

PRESENTED AT

42nd Annual Page Keeton
Civil Litigation Conference

November 8-9, 2018
The Whitehall Hotel
Houston, Texas

**Unfair Debt Collection Cases:
The Cases That Keep Giving**

Curt M. Langley
Crinion, Davis & Richardson, LLP
Houston, Texas
clangley@cdrlegal.com
(281) 990-8300 Telephone
(281) 858-2357 Fax
www.CurtMLangley.com

ABOUT THE AUTHOR/SPEAKER

Curt M. Langley is attorney with the law firm of Crinion, Davis & Richardson, LLP in Houston, Texas. For almost thirty (30) years as a trial lawyer, Mr. Langley has developed a diverse commercial litigation practice handling lawsuits and arbitrations across Texas and in various other states across the country. Mr. Langley has handled commercial litigation matters including real estate litigation, healthcare litigation, energy litigation, energy trading disputes, construction litigation, labor and employment law, adversary proceedings in bankruptcy court, securities litigation, arbitrations, prosecution and defense of class action lawsuits, defamation actions, misappropriation of trade secrets, first and third party insurance, insurance agent errors and omissions, director and officer liability, Fair Credit Reporting Act, Petroleum Marketing Practices Act, usury, consumer credit, commercial credit, lender liability, accounting malpractice, legal malpractice, and appellate practice in state and federal courts. (Online bio at www.curtmlangley.com).

In Fall 2017 Mr. Langley served as an Adjunct Professor of Law teaching a course in Texas Civil Pretrial Procedure at South Texas College of Law Houston. Mr. Langley continues to serve as a guest lecturer and substitute law professor.

Mr. Langley is AV Preeminent Peer Review Rated by Martindale-Hubbell, is a Fellow of the Texas Bar Foundation. He was the Recipient of the award for “Outstanding Article Published in THE HOUSTON LAWYER magazine for 2002” from the Houston Bar Foundation.

Mr. Langley received his undergraduate degree in Finance from the University of Texas at Austin in 1987. He received his *juris doctorate* degree from South Texas College of Law in 1990 where he served as Note and Comment Editor on the SOUTH TEXAS LAW REVIEW in 1989–1990 and he received the award for “Best Article on Federal Law” in 1990.

A frequent author and lecturer, Mr. Langley has previously served as a Committee Member of the Houston Bar Association Continuing Legal Education Committee, as well as the CLE Seminar Subcommittee. Mr. Langley has also authored and presented numerous articles and speeches to attorneys and business groups and associations for over twenty (20) years.

UNFAIR DEBT COLLECTION CASES: THE CASES THAT KEEP GIVING

TABLE OF CONTENTS

I.	OVERVIEW.....	1
A.	Introduction.....	1
B.	Federal Debt Collection Law.....	1
C.	Texas Debt Collection Law.	4
D.	Brief History Of The FDCPA.....	4
E.	Relationship Between the FDCPA and State Laws.....	5
F.	A Brief History Of The Texas Debt Collection Act.....	6
G.	Federal Trade Commission Staff Opinions.	6
H.	Consumer Financial Protection Bureau Advisory Opinions.	7
II.	DEFINITIONS AND KEY TERMS UNDER THE ACTS.	7
A.	15 U.S.C. § 1692a, FDCPA Section 803. Definitions.....	7
B.	Tex. Fin. Code § 392.001 Definitions.	9
III.	DOES THE FDCPA APPLY TO YOUR ACTIVITIES?	10
A.	Are You Collecting A “Debt” From a “Consumer”?	10
B.	Debt “Default” Requirement.	10
C.	Are You A “Creditor” or a “Debt Collector”?.....	12
D.	In Texas, “Creditors” Collecting Their Own Debts <u>Are</u> Covered by the TDCA.	14
E.	Is The Debtor A “CONSUMER?”.....	15
F.	The FDCPA “Miranda WARNINGS.”.....	16
G.	Taking Action Within The Thirty (30) Day Notice Period.	16
IV.	PROHIBITED “COMMUNICATIONS” WITH THE “CONSUMER.”.....	17
A.	FDCPA – Prohibited Action.....	17
B.	TDCA – Prohibited Action.....	18

V. MULTIPLE DEBTS.....	20
VI. PROPER VENUE TO COLLECT THE “DEBT.”.....	20
VII. FDCPA CLAIMS AVAILABLE TO THE “CONSUMER.”.....	21
A. Failure To Give the FDCPA “Miranda Warnings.”.....	21
B. “Overshadowing” the Required Warnings or Notices.....	21
C. Settlement Offer Contained In The Demand Letter.....	25
D. Disputes Regarding The “In Writing” Language In FDCPA Section 809.	26
E. Failure To Provide Validation of the Debt.	27
F. Attempting to Collect an Unauthorized Amount.....	28
G. Attempting to Collect a Time-Barred Debt.	29
H. Bringing a Lawsuit in the Wrong Venue.....	30
VIII. DEFENSES AVAILABLE TO THE “DEBT COLLECTOR”.....	31
A. FDCPA Statute of Limitations Defense.	31
B. FDCPA “Bona Fide Error” Defense.....	31
C. TDCA “Bona Fide Error” Defense.....	33
IX. DAMAGES AND REMEDIES AVAILABLE TO THE “CONSUMER.”.....	33
A. FDCPA Remedies.....	33
B. TDCA Remedies.....	34
C. Beware of State Law “Tie-In” Statutes.	34
X. INTERACTION BETWEEN FDCPA AND STATE LAWS.....	34

