PRESENTED AT

52nd Annual William G. Gibson, Jr.
Mortgage Lending Institute

September 13-14, 2018 Austin, Texas

EFFECTIVE RISK MANAGEMENT

William H. Locke, Jr.

Author Contact Information: Bill Locke Graves Dougherty Hearon & Moody PC Austin, Texas

blocke@gdhm.com 512-480-5736

GENERAL TABLE OF CONTENTS

CH	CHAPTER 1. RISK MANAGEMENT	1
I.	I. INTRODUCTION TO RISK MANAGEMENT	3
	A. Risk Management of Property Loss and Injuries	3
	B. Contractual Risk Allocation	
	C. Absence of Contractual Risk Allocation – the Common Law and Statu	
	D. Common Contractual Means of Allocating Risk	
II.	II. INDEMNITY AND INSURANCE	9
	A. The Three Legged Stool	10
	B. Contractual Indemnity by a Party	10
	C. Insurance – A Contractual Indemnity by a Third Party	10
III.	III. INSURANCE SPECIFICATIONS	19
	A. Two Approaches	
	B. The Protecting Party's Insurance Broker is the Audience for the Insura	ance Specifications19
СН	CHAPTER 2. INDEMNITY	21
I.	I. INTRODUCTION	24
	A. Allocation of Risks	24
	B. Allocation of Extraordinary Risks	24
	C. Allocation of Liability Absent Contractual Indemnity	
	D. Activities and Locations	
	E. Relationship of Insurance to Contractual Indemnity	31
II.	II. DRAFTING INDEMNITIES	32
	A. Distinguished from Guaranty and Suretyship	
	B. Elements of an Indemnity	
	C. Requirements for Enforceability	44
III.	III. INTERRELATIONSHIP OF INDEMNITY AND INSURANCE	57
	A. Contractually Assumed Liability Insurance Coverage for the Protecting	ng Party57
	B. Additional Insurance: Coverage for the Protected Party	59
СН	CHAPTER 3. INSURANCE	73
I.	I. INTRODUCTION	74
II.	II. CONTRACTUAL INDEMNITY BY THIRD PARTY: AKA INSURANG	CE74
	A. Liability Insurance	7.4
	B. Property Insurance	103
	C. Drafting: Specific Specifications Are Better Than General	

CHAPTER 4. WAIVER OF SUBROGATION				
I.	COMMON LAW WAIVER OF SUBROGATION	111		
	A. Majority Rule: The "No Subrogation Rule" B. Minority Rule: The "Pro-Subrogation Rule" C. Middle Approach: Case-by-Case Analysis.	111		
II.	. CONTRACTUAL WAIVER OF SUBROGATION	112		
	A. Typical Provisions B. Waivers of Subrogation			
CH	HAPTER 5. FORMS AND COMMENTARY			
FO	<u>ORMS</u>	115		
I.	LEASES, CONSTRUCTION DOCUMENTS, SALES DOCUMENTS	127		
	A. Leases B. Construction Documents C. Sales Documents	161		
II.	. INSURANCE INDUSTRY FORMS	276		
	A. Standard Forms B. Samples of Manuscripted Endorsements			
<u>CC</u>	<u>OMMENTARY</u>			
I.	LEASES, CONSTRUCTION DOCUMENTS, SALES DOCUMENTS	449		
	A. Leases B. Construction Documents C. Sales Documents	489		
II.	INSURANCE INDUSTRY FORMS			
	A. Standard Forms B. Samples of Manuscripted Endorsements			

CHAPTER 1. RISK MANAGEMENT

CF	IAP'	ER 1. RI	ISK MANAGEMENT	3	
	IN	RODUCT	TION TO RISK MANAGEMENT	3	
	A.	A. Risk Management of Property Loss and Injuries			
			nises ed Premises struction Sites		
	В.	Contractu	nal Risk Allocation	3	
	C.	C. Absence of Contractual Risk Allocation – the Common Law and Statutory Allocation Sch			
		1. Activities and Locations			
		a.]	Premises		
		(Premises Liability Care, Custody or Control Leases Easements Construction Site 		
		b.]	Leases and Leased Premises		
		2. Inde	mnity Absent Contractual Indemnity		
		b. 3	Common Law Statutory Allocation Sole Vestige of Common Law Indemnity – Vicarious Liability		
		3. Waiv	ver of Subrogation Absent Contractual Waiver of Subrogation		
			Majority Rule: Implied Co-Insured Negates Equitable Subrogation Minority Rule: No Implication of Co-Insured Status		
	D.	Common	Contractual Means of Allocating Risk	4	
			ility Insurance e Legged Stool – Contractual Indemnity, Insurance and Waiver of Subrogation		
I.	INI	EMNITY	AND INSURANCE	9	
A. The Three Legged Stool				10	
B. Contractual Indemnity by a Party					
	C	Incuronce	A Contractual Indomnity by a Third Party	10	

1. Liability Insurance

- a. Some Common Types
- b. What You Did Not Know, and Could Have Known, Can Hurt You
- c. Certificates of Insurance Are Not Certificates
- d. Antiquated, Problematic and Just Plain Wrong Terminology
- e. Additional Insureds Are Not Automatically Notified of Cancellation or Modification, and Never Notified of Non-Renewal of Coverage
- f. Not All Indemnified Liabilities Are Insured
- g. A General Specification for "Additional Insured Status" Is Meaningless
- h. Primary and Noncontributory Liability
- i. Umbrella and Excess Liability

2. Property Insurance

- a. Parties
- b. Antiquated, Problematic and Just Plain Wrong Terminology
- c. The Policy

III.	. INSURANCE SPECIFICATIONS	19
	A. Two Approaches	19
	B. The Protecting Party's Insurance Broker is the Audience for the Insurance Specific	

