FINDINGS OF FACT Critical Underwood Advecacy To

Critical, Underused Advocacy Tool

CATHERINE GREENE BURNETT

1

It takes a village thanks to

Georgetown Law School Writing Center

State Prosecuting Attorney's Office

Texas Court of Criminal Appeals Writ Staff past and present – Michael Falkenberg and Michael Stauffacher **Baldwin Chin**

David Keltner

Andrea Jacobs

STANDARDS OF REVIEW

3

Can Play Significant Role When Crafting Proposed Findings

Can be a game changer – when advocate uses strategically, depending on specific case

Differing standards are function of the discrete institutional roles between trial and appellate courts

Strategy

Reframe Recast

Move left or right on the sliding scale to a more favorable standard for your case theory



5

DEFERENCE CONTINUUM

DE NOVO

QUESTIONS OF LAW

ABUSE OF DISCRETION

CREDIBILITY; EVIDENTIARY RULINGS

CLEARLY ERRONEOUS

QUESTIONS OF FACT





Find the full text of this and thousands of other resources from leading experts in dozens of legal practice areas in the <u>UT Law CLE eLibrary (utcle.org/elibrary)</u>

Title search: Drafting Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law

Also available as part of the eCourse 2022 Robert O. Dawson eConference on Criminal Appeals

First appeared as part of the conference materials for the 2022 Robert O. Dawson Conference on Criminal Appeals session "Drafting Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law"