UNFAIR DEBT COLLECTION CASES: THE CASES THAT KEEP GIVING

By Curt M. Langley

I. OVERVIEW.

A. INTRODUCTION.

This article provides a general overview of the federal FAIR DEBT COLLECTION PRACTICES ACT, (“FDCPA”) 15 U.S.C. § 1692-1692p and the TEXAS DEBT COLLECTION ACT, TEX. FIN. CODE § 392.001 *et seq.* and explores new ways to think about debt collection cases and the opportunities they can bring to your law practice. The debt collection industry is constantly evolving and changing in response to technology, economic conditions, and federal and state regulation. Accordingly, in addition to the most recent case law addressing debt collection, a general knowledge of the origins and history of debt collection laws can be beneficial to understanding the current trends and possible future direction of debt collection laws.

B. FEDERAL DEBT COLLECTION LAW.

The federal FAIR DEBT COLLECTION PRACTICES ACT, (“FDCPA”) 15 U.S.C. § 1692-1692p was passed in 1977 when most debt collectors were local or regional and their files were primarily paper files – many were kept on index cards. Demand letters were often typed one at a time and then mailed in the regular mail. All telephone calls were made via land-line telephones and the high cost of long-distance telephone calls was a prohibitive factor for many debt collectors. In fact, very few consumer households even had answering machines in 1977.

Over 40 years later in 2018, debt collection is a \$10.9 billion dollar industry which employs nearly 120,000 people in more than 8,000 collection agencies.¹ Much different than the cottage industry in 1977; debt collectors are now regional, national, or even international. Collection files and data are now stored and transmitted in digital form and on computer media. Demand letters arise from digitized form letters and they are often issued in groups of dozens or even hundreds at one time with

¹ Edward Rivera, *Debt Collection Agencies in the US*, IBIS Word (Dec. 2017) as cited in the he most recent report was issued to Congress on March 20, 2018 entitled Fair Debt Collection Practices Annual Report 2018 to Congress by the Consumer Financial Protection Bureau.

automated merging of consumer account data into form demand letters. Additionally, communications with the debtor are now undertaken via telephones, mobile telephones, email, text messaging, and digital voice mail. Furthermore, payments can now be arranged instantaneously with pay-by-phone, credit card transactions, wire transfers, Check 21 (digital) payments, and web-based payment systems. As of the beginning of 2018, more than one-half of the debt collection industry’s revenue, at least \$5.9 billion, was generated based upon a contingency fee basis.²

Based upon the need for changes in the debt collection legal system, the Federal Trade Commission (“FTC”) convened a public workshop in October 2007 in order to evaluate the need for changes in the debt collection system, including the FDCPA. At least sixty-one (61) public comments were received from industry experts and associations. Based upon input and public comments at the workshop, on February 26, 2009, the FTC issued an extensive report titled “COLLECTING CONSUMER DEBTS – THE CHALLENGES OF CHANGE – A WORKSHOP REPORT” which recommends that the debt collection legal system be substantially overhauled and modernized to reflect changes in consumer debt, the debt collection industry, and technology. The full text of the 120 page FTC report is located at <https://www.ftc.gov/sites/default/files/documents/reports/collecting-consumer-debts-challenges-change-federal-trade-commission-workshop-report/dcwr.pdf> . The report and the proposed changes provide valuable guidance to those attempting to apply the statutory language from almost forty (40) years ago to the technology used in debt collection today. Among the issues raised and discussed in the 2009 report are the following:

Mobile telephones: FDCPA Section 805(a)(1) prohibits calls to a debtor before 8:00 am or after 9:00 pm local time at the debtor’s location. (With the wide-spread use of mobile telephones, how does the debt collector know where the debtor is physically located at the time the call is placed?)

Voice mail: FDCPA Section 805(b) prohibits a debt collector from communication with third parties regarding the debt. (How does a debt collector know who may listen to a digital voice message left for the debtor?)

Caller ID: FDCPA Section 804(1) and 809 prohibit a debt collector from blocking its caller identification when calling a debtor. FDCPA Section 805(b) prohibits a debt collector from communication with third parties regarding the debt. (How does the debt collector know who will possibly see the caller ID when a call is made to the debtor’s digital telephone and could that constitute a “communication with a third party”? For example, some home telephone systems actually show the

² See Fair Debt Collection Practices Annual Report 2018 to Congress by the Consumer Financial Protection Bureau at p. 10.

Find the full text of this and thousands of other resources from leading experts in dozens of legal practice areas in the [UT Law CLE eLibrary \(utcle.org/elibrary\)](http://utcle.org/elibrary)

Title search: Unfair Debt Collection Cases: The Cases That Keep Giving

Also available as part of the eCourse

[2018 Page Keeton Civil Litigation eConference](#)

First appeared as part of the conference materials for the 42nd Annual Page Keeton Civil Litigation Conference session "Unfair Debt Collection Cases: The Cases That Keep Giving"