

PRESENTED AT

20th Annual
Estate Planning, Guardianship
and Elder Law Conference

August 9-10, 2018
Moody Gardens
Galveston, Texas

**Less RESTRICTIVE ALTERNATIVES
INCLUDING
SUPPORTS AND SERVICES**

**CRAIG HOPPER
RICHARD LAVALLO**
PRESENTERS

STEVE M. KING
AUTHOR

Craig Hopper
Hopper Mikeska
400 West 15th St., Ste 408
Austin, Texas 78701
chopper@hoppermikeska.com
512-615-6195

Richard Lavallo
Disability Rights Texas
2222 W. Braker Lane
Austin, Texas 78758-4030
rlavallo@disabilityrightstx.org
512-454-4816

Steve M. King, Judge
Tarrant County Probate Court One
100 W. Weatherford St., Rm 260A
Fort Worth, Texas 76196
smking@tarrantcounty.com
817-884-2028

TABLE OF CONTENTS

I. INTRODUCTION	1
II. AVOIDING GUARDIANSHIP OF THE PERSON	
1. Emergency Protective Order (“EPO”)	1
2. Surrogate Decision-Making (“SDM”)	1
3. Surrogate Decision-Making - Intellectually Disabled	2
4. Surrogate Decision Making for Minors When Parent Unavailable	2
5. Authorization Agreement for Non-Parent Relative	2
6. Supported Decision-Making Agreements	2
7. Emergency Medical Treatment Act	2
Emergency Medical Treatment of Minors	2
8. Managing Conservatorship	2
9. School Admission Procedures	2
10. School Admission Procedures (Grandparents)	3
11. Mental Health Services	3
12. Driving Issues: Katie’s Law and the Re-Test Request	3
13. Mental Illness Diversion Programs (Criminal Courts)	3
III. ADVANCED MEDICAL DIRECTIVES	
The Federal Patient Self-Determination Act	3
14. Medical Power of Attorney	3
15. Directive to Physicians and Family or Surrogates ("Living Will")	4
Intractable Pain Treatment Act	4
16. Out-of-Hospital DNR (“EMT-DNR”)	4
17. End-Stage Planning: The Patient’s Intent, If Known	4
IV. AVOIDING GUARDIANSHIP OF THE ESTATE	
18. Durable Power of Attorney	4
19. Convenience Accounts	4
20. Sophisticated Tax Planning	5
21. Inter Vivos (“Living”) Trusts	5
22. §142 Trusts	5
23. Testamentary Trusts	5
24. Guardianship Management Trusts	5
25. Pooled Trust Subaccounts	6
26. Special Needs/ Medicaid Qualification Trusts	6
27. Trusts for Intellectually Disabled Persons	6
28. Community Administrator	6
29. Court Registry	6
30. Payment of Non-Resident Creditor	7
31. Sale of Minor's Interest in Property	7
32. Sale of Adult Ward's Interest in Property	7
33. Mortgage of Minor’s Interest/ Minor Ward's Interest in Property	7
34. Uniform Transfers to Minors Act	7
35. Receivership	7
36. Order of No Administration	7
37. Representative Payee	8
38. Veteran's Benefits Fiduciary	8
39. Payment of Employees Retirement System Funds to Parent of Minor	8
40. International Treaty	8
41. Suit by Next Friend	8
42. Social Service Agencies	8

43. Geriatric Care Manager.....	9
---------------------------------	---

V. LIMITING THE EFFECT OF THE GUARDIANSHIP

44. Pre-Need Designation of Guardian	9
45. Pre-Need Designation of Guardian by Parent	9
46. Pre-Need Declaration - Mental Health.....	9
47. Safekeeping ("Freeze") Agreements	10
48. Restoration of Ward	10
49. Annual Determination.....	10
50. Emancipation of Minor Ward	10
51. Enumeration of Powers in Guardianship Order	10
52. Interstate Guardianships.....	10
53. Negligible Estate	10
54. Minor Ward's Estate <\$100,000	10
55. Mediation and Family Settlement Agreements.....	11
56. Mother Nature and Father Time.....	11

