



1

**PROTECTING THE PIG IN THE POKE:
ETHICAL REDACTION OF MEDICAL RECORDS – A
PLAINTIFF'S VIEW**




PAUL N. GOLD
Aversano & Gold
www.cuttingedgejustice.com

AVERSANO & GOLD

CUTTINGEDGEJUSTICE.COM

2



3



4



AVERSANO & GOLD



CUTTINGEDGEJUSTICE.COM

5

- ❑ ***Mutter v. Wood***, 744 S.W.2d 600 (Tex.1988);
- ❑ ***In re Gillham***, Not Reported In S.W.3d, 2014 WL 5474788 (Tex. App. – Dallas 2014)
- ❑ ***R.K. v. Ramirez***, 887 S.W.2d 836 (Tex. 1994) (orig. proceeding).
- ❑ ***In re Union Pacific Railroad Company and Wanda Heckel***, 459 S.W.3d 127 (Tex. App. – El Paso, 2015, no pet.)
- ❑ ***In re Drews***, Not Reported in S.W.3d, 2012 WL 4854716 (Tex.App.-Texarkana).
- ❑ ***In re Whipple***, 373 S.W.3d 119 (Tex. App.-San Antonio 2012, no pet.)
- ❑ ***In re Jarvis***, 431 S.W.3d 129 (Tex. App. Houston [14th Dist.] 2013, orig. proceeding)

AVERSANO & GOLD

CUTTINGEDGEJUSTICE.COM

6

Find the full text of this and thousands of other resources from leading experts in dozens of legal practice areas in the [UT Law CLE eLibrary \(utcle.org/elibrary\)](https://utcle.org/elibrary)

Title search: Protecting the Pig in the Poke: Ethical Redaction of Medical Records – a Plaintiff's View

Also available as part of the eCourse

[Ethical Redaction of Medical Records](#)

First appeared as part of the conference materials for the
2022 The Car Crash Seminar session

"Protecting the Pig in the Poke: Ethical Redaction of Medical Records – a Plaintiff's View"