



Alice Year in Review 2018-2019 Steve R. Borgman & Briana Falcon, Vinson & Elkins Janice L. Ta, Baker Botts

1



Welcome to My Nightmare: Section 101 Year in Review Steve R. Borgman & Briana Falcon, Vinson & Elkins Janice L. Ta, Baker Botts





## Alice Corp. v. CLS Bank Int'l (June 19, 2014)




5


## Alice/Mayo Two-Step Framework



- Step One Are the claims "directed to" one of the patent-ineligible "concepts" like "laws of nature, natural phenomena, and abstract ideas."
- Step Two "Simply appending conventional steps, specified at a high level of generality" is not "enough" to supply an inventive concept. "[C]laims in *Diehr* were patent eligible because they improved an existing technological process, not because they were implemented on a computer."







Find the full text of this and thousands of other resources from leading experts in dozens of legal practice areas in the <u>UT Law CLE eLibrary (utcle.org/elibrary)</u>

## Title search: Alice Year in Review 2018-2019

Also available as part of the eCourse

Section 101 Update and Practical Guidance: Bio/Pharma and Software/Business Methods

First appeared as part of the conference materials for the 24<sup>th</sup> Annual Advanced Patent Law Institute session "*Alice* at Five: Section 101 Update"