VI. SUPPORTS AND SERVICES

1. The Origin of the Concept.....	11
2. Texas Embraces the Concept	11
3. <i>Guardianship of A. E.</i> : Some Guidance	12

Appendix

A. More Detail on Supports and Services.....	13
1. Examples of Entities or Organization providing Supports or Services	13
2. Types of Supports and Services.....	13
A. Food, Clothing, or Shelter.....	13
B. Physical or Mental Health.....	13
C. Manage Financial Affairs.....	13
D. Personal Decisions: Residence, Voting, Operating a Motor Vehicle, & Marriage.....	13
B. Statement of Intent	14

LESS RESTRICTIVE ALTERNATIVES INCLUDING SUPPORTS AND SERVICES

I. INTRODUCTION

The very first section of the guardianship law, Tex. Est. Code § 1001.001, sets forth a statement of the purpose of guardianships. A guardianship, whether plenary or limited, is to be granted:

- as indicated by the incapacitated person's actual mental or physical limitations.
- only as necessary to promote and protect the well-being of the incapacitated person.

If the scope of the guardian's authority is to be limited, the guardianship must be designed to encourage the development or maintenance of maximum self-reliance and independence in the incapacitated person.

The primary consideration then, in fashioning a guardianship is 1) determine the actual mental or physical limitations of the proposed ward and 2) ensure the well-being of that person.

In a limited guardianship, careful consideration must be given to craft the guardianship to allow the Ward to function at their highest possible level.

In addition to the policy statement contained in TEX. EST. CODE §1001.001, mandating the use of a less restrictive alternative, as of 2015, there is now a statutory definition of "Alternatives to Guardianship" TEX. EST. CODE §1002.0015, which offers a non-exclusive list of alternatives:

1. medical power of attorney (14 below);
2. durable power of attorney(18 below);
3. declaration for mental health treatment (46 below);
4. representative payee (37, 38 below);
5. joint bank accounts (convenience accounts) (19 below);
6. guardianship management trust (24 below);
7. special needs trust (26 below);
8. pre-need designation of guardian (45 below); and
9. person-centered decision-making (6 below).

The possible alternatives to a full guardianship then, are literally the *vernacular* of guardianships. They are the language we all must learn in order to intelligently converse and be understood as we work in this area.

Some of these alternatives are furnished, in some instances, to provoke further thought. This is certainly not an exclusive list, but all of the suggested

alternatives can serve to minimize, if not eliminate, the impact of a full guardianship. Some are applicable before creation of a guardianship and others afterward.

Closely allied to the concept of less restrictive alternatives is the idea of Supports and Services, addressed below.

II. AVOIDING GUARDIANSHIP OF THE PERSON

1. Emergency Protective Order ("EPO") TEX. HUM. RES. CODE § 48.208 - A procedure to remove a person lacking capacity to consent to medical services from a situation posing an immediate threat to life or physical safety. Adult Protective Services files a verified petition and an Attorney Ad Litem is appointed. On a finding of probable cause by the probate court of the threat and lack of capacity, the person is removed to treatment and examined within 72 hours. The removal may last no longer than 72 hours unless extended by the court for up to 30 days. An application for temporary and permanent guardianship usually follows.

2. Surrogate Decision -Making ("SDM") – TEX. HLTH. & SAF. CODE § 313.001-.007 – For **non-emergency** medical decisions to be made for incapacitated individuals who are either in a hospital or nursing home without the necessity of a guardianship.

Decision-Maker Priority: 1) the patient's spouse; 2) an adult child of the patient with the waiver and consent of all other qualified adult children of the patient to act as the sole decision-maker; 3) a majority of the patient's reasonably available adult children; 4) the patient's parents; or 5) the individual clearly identified to act for the patient by the patient before the patient became incapacitated, the patient's nearest living relative, or a member of the clergy.

Limitations on consent: Surrogate decision-maker cannot consent to: 1) voluntary inpatient mental health services; 2) electro-convulsive treatment; 3) the appointment of another surrogate decision-maker; 4) emergency decisions; or 5) end-of-life decisions (extending or withdrawing life support).

SDM does not: 1) replace the authority of a guardian nor an agent under a medical power of attorney; 2) authorize treatment decisions for a minor unless the disabilities of minority have been judicially removed; 3) authorize patient transfers under Chapter 241 of the Health and Safety Code.

Withdrawal of Life Support: for provisions concerning withdrawal of life support where no

Directive to Physicians has been executed, and in situations where there is no guardian, see TEX. HLTH. & SAF. CODE § 166.039.

