Living in a Material World: Materiality from *Brady* to *Watkins*

ROBERT O. DAWSON CONFERENCE ON CRIMINAL APPEALS
MAY 25–27, 2022, AUSTIN, TEXAS

Presenter:

Hon. Jesse F. McClure III

Texas Court of Criminal Appeals





Disclaimers and Hints...

I do not speak for the Court

I am not trying to predict the future

Read the underlined words; let the rest wash over you

If you are representing the State, please recognize the dangers of arguing materiality...

3

Overview

- Federal <u>due process</u> guarantee in criminal discovery: *Brady* and *Bagley*
- Case illustrations
 - Factors that support materiality
 - Factors that work against materiality
- Michael Morton Act: expanded criminal discovery in Texas
- o Watkins v. State: new definition of materiality
- Comparing materiality under *Brady* and the statute

Materiality concerns...

- Protect constitutional and statutory rights
- > Ensure conviction integrity
- Prevent reversal of convictions
- Encourage <u>public confidence</u> in the justice system
- Promote the <u>truth-seeking</u> function of trials
- Comply with prosecutors' ethical obligation

5

Brady v. Maryland 373 u.s. 83 (1963) Rule: When the government suppresses favorable evidence that is material to guilt or punishment, the government violates the accused's right to due process.

<u>Foundation</u>: U.S. Constitution, <u>14th</u> amendment due process clause

Reasoning: "The principle . . . is not punishment of society for misdeeds of a prosecutor but avoidance of an **unfair** trial to the accused."



Find the full text of this and thousands of other resources from leading experts in dozens of legal practice areas in the <u>UT Law CLE eLibrary (utcle.org/elibrary)</u>

Title search: Brady

Also available as part of the eCourse 2022 Robert O. Dawson eConference on Criminal Appeals

First appeared as part of the conference materials for the 2022 Robert O. Dawson Conference on Criminal Appeals session "Brady"