other instrument contains an acknowledgment in substantial noncompliance with the applicable statute or does not contain any acknowledgment whatever, an examiner should not require that such defects be cured if the instrument has been of record for at least twenty years and no adverse claim appears. Otherwise, the examiner should require a corrected acknowledgment and re-record the instrument, or require and record a new, corrected instrument. A proper jurat may substitute for an acknowledgment for instruments recorded on or after September 1, 1989.

Comment:

In general, an instrument is entitled to be recorded only if acknowledged or proven by witnesses according to law. Tex. Prop. Code Ann. § 12.001. The proper forms for acknowledgments are expressed by statute. Tex. Civ. Prac. & Rem. Code Ann. §§ 121.001—121.015. A jurat may substitute for an acknowledgment in instruments recorded on or after September 1, 1989. Tex. Prop. Code Ann. § 12.001(a).

A jurat is a certificate signed by the officer before whom an instrument was executed, stating that the instrument was subscribed and sworn to before the officer by the person executing the instrument. *Carpenter v. State*, 218 S.W.2d 207, 208 (Tex.Crim. App.1949); *Robertson v. State*, 8 S.W. 659 (Tex.Crim. App.1888). Subject to an exception (discussed in the following paragraph), an acknowledgment certificate must include the officer's seal of office, Tex. Civ. Prac. & Rem. Code Ann. § 121.004, and this is also presumably true for a proper jurat, if the officer has a seal. *Missouri Pacific Railway Co. v. Brown*, 53 S.W. 1019 (Tex.1899). For a listing of the officers who may take acknowledgments or proofs, see Tex. Civ. Prac. & Rem. Code Ann. § 121.001. For a listing of officers who may administer oaths and supply a jurat, see Tex. Gov't Code Ann. §§ 602.002—602.005.

Find the full text of this and thousands of other resources from leading experts in dozens of legal practice areas in the [UT Law CLE eLibrary \(utcle.org/elibrary\)](http://utcle.org/elibrary)

Title search: Texas Title Examination Standards

Also available as part of the eCourse

[2018 Fundamentals of Oil, Gas, and Mineral Law eConference](#)

First appeared as part of the conference materials for the
2018 Fundamentals of Oil, Gas and Mineral Law session

"Perfection and Recording: Practical Title Issues"