

**PRESENTED AT**

The University of Texas School of Law  
30th Annual David W. Robertson  
Admiralty and Maritime Law Conference

October 15, 2021  
Royal Sonesta Hotel Houston ■ Houston, Texas

## **RECENT DEVELOPMENTS IN ADMIRALTY AND MARITIME LAW AT THE NATIONAL LEVEL AND IN THE FIFTH AND ELEVENTH CIRCUITS**

**Michael F. Sturley**  
**Fannie Coplin Regents Chair in Law**  
**University of Texas at Austin**

**Matthew H. Ammerman**  
**LAW OFFICE OF MATTHEW H. AMMERMAN, P.C.**

Author Contact Information:

Michael F. Sturley  
University of Texas School of Law  
[msturley@law.utexas.edu](mailto:msturley@law.utexas.edu)  
512-232-1350

Matthew H. Ammerman  
LAW OFFICE OF MATTHEW H. AMMERMAN,  
P.C.  
[mha@MaritimeDefense.net](mailto:mha@MaritimeDefense.net)  
713-227-1404

# RECENT DEVELOPMENTS IN ADMIRALTY AND MARITIME LAW AT THE NATIONAL LEVEL AND IN THE FIFTH AND ELEVENTH CIRCUITS

I. <u>INTRODUCTION</u> .....	1
II. <u>THE WORK OF THE SUPREME COURT</u> .....	3
A. Appealability of Remand Orders in Removal Cases .....	3
<i>BP P.L.C. v. Mayor and City Council of Baltimore</i>	
B. Grant of Certiorari .....	5
<i>Servotronics, Inc. v. Rolls-Royce PLC</i>	
C. Denials of Certiorari .....	6
<i>Massachusetts Lobstermen’s Association v. Raimondo</i>	
<i>Big Port Service DMCC Ltd. v. China Shipping Container Lines Co.</i>	
<i>LaCourse v. PAE Worldwide Inc.</i>	
<i>Doty v. Tappan Zee Constructors, LLC</i>	
III. <u>SELECTED DECISIONS FROM AROUND THE COUNTRY</u> .....	8
A. Subject-Matter Jurisdiction in Maritime Cases .....	8
1. Admiralty Jurisdiction in Tort Cases .....	8
a. The <i>Grubart</i> test .....	8
<i>Hoblick v. United States</i>	
<i>Wineland v. Air &amp; Liquid Sys. Corp.</i>	
b. The “navigable water” definition .....	10
<i>In re Garrett</i>	
<i>In re Grants Pass Jetboats, Inc.</i>	
<i>Davis v. Blue Aircraft, LLC</i>	
2. Admiralty Jurisdiction in Contract Cases .....	13
<i>Zim American Integrated Shipping Servs. Co., LLC v. Sportswear Group, LLC</i>	
<i>Lieblong v. Abella</i>	
3. Other Admiralty Jurisdiction Issues .....	15
<i>Addax Energy SA v. M/V Yasa H. Mulla</i>	
B. Seaman Status .....	16
<i>Doty v. Tappan Zee Constructors, LLC</i>	
<i>Sanchez v. Smart Fabricators of Texas</i>	

C. Carriage of Goods .....	17
1. Negligent Third Parties and Himalaya Clauses .....	17
<i>Progressive Rail, Inc. v. CSX Transportation, Inc.</i>	
<i>Herod's Stone Design v. Mediterranean Shipping Co.</i>	
2. Time-for-Suit Provisions .....	19
<i>ELCO Insurance Co. v. Spirit Trucking Co.</i>	
<i>Herod's Stone Design v. Mediterranean Shipping Co.</i>	
3. Removal of COGSA Cases .....	20
<i>Commissionado de Seguros de Puerto Rico Como Liquidador de Real Legacy Assurance Co. v. Crowley Liner Services Puerto Rico, Inc.</i>	
4. The Current Status of COGSA .....	22
<i>Hartford Fire Insurance Co. v. Maersk Line</i>	
<i>Saray Dokum ve Madeni Aksam Sanayi Turizm A.S. v. MTS Logistics, Inc.</i>	
<i>Travelers Property Casualty Co. of America v. Apex Shipping Co. (NYC)</i>	
<i>Commissionado de Seguros de Puerto Rico Como Liquidador de Real Legacy Assurance Co. v. Crowley Liner Services Puerto Rico, Inc.</i>	
D. Longshore and Harbor Workers' Compensation Act (LHWCA) .....	24
1. Average Weekly Wage (AWW) Calculations .....	24
<i>Martin v. Sundial Marine Tug &amp; Barge Works, Inc.</i>	
2. Attorney's Fees .....	25
<i>Seachris v. Brady Hamilton Stevedore Co.</i>	
E. Marine Insurance .....	27
1. The <i>Uberrimae Fidei</i> Doctrine .....	27
<i>QBE Seguros v. Morales-Vazquez</i>	
<i>Atlantic Specialty Insurance Co. v. Karl's Boat Shop, Inc.</i>	
2. Other Marine Insurance Issues .....	32
<i>Northwestern Selecta, Inc. v. Guardian Insurance Co</i>	
<i>New York Marine &amp; General Insurance Co. v. Travelers Property Casualty Co. of America</i>	
F. Suits in Admiralty Act .....	33
<i>Ruan v. United States</i>	
G. Vessel Owner's Limitation of Liability .....	34
<i>Bensch v. Estate of Umar</i>	
<i>D'Onofio General Contractor Corp. v. Safer,</i>	

