

THE UNIVERSITY OF TEXAS SCHOOL OF LAW  
46<sup>TH</sup> ANNUAL ERNEST E. SMITH  
OIL, GAS AND MINERAL LAW INSTITUTE  
FUNDAMENTALS OF OIL, GAS AND MINERAL LAW

THURSDAY, MARCH 26, 2020  
HOUSTON, TEXAS

**DIVISION ORDERS**


**The Uses and Abuses of the Division Order.  
Neither a contract nor a conveyance,  
a shield but not a sword.**

MICHAEL D. JONES  
JONES GILL LLP  
6363 WOODWAY, SUITE 1100  
HOUSTON, TEXAS 77057  
713-652-4068  
MJONES@JONESGILL.COM

1

Owner	Interest	Decimal
John and Mary Wilson	1/2 of 1/5 <sup>th</sup> RI	10.00%
George Garcia	1/2 of 1/3 <sup>rd</sup> of 1/4 <sup>th</sup> RI	4.1666%
Louis Garcia	1/2 of 1/3 <sup>rd</sup> of 1/4 <sup>th</sup> RI	4.1666%
Omar Garcia	1/2 of 1/3 <sup>rd</sup> of 1/4 <sup>th</sup> RI	4.1667%
Larry Landman	1/2 of 1% OR	0.50%
ROC	2% OR	2.00%
BOC	3/4ths WI	75.00%

2



3

***Chicago Corp. v. Wall,***  
**293 S.W.2d 844, 844 -847 (Tex.1956)**

The Texas Supreme Court held that after the Walls executed the transfer orders they were no longer entitled to money they had directed Chicago Corporation to pay to others, and the Walls could not recover from Chicago Corporation. To hold otherwise would be to cause Chicago Corporation to suffer an injury as the result of a double payment.

Detrimental Reliance.

4

***Exxon Corp. v. Middleton,***  
**613 S.W.2d 240, 249 -251 (Tex.1981)**

... Division orders are binding only for the time and to the extent that they have been or are being acted upon. The division orders in *Middleton* were revoked upon service of citation on Exxon and Sun. Thus, division orders are described as binding until revoked. They are an executory accord and not a contract.

**STATUTE OF LIMITATIONS**

5

***Gavenda v. Strata Energy, Inc.,***  
**705 S.W.2d 690, 690 -693 (Tex.1986)**

...In Texas, division and transfer orders do not convey royalty interests; they do not rewrite or supplant leases or deeds...

The general rule in Texas is that division and transfer orders bind underpaid royalty owners until revoked...One principle underlining this rule is detrimental reliance.

Generally, the underpaid royalty owners, however, have a remedy: they can recover from the overpaid royalty owners...The basis for recovery is unjust enrichment; the overpaid royalty owner is not entitled to the royalties.

6

Find the full text of this and thousands of other resources from leading experts in dozens of legal practice areas in the [UT Law CLE eLibrary \(utcle.org/elibrary\)](https://utcle.org/elibrary)

Title search: The Uses and Abuses of the Division Order

Also available as part of the eCourse

[2020 Fundamentals of Oil, Gas, and Mineral Law eConference](#)

First appeared as part of the conference materials for the  
2020 Fundamentals of Oil, Gas and Mineral Law session

"The Uses and Abuses of the Division Order"