Extraordinary and Outstanding: O-1As and EB-1s for Science And Business

by Ellen Krengel, Neena Wiora, Becki Young and Lucy Cheung

Ellen Krengel has been practicing immigration law exclusively for thirty years. Located in the San Francisco Bay Area, she focuses her practice on the technology sector including start-up businesses and entrepreneurs in addition to assisting other professionals. She has considerable experience with consular visa matters and has appeared as an expert in immigration matters on radio, television, newspapers and immigration court. She is the current CBP & DOS Liaison for AILA's Santa Clara Valley Chapter.

Neena Wiora is a shareholder of Gupta and Wiora, P.C. in Addison, Texas, a position which she has held for over 20 years. Here, she focuses on employment based immigration law, representing many scientists, engineers, and artists. She received her B.B.A. and JD degrees from Southern Methodist University in 1986 and 1990 respectively. She began her legal career as a Trial Attorney with the U.S. Department of Justice, Tax Division from 1990 - 1995. Neena was elected as a Fellow of the Texas Bar Foundation in 2019. In addition to her legal practice, Neena serves on the Board of Directors of the non-profit organization, Junior Players Guild and is active in Attorneys Serving the Community.

Becki Young, co-founder of Grossman Young & Hammond, is a seasoned business immigration attorney with over 20 years of experience in the field. She has facilitated the sponsorship of foreign professionals, trainees, interns and individuals of "extraordinary ability." She regularly provides immigration law advice to clients in a broad range of industries. Ms. Young is an active member of the American Immigration Lawyers Association (AILA). She frequently speaks at legal, business and hospitality conferences, and regularly contributes insight through published articles and commentary. She is highly recommended by Chambers & Partners and recognized as a Leading Legal Practitioner in Corporate Immigration by Who's Who Legal. She is also recognized as a "Best Lawyer" in immigration by Washingtonian magazine. Grossman Young & Hammond is rated Tier 1 National and Washington, D.C. for Immigration Law by US News & World Report / Best Lawyers.

Lucy G. Cheung is a partner at Goldstein and Cheung LLP. Admitted to the Massachusetts bar, the New York bar, and the U.S. Court of Appeals for the Second Circuit, Ms. Cheung practices general immigration law, with a focus on extraordinary individuals, business, corporations, international students, education institutions, and family immigration issues. She is an active member of the American Immigration Lawyers Association (AILA), and NAFSA-Association of International Educators. Ms. Cheung is currently a member of the AILA USCIS Case Assistance (CAC) Committee. Ms. Cheung was a member of the AILA national SCOPS and Vermont Service Center Liaison Committee, as well as the AILA Distance Learning Committee. She was chair for the NAFSA ISS-RP Travel Subcommittee, and a member of the chair stream for NAFSA's Region X (New York/New Jersey) from 2015 to 2017. She received her law degree from New England Law | Boston in 2008.

One might think it a foregone conclusion that the goal of an employment-based immigration scheme would be to attract the best and brightest. But U.S. immigration laws have never been simple or straightforward, and despite some attempts by policymakers over the years to facilitate entry for these individuals, the immigration system continues to put up a variety of hurdles.

This article examines ways to demonstrate extraordinary or outstanding ability for O-1A petitions in the sciences or business given the changing standards in these categories. It covers documenting scientific research and business metrics in an easy-to-understand manner, making the leap from O-1A to EB-1, and when Outstanding Researcher classification or National Interest Waivers (NIWs) are a viable option for difficult O-1/EB-1 cases.

LEGISLATIVE HISTORY

Copyright © 2021, American Immigration Lawyers Association. Reprinted with permission. AILA Education and Resources, http://agora.aila.org.

Let's start with a little legislative history. The Immigration & Nationality Act of 1952 (McCarran-Walter Act), the backbone of our current immigration laws, did not create separate categories for either O-1 or EB-1. What later became O-1 visas are contained in the section for H visas (and initially, many nonimmigrants of extraordinary ability were classified as H-1B's):

(H) an alien having a residence in a foreign country which he has no intention of abandoning (i) who is of distinguished merit and ability and who is coming temporarily to the United States to perform temporary services of an exceptional nature requiring such merit and ability; or (ii) who is coming temporarily to the United States to perform other temporary services or labor, if unemployed persons capable of performing such service or labor cannot be found in this country; or (iii) who is coming temporarily to the United States as an industrial trainee;

Employment based immigrants (including those with extraordinary ability) were lumped into the first quota category:

ALLOCATION OF IMMIGRANT VISAS WITHIN QUOTAS

Sec. 203. (a) Immigrant visas to quota immigrants shall be allotted in each fiscal year as follows:

(1) The first 50 per centum of the quota of each quota area for such year, plus any portion of such quota not required for the issuance of immigrant visas to the classes specified in paragraphs (2) and (3), shall be made available for the issuance of immigrant visas (A) to qualified quota immigrants whose services are determined by the Attorney General to be needed urgently in the United States because of the high education, technical training, specialized experience, or exceptional ability of such immigrants and to be substantially beneficial prospectively to the national economy, cultural interests, or welfare of the United States, and (B) to qualified quota immigrants who are the spouse or children of any immigrant described in clause (A) if accompanying him.