H. Maritime Liens .....	39
<i>Sing Fuels Pte. Ltd. v. M/V Lila Shanghai</i>	
<i>Addax Energy SA v. M/V Yasa H. Mulla</i>	
I. The Clean Water Act .....	43
<i>Taylor Energy Co., L.L.C. v. Luttrell</i>	
J. Removal .....	45
<i>Estate of Saravia v. Bayonne Dry Dock &amp; Repair Corp.</i>	
<i>Commissionado de Seguros de Puerto Rico Como Liquidador de Real Legacy Assurance Co. v. Crowley Liner Services Puerto Rico, Inc.</i>	
IV. THE WORK OF THE COURTS IN THE FIFTH AND ELEVENTH CIRCUITS .....	46
A. Subject-Matter Jurisdiction in Maritime Cases .....	46
1. Admiralty Jurisdiction in Tort Cases .....	46
<i>McIntosh v. Royal Caribbean Cruises, Ltd.</i>	
<i>Buland v. NCL (Bahamas) Ltd</i>	
<i>White v. Viera</i>	
<i>Appleby v. NCL (Bahamas), Ltd.</i>	
<i>In re Southern Recycling, L.L.C.</i>	
2. The “Navigable Water” Definition .....	49
<i>In re Go Rio San Antonio, LLC</i>	
3. The Admiralty Extension Act .....	50
<i>Delozier v. S2 Energy Operating, LLC</i>	
B. Personal Jurisdiction .....	51
1. Personal Jurisdiction and Fifth Amendment Due Process .....	51
<i>Douglass v. Nippon Yusen Kabushiki Kaisha</i>	
2. Personal Jurisdiction and Fourteenth Amendment Due Process .....	53
<i>PetroSaudi Oil Services, Ltd. v. Hartley</i>	
<i>Weeks Marine Co., LLC v. Landa</i>	
C. Death on the High Seas Act .....	55
<i>LaCourse v. PAE Worldwide Inc.</i>	
D. The Rights of Seamen .....	57
1. Seaman Status .....	57
<i>Sanchez v. Smart Fabricators of Texas, L.L.C.</i>	
<i>Meaux v. Cooper Consolidated, LLC</i>	
<i>Doty v. Tappan Zee</i>	

2. Maintenance and Cure .....	67
a. Employer's contribution claim against responsible third party .....	67
<i>Poincon v. Offshore Marine Contractors, Inc.</i>	
a. <i>McCorpen</i> defense .....	70
<i>Adriatic Marine, L.L.C. v. Harrington</i>	
<i>Taylor v. B&amp;J Martin, Inc.</i>	
<i>Witbart v. Mandara</i>	
3. Comparative Negligence .....	73
<i>Knight v. Kirby Offshore Marine Pac., L.L.C.</i>	
4. Admissibility of Expert Testimony .....	76
<i>Buland v. NCL (Bah.) Ltd.</i>	
<i>McGill v. BP Exploration &amp; Production</i>	
<i>Hollies v. Triton Asset Leasing, GMBH</i>	
<i>Riha v. Offshore Service Vessels, LLC</i>	
E. Carriage of Goods .....	79
1. Ocean Carrier's Action Against an Inland Carrier .....	79
<i>MOL (America), Inc., v. Hepta Run, Inc.</i>	
2. Time-for-Suit Provisions .....	81
<i>Mauricio Importadora y Exportadora v. Jet Speed Logistics (USA) LLC</i>	
F. General Maritime Tort Law .....	81
<i>Garcia v. United States</i>	
<i>Yusko v. NCL (Bah.), Ltd.</i>	
<i>McIntosh v. Royal Caribbean Cruises, Ltd.</i>	
G. Marine Insurance .....	85
<i>Travelers Property Casualty Co. of America v. Ocean Reef Charters, LLC</i>	
H. Longshore and Harbor Workers' Compensation Act (LHWCA) .....	87
1. Employer's Exclusivity of Liability Under LHWCA § 5(a) .....	87
<i>Hulin v. Huntington Ingalls, Inc.</i>	
<i>Barrosse v. Huntington Ingalls Inc.</i>	
<i>Parkman v. W&amp;T Offshore</i>	
2. Borrowed Employee Status .....	89
<i>Raicevic v. Fieldwood Energy, LLC</i>	
3. The Outer Continental Shelf Lands Act (OCSLA) Extension of LHWCA .....	93
<i>Owensby &amp; Kritikos, Inc. v. Director [Boudreaux]</i>	

