Saving the Day Through Post-Trial Error Preservation Ambushes

University of Texas School of Law 29th Annual Conference on State and Federal Appeals

Austin, Texas June 20-21, 2019

Steven K. Hayes Copyright © 2019

www.stevehayeslaw.com



- Bloch, Preserving Error-Different Rules for Questions of Law?, SBOT 32nd Annual Advanced Civil Appellate Practice Course (2018)
- Bloch/Buntz, Unwaivable Error and Arguments That Still Work Even if You Think of Them —But Have to Raise—on Appeal, SBOT 29th Annual Advanced Civil Appellate Practice Course (2015)
- Hayes, Selling Your Case at Trial, Selecting Appellate Issues to Pursue, and Other Implications of Error Preservation Rulings, p. 55 et seq, (www.stevehayeslaw.com/ErrorPreservation14.pdf, 2018)
- Ho, Simons, Frost, Busby, Oldham, Timms, *Splits Among the State Appellate Courts*, SBOT 32nd Annual Advanced Civil Appellate Practice (2018)
- Summary Judgment Practice (both cited 100+ times, in libraries of various courts of appeals):
 - Patton, Summary Judgment Practice in Texas, LexisNexis;
 - Hittner & Liberato, Summary Judgments in Texas, 60 Hous. L. Rev. 1 (2019)

Saving the Day Post-Trial: Sources

Here are the sources of some case-saving ambushes—some must be sprung in the trial court, others as late as appeal:

- Opinions (fundamental error, other stuff, incl. msj stuff).
 - Watch for Conflicting Authority—Make sure your court of appeals is on your side.
- Rules—for example:
 - legal/factual sufficiency in a *civil* bench trial (TRAP 33.1(d)).
 - Legal/factual sufficiency in post-verdict motion practice in jury trial (Rule 279)

HOW FAR CAN YOU PUSH THE ENVELOPE?

Saving the Day Post-Trial: Sources

If you are desperate enough, consider invoking an exception to the federal rule on error preservation:

Fed. courts may consider unpreserved issue which "involves a purely legal question, refusal to consider it would result in a miscarriage of justice, or its proper resolution is beyond doubt." *Vitale v. Keim*, No. 01-95-00401-CV (Houston 1st 8/29/97)

Question: Do state courts already do this without saying so?

Complaints You Can First Raise on Appeal

Fundamental error: origin in *Jones v. Black*, 1 Tex. 527, 530 (1846); historical discussion *In the Interest of J.F.C.*, 96 S.W.3d 256, 291 (Tex. 2002) (Dissenting, Hankinson, J.).

Now: "'[D]iscredited doctrine . . . " *Tamez* (2006); *B.L.D.*(2013) Only applies:

- Lack of subject matter jurisdiction (but not in mandamus. *Coppola*, 535 ///506, 510 (Tex. 2017); *Ron*, 14-18-00711-CV (14th, 2018))
- Some juvenile delinquency cases, and some issues in parental right termination cases.
- When error directly, adversely affects public generally, as declared by statute or constitution. *Cox v. Johnson*, 638 S.W.2d 867, 868 (Tex. 1982)

Complaints You Can First Raise on Appeal

A constitutional argument does not necessarily equal fundamental error—check out virtually any sexually violent predator civil commitment case with error preservation issues. Find the full text of this and thousands of other resources from leading experts in dozens of legal practice areas in the <u>UT Law CLE eLibrary (utcle.org/elibrary)</u>

Title search: Saving the Day Through Post-Trial Error Preservation Ambushes

Also available as part of the eCourse <u>eSupplement to 2020 Conference on Criminal Appeals</u>

First appeared as part of the conference materials for the 29th Annual Conference on State and Federal Appeals session "Error Preservation Ambushes: Infliction and Prevention"