3. Surrogate Decision Making for Intellectually Disabled TEX. HLTH. & SAF. CODE § 597.041 –

A more specialized form of surrogate decision-making, this statute allows SDM Committees to act for MR persons who reside in an intermediate care facility for the mentally retarded (ICF/MR) – Allows medical and non-medical decisions to be made by the committee.

4. Surrogate Decision-Making for Minors When Parent Unavailable TEX. FAM. CODE § 32.001ff – consent to dental, medical, psychological, and surgical treatment of a child by persons authorized in statute.

5. Authorization Agreement for Non-Parent Relative TEX. FAM. CODE Ch. 34 - A parent may authorize a grandparent, adult sibling or adult aunt or uncle to have decision-making authority for a minor child for: healthcare, insurance coverage, school enrollment, school activities, driver's education, employment and application for public benefits. This essentially authorizes the designee to do anything a guardian of the person could do.

The official form, promulgated by the Texas Department of Family and Protective Services and identified as “Form 2638”, can be accessed at: www.dfps.state.tx.us/documents/Child_Protection/2638.pdf

6. Supported Decision-Making Agreements TEX. EST. CODE Ch. 1357 - Somewhat similar to a Power of Attorney, it is an agreement between 1) an adult with disabilities regarding his or her Activities of Daily Living (“ADLs”), but who is not incapacitated and 2) a “Supporter” who is willing to assist in: 1) understanding the options, responsibilities, and consequences of the life decisions, without actually making those decisions for the disabled adult and without impeding the adult's self-determination; 2) obtaining the relevant information necessary (health, financial, or educational - the adult may execute HIPAA or similar releases to facilitate the information gathering); 3) understanding the information gathered; and 4) communicating those decisions to the appropriate persons.

The “life decisions” could include decisions regarding obtaining food, clothing, and residence and cohabitation choices; the supports, services, and

medical care to be received; financial management assistance; and workplace choices.

Such an agreement extends until terminated by either party or by the terms of the agreement or if the Department of Family and Protective Services validates findings of abuse, neglect, or exploitation by the Supporter against the adult or the Supporter is found criminally liable for such actions.

A permissive form is supplied in the statute. The agreement must be signed by both the disabled adult and the Supporter either in the presence of two or more subscribing witnesses (above age 14) or a notary public.

7. Emergency Medical Treatment Act TEX. HLTH. & SAF. CODE § 773.008 - In certain limited circumstances involving emergency situations, consent to medical treatment does not have to be given, it is implied. Hospital emergency rooms could not function if consent had to be secured beforehand. **Emergency treatment of minors** - Consent is also implied for the treatment of a minor who is suffering from what reasonably appears to be a life-threatening injury or illness (even if they can communicate) if the minor's parents, conservator, or guardian is not present. TEX. HEALTH & SAFETY CODE § 773.008(3).

8. Managing Conservatorships TEX. FAM. CODE Ch. 153 - **Functional equivalent to Guardian of the Person** Especially for families involved in a divorce context, a conservatorship may be used in place of a guardianship of the person for a minor, but only when there is no issue of assets belonging to the minor children.

Check the small print - The divorce decree, if there is one, should be carefully examined regarding any management powers granted either spouse regarding property of the children. TEX. FAM. CODE §153.132 grants a parent appointed sole managing conservator essentially the full rights of a guardian of the person and in TEX. FAM. CODE §153.073, the right to manage the property of the child “to the extent that the estate has been created by the parent or the parent's family.” The Family Code provides no monitoring mechanism for property management.

9. School Admission Procedures TEX. EDUC. CODE §25.001(d) – Under §25.001(d) of the Education Code, a school district may adopt guidelines to allow admission of non-resident children to school without the need for a guardianship. You may want to find out who in the

Find the full text of this and thousands of other resources from leading experts in dozens of legal practice areas in the [UT Law CLE eLibrary \(utcle.org/elibrary\)](http://utcle.org/elibrary)

Title search: Less Restrictive Alternatives Including Supports and Services

Also available as part of the eCourse

[2018 Estate Planning, Guardianship, and Elder Law eConference](#)

First appeared as part of the conference materials for the 20th Annual Estate Planning, Guardianship and Elder Law Conference session "Alternatives to Guardianship and Supports and Services"