

PRESENTED AT

28th Annual Labor and Employment Law Conference

May 6-7, 2021

Creating Effective and Legal Diversity, Equity, and Inclusion Programs

Shafeeqa W. Giarratani, Speaker
Ogletree, Deakins, Nash, Smoak & Stewart, P.C.
(Austin)

Author Contact Information:

Sarah J. Platt – Ogletree Deakins
1243 North 10th Street, Suite 200
Milwaukee, WI 53205-2559
Sarah.platt@ogletree.com
414-239-6400 (p)

Bonnie Puckett – Ogletree Deakins
191 Peachtree Street N.E., Suite 4800
Atlanta, GA 30303
Bonnie.puckett@ogletree.com
404-881-1300 (p)

Jimmy F. Robinson, Jr.
Ogletree Deakins
Riverfront Plaza - West Tower
901 East Byrd Street, Suite 1300
Richmond, VA 23219
Jimmy.Robinson@ogletree.com
804-663-2330

Diversity, Equity, and Inclusion Programs

by Sarah J. Platt, Bonnie Puckett, and Jimmy F. Robinson, Jr.¹

Like any corporate initiative, programs to promote diversity and inclusion (D&I, or DE&I for “diversity, equity, and inclusion”) benefit from informed analysis. As D&I rises on organizational priority lists, decision-makers have never before had access to so much information nor so many tools to process it. Predictably, then, employers turn to data analytics. It is objective, algorithmic, and quantifiable—what could go wrong? Indeed, data analytics often stand out as a helpful component, provided that employers utilize them with proper perspective and mindfulness.

I. Recent Developments in D&I—The Drive to Quantify

As corporate consciousness progresses in this space, it is important to know where we stand currently, and to clarify what a DE&I initiative is – and, by implication, what it is not. These preliminary preparations help clarify rationale and purpose at the outset, allowing organizations to view subsequent decisions through that lens.

No universally authoritative definition exists for “DE&I.” In the simplest sense, we can understand “diversity” to mean that a group contains individuals of different backgrounds; we can understand “inclusion” to mean that such individuals are engaged at all levels of the organization, feel valued, and have influence on decisions that affect them. Recently, many organizations also incorporate “E” into the acronym, standing for “equity,” an acknowledgment that equal opportunity is only possible with acknowledgment and awareness of the inequalities inherent in any system.

Generally speaking, there are many rationales, grounded in academic research, for why DE&I should be an area of focus. Many companies are “internally” motivated to establish DE&I as company values, and “externally” motivated because customers demand it with increasing frequency. Additionally, a successful D&I program yields operational dividends: (1) tangible, positive impacts on company bottom line; (2) increased employee creativity and productivity; (3) boosts to workforce retention, development, and culture efforts; and (4) expansion into or deepening within new customer markets.

Attention to DE&I has spiked further following George Floyd’s death and the #BlackLivesMatter movement’s response, which underscored the need for companies and individuals to be proactive in efforts to combat societal racism. The movement gave voice to many who had remained silent, giving rise to a strong and humbling response. Corporate statements promoting anti-racism followed promptly, many going further than ever before in acknowledging the need to do better.

Companies that had just resolved to do better then had to figure out how to execute on that intention. To do that, they had to turn inward to answer the question, “improve on what?”

¹ Additional research contributors: Andre Appel, Enrique A. Del Cueto-Pérez, Gregory R. Hawran, Rebecca L. Marks, Ryan Martin, Stephen A. Riga, and Mauricio Romero Alpuche.

Practically speaking, the path to that answer begins with demographic data. Indeed, without delay, some of the biggest corporations announced their own statistics publicly, some setting numerical goals to hold themselves accountable. Should every organization follow this playbook? No. Cookie-cutter solutions are in a sense antithetical to the very concept of “DE&I,” as is rushing to wave a banner externally without careful consideration of the individuals under it. On the other hand, every organization has an opportunity to improve itself in this realm at this moment – and data analytics offer an important tool.

II. Strategy

Metrics are most effectively positioned as a means of information gathering within a larger DE&I initiative. Relying exclusively on metrics as the backbone of a DE&I program could fail to advance the organizational goals, or even backfire. When they fail, it is often because a laser focus on “diversity” numbers distracts stakeholders from the “inclusion” component. Achieving diversity will be short-lived without a companion focus on factors such as employee engagement and psychological safety. Companies focused on analytics may wish to assess both qualitative and quantitative data. Companies may conduct employee surveys to gather data on equity and inclusion, in addition to considering workforce demographics. Further, if a company has its employees’ support for DE&I initiatives, employees are less likely to challenge those efforts. This can avoid many legal risks (described further in Section III below) that often come to pass as a result of employee-relations issues arising from a lack of inclusion and belonging. Incorporating metrics mindfully along with attention around inclusion that could make the difference between success and failure.

A. Visioning

Effective DE&I initiatives start with an awareness of how DE&I fits into the overall goals of the business – making metrics part of this larger strategic plan helps the whole plan succeed. In a climate where DE&I is in the news as a result of sudden and acute developments, there is a danger that the resulting actions will be reactionary and shortsighted. While DE&I-minded companies can and should feel compelled to react to world events with moral courage and conviction, their DE&I programs should reflect a calm, mindful, and intentional energy. Visioning is critical, and companies that have trouble articulating a rationale and purpose for a metrics-based strategy should think twice before doing it.

To varying degrees, all employers implementing DE&I face employee skepticism – why is my employer doing this? Do they mean it? Are they just reacting to an event and trying to avoid being a target? Data can be manipulated and framed in many different ways. It is important that employers are thoughtful when presenting analytics and do so intentionally, clearly, with appropriate caveats, and not spun in the interest of an agenda. A properly framed set of analytics can enhance credibility.

Consider the concepts of “internal” and “external” motivation, both of which have roles to play in a successful DE&I program. “Internal” motivation comes from a company’s belief systems and values. “External” motivation comes from a feeling of obligation so as to avoid negative consequences. Rationales that seem solely externally motivated (e.g., we want to remain competitive, or we want to preempt criticism) may be ineffective if they seem disingenuous – though in some cases external motivators *require* metrics (e.g., pay equity reporting laws or

Find the full text of this and thousands of other resources from leading experts in dozens of legal practice areas in the [UT Law CLE eLibrary \(utcle.org/elibrary\)](http://utcle.org/elibrary)

Title search: Creating Effective and Legal Diversity, Equity, and Inclusion Programs

Also available as part of the eCourse

[Answer Bar: Handling Employee Investigations in Today's Workplace](#)

First appeared as part of the conference materials for the
28th Annual Labor and Employment Law Conference session
"Recent Developments and Best Practices in Diversity and Inclusion"