

# FIFTH CIRCUIT UPDATE

**DAVID S. COALE**

28<sup>th</sup> Annual Conference on State and Federal Appeals

University of Texas School of Law


Austin, Texas

June 15, 2018

LYNN **PINKER COX HURST**

600Camp.com

## Active Judges



■ Democ. Presidents   ■ Repub. Presidents   ■ Repub. Nominations

LYNN **PINKER COX HURST**

600Camp.com

“[W]e conclude that if the Plaintiffs prove that the Defendants operated a fraudulent pyramid scheme, a jury may reasonably infer from the Plaintiffs' payments to join . . . that they relied on Ignite's implicit representation of legitimacy, when in fact it was a fraudulent pyramid scheme.”

***Torres v. S.G.E. Management*, 838 F.3d 629 (5th Cir. 2016) (en banc)**

**JUDGES IN MAJORITY**

Wiener\*  
Costa\*  
Stewart  
Davis  
Smith  
Dennis  
Prado  
Elrod  
Southwick  
Graves  
Higginson

**JUDGES DISSENTING**

Jolly  
Jones  
Clement  
Owen  
Haynes

**LYNN PINKER COX HURST**

600Camp.com

“[[J]essica Jauch was indicted by a grand jury, arrested, and put in jail where she waited for 96 days to be brought before a judge and was effectively denied bail. . . A pre-trial detainee denied access to the judicial system for a prolonged period has been denied basic procedural due process ....”

***Jauch v. Choctaw County*, 837 F.3d 425 (5th Cir. 2017)**

**JUDGES VOTING AGAINST  
EN BANC REVIEW**

Stewart  
Dennis  
Clement  
Prado  
Elrod  
Haynes  
Graves  
Higginson  
Costa

**JUDGES VOTING FOR EN BANC  
REVIEWG**

Jones  
Smith  
Owen  
Southwick  
Willett  
Ho

**LYNN PINKER COX HURST**

600Camp.com

# APPELLATE PROCEDURE

LYNN PINKER COX HURST

600Camp.com

*Cooper Indus. v. Nat'l Union Fire Ins. Co.*,  
876 F.3d 119 (5th Cir. 2017)

- **Judgment ≠ Opinion.** “National Union is conflating the district court’s opinion (i.e., the order) with its judgment. Appellate courts review judgments, not opinions. . . . ‘[A]n appellee may urge any ground available in support of a judgment even if that ground was . . . rejected by the trial court.’”
- **Rights ≠ Reasoning.** “Here, there is no adverse judgment against National Union, such that it might need to protect its rights—just some adverse reasoning”
- **These distinctions matter.** “A cross-appeal filed for the sole purpose of advancing additional arguments in support of a judgment is “**worse than unnecessary**”, because it disrupts the briefing schedule, increases the number (and usually the length) of briefs, and tends to confuse the issues.’ . . . (giving National Union over four thousand words of additional briefing).”

LYNN PINKER COX HURST

600Camp.com

Find the full text of this and thousands of other resources from leading experts in dozens of legal practice areas in the [UT Law CLE eLibrary \(utcle.org/elibrary\)](http://utcle.org/elibrary)

## Title search: Fifth Circuit Update

Also available as part of the eCourse

[2018 eConference on State and Federal Appeals](#)

First appeared as part of the conference materials for the  
28<sup>th</sup> Annual Conference on State and Federal Appeals session  
"U.S. Fifth Circuit Update"