CHAPTER 1: RISK MANAGEMENT

I. INTRODUCTION TO RISK MANAGEMENT

A. Risk of Property Loss and Injuries

1. Premises

A person injured on another's property has two potential causes of action against the owner of the property: a premises liability claim for an unreasonably dangerous condition on the premises, or a negligence claim for negligent activity on the premises. When the alleged injury is the result of a negligent activity, the injured party must have been injured by, or as a contemporaneous result of, the activity itself, not by a condition the activity created. The negligent activity theory of liability is only applicable where the evidence shows that the injuries were directly related to the activity itself. If the injury was created by a condition created by an activity rather than the activity itself, the plaintiff claiming negligent activity is limited to a premises liability theory of recovery. See 59 TEX. JUR. 3d Premises Liability § 7 Negligent activity and premises defect bases for premises liability -Negligent activity claim.

2. Leased Premises

The following list illustrates some of the many property loss and injury risk allocation questions to be addressed in leases:

- Upon casualty loss, what happens to the lease, does it terminate or does it continue?
- If due to a casualty loss the premises become untenantable, what happens to the rent?
- Who is responsible for the restoration of the premises?
- Is the premises located in special hazard areas, such as flood zones, hurricane or earthquake areas?
- Are there tenant improvements and betterments to the premises?

- Does the tenant's operations at the premises result in invitees coming to the premises or the use of contractors, business autos, or high pressured boilers at the premises?
- Are there special environmental hazards or other extraordinary risks associated with tenant's use of the premises?
- Who is responsible for injuries occurring on the premises?
- Is the protecting party financially capable of funding the loss or injury without insurance?
- If rent and income by the parties is interrupted due to the occurrence of the peril, will the financial stability of either or both of the lease parties be materially adversely affected?
- Is insurance available to fund protection against these risks at a commercially affordable rate? What minimum coverage limits are reasonable? What deductibles are acceptable? What coverage exclusions and limitations are acceptable?

3. Construction Premises

In the typical construction job, an owner hires a general contractor, who hires an independent contractor (*i.e.*, a subcontractor), who employs an employee. Unfortunately accidents happen in the course of construction that result in workplace injuries or even fatalities.

B. Contractual Risk Allocation

Risk allocation provisions are contained in all contracts. They are used in an attempt to assure the intended economic objectives of the "deal." The success of an entity's approach to contractual risk transfer can be considered successful if it meets the following criteria:

- Risks retained are appropriate and affordable.
- Risk as an element of the overall transaction and negotiation is incorporated at the onset.

- Indemnity, insurance, and other pertinent conditions are not so onerous that contact negotiations drag on unnecessarily delaying the transaction or necessitating the use of secondrate service providers to accomplish the contract's purpose.
- Contractual conditions allocating risk are not so onerous that a court disallows their operation at a future point in time.
- Insurance requirements are clear, using recognized terms that can be interpreted both at the time the contract is negotiated and in possible future disputes.
- Insurance and other support for the indemnity is in place when a loss occurs.
- A thorough insurance monitoring process keeps the transferee in compliance with the insurance requirements.
- The performance of the contract is monitored and regularly evaluated.

C. Absence of Contractual Risk Allocation – the Common Law and Statutory Allocation Schemes

The common law allocates risks in the absence of contractual risk allocation.

1. Activities and Locations

a. Premises

(1) Premises Liability

In *Occidental Chem. Corp. v. Jenkins*, 478 S.W.3d 649, 644 (Tex. 2016) the Texas Supreme Court reviews the relationship between premises liability and general tort liability, stating

Depending on the circumstances, a person injured on another's property may have either a negligence claim or a premises liability claim against the owner....When the injury is the result of a contemporaneous, negligent activity on the property, ordinary negligence principles apply. *Id.* When the injury is the result of the property's condition rather than

an activity, premises-liability principles apply. *Id.* Although premises liability is itself of negligence law, it is a "special form" with different elements that define a property owner or occupant's duty with respect to those that who enter the property. *Id.*

A premises liability claim encompasses a nonfeasance theory of negligence based on the failure of the owner or occupier or controller to take reasonable measures to make the premises reasonably safe. ¹ The elements of a premises liability claims are the following:

- The cause of the injury is a condition of the property.
- The condition existed prior to the accident.
- The condition posed a general, unreasonable danger to all working on the premises, rather than a specific danger to a person performing a particular activity. ²

(2) Care, Custody or Control

The Texas Supreme Court in Wilson v. Tex. Parks & Wildlife Dep't, 8 S.W.3d 634, 635 (Tex. 1999 per curiam) observed

As a rule, to prevail on a premises liability claim, a plaintiff must prove that the defendant possessed — that is, owned, occupied, or controlled - the premises where injury occurred.

Of these circumstances, **control** of the premises is the key element for premises liability. ³ "Possession," "Ownership" or "occupancy" of property though needs to also involve an element of control except in circumstances of strict liability.

(3) Leases

An owner that leases a premises generally owes not duty to tenants or their invitees for unreasonably dangerous conditions on leases premises. Generally under a lease, the lessor transfers possession and control of the leased premises to the lessee. ⁵ See further discussion below as to Leases and Leased Premises.





Find the full text of this and thousands of other resources from leading experts in dozens of legal practice areas in the <u>UT Law CLE eLibrary (utcle.org/elibrary)</u>

Title search: Effective Risk Management Part 2

Also available as part of the eCourse <u>Answer Bar: The Ins and Outs of Commercial Real Estate Loans and Title</u> <u>Insurance</u>

First appeared as part of the conference materials for the 52^{nd} Annual William W. Gibson, Jr. Mortgage Lending and Servicing Institute session "Effective Risk Management"