4. Vessel Negligence Actions through LHWCA § 5(b) .....	95
<i>Anthony v. Deep South Airboats</i>	
<i>Patil v. Amber Lagoon Shipping GmbH &amp; Co</i>	
I. Maritime Liens and Mortgages .....	97
<i>Caterpillar Financial Services Corp. v. Iztaccihuatl 2501</i>	
<i>Moore v. M/V Sunny United States</i>	
J. Vessel Owner's Limitation of Liability .....	98
<i>Freedom Unlimited v. Taylor Lane Yacht &amp; Ship, LLC</i>	
<i>In re Callan Marine</i>	
<i>In re Orion Marine Construction, Inc.</i>	
<i>In re Chester J. Marine, LLC</i>	
K. Procedure .....	102
1. Removal .....	102
a. Removal into admiralty .....	102
<i>Ibarra v. Port of Houston Authority</i>	
<i>Alexis v. Hilcorp Energy Co.</i>	
<i>Williams v. Aquachile, Inc.</i>	
<i>White v. Viera</i>	
b. Removal When the Plaintiff Claims Under the Jones Act .....	105
<i>Hutchins v. Anco Insulations, Inc.</i>	
<i>Sanchez v. Smart Fabricators of Texas, L.L.C.</i>	
2. Forum-Selection Clauses .....	107
<i>Appleby v. NCL (Bahamas), Ltd.</i>	
L. The BP Gulf Oil Spill Litigation .....	108
<i>Halliburton Co. v. Chubb Bermuda Insurance Ltd.</i>	

## RECENT DEVELOPMENTS IN ADMIRALTY AND MARITIME LAW AT THE NATIONAL LEVEL AND IN THE FIFTH AND ELEVENTH CIRCUITS

Michael F. Sturley  
Fannie Coplin Regents Chair in Law  
University of Texas  
727 East Dean Keeton Street, Austin, Texas 78705  
512/232-1350; Fax 512/471-6988  
[msturley@law.utexas.edu](mailto:msturley@law.utexas.edu)

Matthew H. Ammerman  
LAW OFFICE OF MATTHEW H. AMMERMAN, P.C.  
2040 North Loop West, Ste. 390, Houston, Texas 77018-8114  
713/227-1404; Fax 713/456-2634  
[mha@MaritimeDefense.net](mailto:mha@MaritimeDefense.net)

September 15, 2021

### **I. INTRODUCTION**

This is the twenty-first paper in a series of annual reports on U.S. admiralty and maritime law and practice.<sup>1</sup> In these papers we try to call attention to the principal national-

---

<sup>1</sup> The preceding twenty papers are Michael F. Sturley & Matthew H. Ammerman, *Recent Developments in Admiralty and Maritime Law at the National Level and in the Fifth and Eleventh Circuits*, 45 TUL. MAR. L.J. 549 (2021) [hereinafter *2020 Recent Developments*]; Michael F. Sturley & Matthew H. Ammerman, *Recent Developments in Admiralty and Maritime Law at the National Level and in the Fifth and Eleventh Circuits*, 44 TUL. MAR. L.J. 513 (2020) [hereinafter *2019 Recent Developments*]; David W. Robertson, Michael F. Sturley & Matthew H. Ammerman, *Recent Developments in Admiralty and Maritime Law at the National Level and in the Fifth and Eleventh Circuits*, 43 TUL. MAR. L.J. 367 (2019) [hereinafter *2018 Recent Developments*]; David W. Robertson, Michael F. Sturley & Matthew H. Ammerman, *Recent Developments in Admiralty and Maritime Law at the National Level and in the Fifth and Eleventh Circuits*, 42 TUL. MAR. L.J. 373 (2018) [hereinafter *2017 Recent Developments*]; David W. Robertson & Michael F. Sturley, *Recent Developments in Admiralty and Maritime Law at the National Level and in the Fifth and Eleventh Circuits*, 41 TUL. MAR. L.J. 437 (2017) [hereinafter *2016 Recent Developments*]; David W. Robertson & Michael F. Sturley, *Recent Developments in Admiralty and Maritime Law at the National Level and in the Fifth and Eleventh Circuits*, 40 TUL. MAR. L.J. 343 (2016) [hereinafter *2015 Recent Developments*]; David W. Robertson & Michael F. Sturley, *Recent Developments in Admiralty and Maritime Law at the National Level and in the Fifth and Eleventh Circuits*, 39 TUL. MAR. L.J. 471 (2015) [hereinafter *2014 Recent Developments*]; David W. Robertson & Michael F. Sturley, *Recent Developments in Admiralty and Maritime Law at the National Level and in the Fifth and Eleventh Circuits*,

level developments that bear on the work of admiralty judges, lawyers, and scholars, and we look more closely at the relevant work of the U.S. Courts of Appeals for the Fifth and Eleventh Circuits. We do not warrant full coverage, although with respect to the Fifth and Eleventh Circuit Courts of Appeals, we try to be fairly thorough.<sup>2</sup>

