

Presented:
The Car Crash Seminar

August 1-2, 2019
Austin, Texas

AUTONOMOUS VEHICLES

Quentin Brogdon

Author contact information:
Quentin Brogdon
Crain Lewis Brogdon, LLP
3400 Carlisle Street, Suite 200
Dallas, Texas 75204

QBROGDON@CRAINLEWIS.COM
214-598-1009 Cell
214-969-5522 Fax

TABLE OF CONTENTS

I. INTRODUCTION

II. NHTSA's VEHICLE AUTOMATION LEVELS

III. AV CARS DO NOT SEE WELL, AND THEY DO NOT ALWAYS "DECIDE" WELL

IV. AV CRASHES

- A. Google Crash – California - February 2016
- B. Tesla Fatality Crash – Florida – May 2016
- C. GM Bolt - Motorcycle Collision – California – December 2017
- D. Uber Fatality Crash – Arizona – March 2018
- E. Tesla Crash – California – March 2018
- F. Tesla Crash – Florida – April 2018
- G. Tesla Fatality Crash – Florida – May 2018
- H. Tesla Crash – California - May 2018
- I. Tesla Crash – California – May 2018
- J. Waymo Crash- Arizona - May 2018
- K. Tesla Fire – California – June 2018
- L. Tesla Crash – Florida – October 2018
- M. Tesla Crash – New Jersey – February 2019
- N. Tesla Crash – Florida – February 2019
- O. Tesla Crash – Florida – March 2019
- P. Bottom Line on Tesla Crashes

V. CAUSES OF ACTION - WHO WILL GET SUED, AND UNDER WHAT THEORIES?

- A. Overview
- B. Products Liability Law
 - 1. Manufacturing Defects
 - 2. Design Defects
 - 3. Marketing Defects
 - 4. Misrepresentations
 - 5. Negligence
 - 6. Breaches of Implied Warranty of Merchantability – Design Defect
 - 7. Breaches of Implied Warranty of Merchantability – Other Than Design Defect
 - 8. Breaches of Implied Warranty of Fitness for a Particular Purpose
 - 9. Breaches of Express Warranty
- C. Texas AV Statute – SB 2205

VI. INSURANCE

VII. ARBITRATION

VIII. DRONES

IX. CONCLUSION

AUTONOMOUS VEHICLES

Quentin Brogdon

I. INTRODUCTION

The automation of our vehicles has been occurring for longer than most of us realize. As far back as 1958, brochures for Chrysler Imperials trumpeted “Auto-Pilot,” described as “an amazing new device that helps you maintain constant speed and warns you of excessive speed.” See “Products Liability and Driverless Cars: Issues and Guiding Principles for Legislation,” Brookings Institution, fn 6 April 24, 2014. That same year, an article in *Popular Science* opined that Auto-Pilot “certainly promotes safety by reducing fatigue,” and observed that, “Like it or not, the robots are slowly taking over a driver’s chores.” *Id.* at fn 7. Anti-lock brakes (ABS) have been available since the 1970s, and Electronic Stability Control (ESC) has been available since the mid-1990s. ESC uses data from multiple sources to selectively apply the brakes on specific wheels of a vehicle to increase control on turns and slippery roadways. More recently, “driver assists” systems have provided ever more autonomous control of our vehicles. Volvo’s “City Safety System” automatically applies the vehicle’s brakes to avoid a collision if the vehicle’s system determines that there is an imminent risk of collision with a vehicle detected by the vehicle’s windshield mounted sensor. Mercedes Benz’s Distronic System works in a similar manner. Audi, BMW, Ford, Land Rover, Mercedes-Benz, Nissan, Toyota, and other vehicle manufacturers now sell vehicles with automated parallel parking – a system that essentially takes over the control of a vehicle as it is maneuvered into a parking space.

Automated vehicles (AVs) and drones are no longer the stuff of science fiction. Uber, Tesla, and every major auto manufacturer are developing autonomous and semi-autonomous motor vehicles. Businesses, the military, and our children all now use drones for a variety of purposes – serious, and not so serious.

We are in a transition period, when it comes to AVs and drones. The technology is still in its infancy, and AV and drone crashes and mishaps are occurring with some frequency as the technology is developed and perfected. As manufacturers and developers race to be at the head of the AV line, they are taking short-cuts and not paying sufficient attention to safety concerns, in the eyes of many.

The consensus is that autonomous vehicles (AVs) will reduce crashes and save lives, but there are numerous unanswered questions about legal liability, insurance coverage for crashes, and governmental regulation. AVs and drones have already failed, and they will continue to fail. When AVs and drones fail, who will get sued, and what causes of action will be alleged?

II. NHTSA’s VEHICLE AUTOMATION LEVELS

In 2013, the National Highway Traffic Safety Administration (NHTSA) defined levels of vehicle automation as follows, in NHTSA’s “Preliminary Statement of Policy Concerning Automated Vehicles”:

Level 0: No automation

Level 1: Function Specific Automation - Automation at this level involves one or more specific control functions; if multiple functions are automated, they operate independently from each other. The driver has overall control, and is solely responsible for safe operation, but can choose to cede limited

Find the full text of this and thousands of other resources from leading experts in dozens of legal practice areas in the [UT Law CLE eLibrary \(utcle.org/elibrary\)](https://utcle.org/elibrary)

Title search: Autonomous Vehicles

Also available as part of the eCourse

[Evolving Law in Car Crash Litigation: Rideshares and Autonomous Vehicles](#)

First appeared as part of the conference materials for the
2019 Car Crash Seminar session
"Autonomous Vehicles"