

1

WHAT WE PLAN TO DISCUSS

- Recent Technology Licensing Developments
 - Licensing Case Law Update
 - The Next "X" in XaaS?
 - "App-Store" Requirements
 - Antitrust Guidelines for the Licensing of Intellectual Property
- Data Monetization Practice Points
- XaaS Licensing Tips

For information only. Not legal advice.

2

RECENT DEVELOPMENTS LICENSING CASE LAW UPDATE

Licensing Case Law Updates

- Great Minds v. Office Depot, Inc.
 - 9th Circuit Weighs In On Scope of Creative Commons License Terms
- Mission Product Holdings v. Tempnology, LLC
 - SCOTUS Clarifies Trademark Licensee's Rights After Rejection in Bankruptcy
- Universal Instruments Corp. v. Micro Systems Engineering, Inc.
 - 2nd Circuit Explains When Copyright Act Precludes Assertion of Breach of Contract Claims

For information only. Not legal advice.

3

GREAT MINDS V. OFFICE DEPOT, INC.

Facts:

Great Minds is the copyright owner for certain educational materials licensed to the public under Creative Commons Attribution License (noncommercial use).

School districts requested that Office Depot copy the materials at its copy shops. Great Minds sued FedEx for copyright infringement arguing that Office Depot was a commercial business and could not avail itself of the non-commercial use permission of the CC license.

GREAT MINDS, a non-profit organization, Plaintiff-Appellant, v. OFFICE DEPOT, INC., a Delaware corporation, Defendant-Appellee. Appeal from the United States District Court for the Central District of California John F. Walter, District Judge, Presiding Argued and Submitted November 8, 2019 Pasadena, California Filed December 27, 2019 Before: Jerome Farris and M. Margaret McKeown, Circuit Judges, and Virginia M. Kendall, District Judge. Opinion by Judge Farris

For information only. Not legal advice.

4

GREAT MINDS V. OFFICE DEPOT, INC.

Background:

Creative Commons Attribution —Non-Commercial—Share Alike 4.0 International Public License

(https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-sa/4.0/legalcode)

"worldwide, royalty-free, non-sublicensable, non-exclusive, irrevocable license to...reproduce and Share the [Materials], in whole or in part, for Non-Commercial purposes only"

For information only. Not legal advice.

5

GREAT MINDS V. OFFICE DEPOT, INC.

Great Minds v. Office Depot, Inc., Case. No. 18-55331 (9th Cir. Dec. 27, 2019) Holding:

"Under the License, a non-commercial licensee may hire a third-party contractor, including those working for commercial gain, to help implement the License at the direction of the licensee and in furtherance of the licensee's own licensed rights. The License extends to all employees of the schools and school districts and shelters Office Depot's commercial copying of Eureka Math on their behalf. Holding differently would prevent proper non-commercial licensees from using relatively common means of reproduction to share, engage with, and exercise their rights to the licensed work in a way that would contravene the intent of the License and undermine its utility. We conclude that the licensees' contract with Office Depot to exercise the licensees' rights under the License does not impose an independent liability on Office Depot. As a result, Great Minds has failed to state a plausible claim to relief on its copyright infringement claim."

For information only. Not legal advice

6





Find the full text of this and thousands of other resources from leading experts in dozens of legal practice areas in the <u>UT Law CLE eLibrary (utcle.org/elibrary)</u>

Title search: Beyond SaaS: Licensing Strategies for Today's Technology

Also available as part of the eCourse 2020 Technology Law eConference

First appeared as part of the conference materials for the 33rd Annual Technology Law Conference session "Beyond SaaS: Licensing Strategies for Today's Tech"