It was not until the Immigration Act of 1990² that the O-1 and EB-1 categories were created. Thus, the history of these two categories is only about 30 years long.

HOW OUTSTANDING DOES A BENEFICIARY NEED TO BE? THE CHANGING STANDARDS OF OUTSTANDING ABILITY

Adjudicative standards for outstanding aliens are higher now than ever before, even though there have been no significant changes to the statute or regulations. In many instances, U.S. Citizenship and Immigration Services (USCIS) has raised the bar via updates to its Policy Manual³ and misapplications of the law during adjudications. This disparate treatment is especially apparent in O-1A, EB-1A and Schedule A Group II petitions and to a lesser extent for EB-1B and NIW filings.

¹ Immigration and Nationality Act of 1952 (INA), Pub. L. No. 82-414, 66 Stat. 163 (codified as amended at 8 USC §§1101 *et seq.*).

² Immigration Act of 1990 (IMMACT90), Pub. L. No. 101-649, 104 Stat. 4978.

³ See USCIS' Policy Alert, "O Nonimmigrant Visa Classification" (Sept. 17, 2020), AILA Doc. No. 20091703.

EB-1A After O-1A

The current environment reflects significant variations between adjudicators and service centers. As a result, even the strongest cases often receive an RFE or denial. In addition, the pathway from nonimmigrant to immigrant is less certain than ever. For example, even though both the O-1A and EB-1A are for individuals of "extraordinary ability" considered to be among the very top of their field of endeavor, in practice, an EB-1A candidate must meet a much higher standard than an O-1A.

As of this writing, I-140 petitions are adjudicated by USCIS's Texas and Nebraska Service Centers. Practitioners report that adjudications from the Nebraska Service Centers have become unpredictable.

While the approval of an O-1A visa petition has never guaranteed approval of an EB-1A petition, the higher standard that the Nebraska Service Center is recently applying in its adjudication of I-140 petitions has enabled the service centers to deny I-140 petitions with increasing frequency.

Making the Leap from O-1A to EB-1: Dealing with Standards of Review

Both O-1A and EB-1A beneficiaries must demonstrate "extraordinary ability in the sciences, education, business, or athletics," "sustained national or international acclaim," "achievements recognized by others in the field of expertise," and "a level of expertise indicating that the individual is one of that small percentage who have risen to the very top of the field of endeavor." Prior approval of an O-1A nonimmigrant petition does not guarantee favorable adjudication of the EB-1A immigrant petition. Each petition is separate and independent and must be adjudicated on its own merits, under the corresponding statutory and regulatory provisions.

The 2010 Kazarian v. USCIS case, 4 and the resulting USCIS memorandum, 5 established the current standard of review for EB-1A cases. The *Kazarian* court proposed a two-step process:

- First Step: focus on counting the number of lines of evidence for which evidence was presented as required by the regulations. If the number of lines of evidence that passed through the first step is less than three, the petition could be rejected directly, without detailed examination.
- **Second Step**: a "final merits determination" subjects the evidence to critical scrutiny. If it holds up to critical scrutiny, the petition will be accepted. At this stage, additional evidence could be sought from the petitioner.

⁴ Kazarian v. USCIS, 596 F.3d 1115 (9th Cir. 2010).

⁵ USCIS Memorandum, "Evaluation of Evidence Submitted with Certain Form I-140 Petitions; Revisions to the Adjudicator's Field Manual (AFM) Chapter 22.2, AFM Update AD11-14," (Dec. 22, 2010), AILA Doc. No. 11020231.





Find the full text of this and thousands of other resources from leading experts in dozens of legal practice areas in the <u>UT Law CLE eLibrary (utcle.org/elibrary)</u>

Title search: Extraordinary and Outstanding: O-1As and EB-1s for Science and Business

Also available as part of the eCourse 2021 eConference on Immigration and Nationality Law

First appeared as part of the conference materials for the 45^{th} Annual Conference on Immigration and Nationality Law session "When All Else Fails . . . Business IV Solutions"