



Pending Petitions for Discretionary Review in the Court of Criminal Appeals

J. Gary Hart,
Research Attorney to
Judge Kevin Yeary

Stacey M. Soule,
State Prosecuting
Attorney



@OSPATX



Evidence



Molina, PD-1079-19

Was the Confrontation Clause violated when a Houston crime lab tech testified about a DNA profile generated by Reliagene?

3



Bahena,
PD-0653-20

Was it proper for a sheriff's deputy to authentic inmate-jail-call recordings as a custodian or "other qualified witness" for purposes of the business records exception when the recording system was owned and operated by a private company?

4

Valadez, PD-0574-19 (Appellant's)
Lynch, PD-1089-20 (State's)

Is it proper to admit evidence of a defendant's prior drug convictions & drug-related evidence to prove intent and knowledge to rebut the defendant's alternative perpetrator defense?



5

Macedo, PD-1053-20

Is hearsay evidence, not ordinarily admissible at the guilt phase under the evidentiary rules, admissible at punishment under TEX. CODE CRIM. PROC. art. 37.07 § 3(a)(1) when it is "relevant to sentencing"?

6

Find the full text of this and thousands of other resources from leading experts in dozens of legal practice areas in the [UT Law CLE eLibrary \(utcle.org/elibrary\)](https://utcle.org/elibrary)

Title search: Pending Petitions for Discretionary Review in the Court of Criminal Appeals

Also available as part of the eCourse

[Practical Guidance in Criminal Appeals Practice: PDRs, Findings of Fact, Preservation of Error, and More](#)

First appeared as part of the conference materials for the
2021 Robert O. Dawson Conference on Criminal Appeals session
"Emerging Issues in PDRs"