Defense Perspective: Attorney's Fees after *Irwin*

ROBERT E. VALDEZ

Valdez & Treviño, Attorneys at Law, P.C. San Antonio, Texas

1

Attorney's Fees in UIM Cases

Pre-Irwin

- No recovery since no breach of contract (TCPRC chapter 38);
- No "legal entitlement";
- No recovery since no "presentment"
- Declaratory Judgment no vehicle for recovery of fees (Jordan).

Post-Irwin

- Recovery possible under Texas Declaratory Judgment Act (TCPRC chapter 37);
- Fees must be "just and equitable"
- Declaratory Judgment Act is proper vehicle.

2

Defenses under Irwin

Pick the proper battlefield.

Is there **federal (diversity) jurisdiction**? Amount in controversy--\$75,000; complete diversity of citizenship.

Reason: **No attorney's fees** in federal declaratory judgment action. See page 2 of my article.


3

Narrow the issues:

Stipulate to coverage issues (except legal entitlement).

Make the case a "car wreck" case.

4



Who is proving up the fee?

Defense perspective: Consider designating **independent expert** to controvert / rebut plaintiff's testimony re the reasonableness of fee.

- Designating yourself (or your law firm) as comparator in challenging opponent's fees: puts your attorney's fees in issue.
 - "opens the door to expert witness discovery"

See page 4-6 of my article (In re National Lloyds)

6





Find the full text of this and thousands of other resources from leading experts in dozens of legal practice areas in the <u>UT Law CLE eLibrary (utcle.org/elibrary)</u>

Title search: Defense Perspective: Attorney's Fees after Irwin

Also available as part of the eCourse

<u>Answer Bar: Taking on the Car Crash Client</u>

First appeared as part of the conference materials for the 2022 The Car Crash Seminar session
"Recent Developments in Prosecuting and Defending UM/UIM Actions"