---

38 TUL. MAR. L.J. 419 (2014) [hereinafter *2013 Recent Developments*]; David W. Robertson & Michael F. Sturley, *Recent Developments in Admiralty and Maritime Law at the National Level and in the Fifth and Eleventh Circuits*, 37 TUL. MAR. L.J. 401 (2013) [hereinafter *2012 Recent Developments*]; David W. Robertson & Michael F. Sturley, *Recent Developments in Admiralty and Maritime Law at the National Level and in the Fifth and Eleventh Circuits*, 36 TUL. MAR. L.J. 425 (2012) [hereinafter *2011 Recent Developments*]; David W. Robertson & Michael F. Sturley, *Developments in Admiralty and Maritime Law at the National Level and in the Fifth and Eleventh Circuits*, 35 TUL. MAR. L.J. 493 (2011) [hereinafter *2010 Recent Developments*]; David W. Robertson & Michael F. Sturley, *Recent Developments in Admiralty and Maritime Law at the National Level and in the Fifth and Eleventh Circuits*, 34 TUL. MAR. L.J. 443 (2010) [hereinafter *2009 Recent Developments*]; David W. Robertson & Michael F. Sturley, *Recent Developments in Admiralty and Maritime Law at the National Level and in the Fifth and Eleventh Circuits*, 33 TUL. MAR. L.J. 381 (2009) [hereinafter *2008 Recent Developments*]; David W. Robertson & Michael F. Sturley, *Recent Developments in Admiralty and Maritime Law at the National Level and in the Fifth and Eleventh Circuits*, 32 TUL. MAR. L.J. 493 (2008) [hereinafter *2007 Recent Developments*]; David W. Robertson & Michael F. Sturley, *Recent Developments in Admiralty and Maritime Law at the National Level and in the Fifth and Eleventh Circuits*, 31 TUL. MAR. L.J. 463 (2007) [hereinafter *2006 Recent Developments*]; David W. Robertson & Michael F. Sturley, *Recent Developments in Admiralty and Maritime Law at the National Level and in the Fifth and Eleventh Circuits*, 30 TUL. MAR. L.J. 195 (2006) [hereinafter *2005 Recent Developments*]; David W. Robertson & Michael F. Sturley, *Recent Developments in Admiralty and Maritime Law at the National Level and in the Fifth and Eleventh Circuits*, 29 TUL. MAR. L.J. 369 (2005) [hereinafter *2004 Recent Developments*]; David W. Robertson & Michael F. Sturley, *Recent Developments in Admiralty and Maritime Law at the National Level and in the Fifth and Eleventh Circuits*, 16 U.S.F. MAR. L.J. 147 (2004) [hereinafter *2003 Recent Developments*]; David W. Robertson & Michael F. Sturley, *Recent Developments in Admiralty and Maritime Law at the National Level and in the Fifth and Eleventh Circuits*, 27 TUL. MAR. L.J. 495 (2003) [hereinafter *2002 Recent Developments*]; David W. Robertson & Michael F. Sturley, *Recent Developments in Admiralty and Maritime Law at the National Level and in the Fifth and Eleventh Circuits*, 26 TUL. MAR. L.J. 193 (2001) [hereinafter *2001 Recent Developments*].

<sup>2</sup> We make no attempt to be thorough respecting district court decisions, although we have included some for their information value. “A decision by a federal district judge is not binding precedent in either a different judicial district, the same judicial district, or even upon the same judge in a different case.” 18 MOORE’S FEDERAL PRACTICE § 134.02[1][d], at 134-26.3 (3d ed. 2007). See also *American Electric Power Co. v. Connecticut*, 564 U.S. 410, 428 (2011) (“[F]ederal district judges, sitting as sole adjudicators, lack authority to render precedential decisions binding other judges, even members



Also available as part of the eCourse

[Recent Developments in Admiralty and Maritime Law \(2021\)](#)

First appeared as part of the conference materials for the

30<sup>th</sup> Annual David W. Robertson Admiralty and Maritime Law Conference session

"Recent Developments in Admiralty and Maritime Law at the National Level and in the Fifth and Eleventh Circuits"