

UT TECHNOLOGY LAW CONFERENCE

Websites: Privacy and Accessibility Litigation

May 25, 2023 | Shayne Henry

The views, opinions, statements, analysis and information contained in these materials are those of the individual presenters and do not necessarily reflect the views of Kirkland & Ellis or any of its past, present and future clients. These materials (1) do not constitute legal advice; (2) do not form the basis for the creation of the attorney/client relationship; and (3) should not be relied upon without seeking specific legal advice with respect to the particular facts and current state of the law applicable to any situation requiring legal advice. These materials may only be reproduced with the prior written consent of Kirkland & Ellis. These materials are provided with the understanding that the individual presenters and Kirkland & Ellis are not rendering legal, accounting, or other professional advice or opinions on specific facts or matters, and, accordingly, such entities assume no liability whatsoever in connection with their use. Kirkland & Ellis LLP is authorised and regulated by the Solicitors Regulation Authority. Pursuant to applicable U.S. rules of professional conduct, this material may constitute Attorney Advertising. Prior results do not guarantee a similar outcome. © 2023 Kirkland & Ellis LLP. All rights reserved.

1

Agenda

1. Website Accessibility Litigation

- a. Background and Legal Framework
- b. Recent Legal Trends

2. Website/Internet Privacy Litigation

- a. Background and Legal Framework
- b. Recent Legal Trends

2

Website Accessibility: Background and Legal Framework

KIRKLAND & ELLIS



3

▶ What Is An “Inaccessible” Website?

- ▶ Examples of Website Accessibility Barriers
 - Poor color contrast
 - Use of color alone to give information
 - Lack of text alternatives (“alt text”) on images
 - No captions on videos
 - Inaccessible online forms
 - Mouse-only navigation (lack of keyboard navigation)

KIRKLAND & ELLIS

4

4

Americans With Disabilities Act (ADA)

- ▶ Prohibits discrimination against people with disabilities in employment, public services, public accommodations, and telecommunications
- ▶ Title III: a person owning, leasing, or operating a “**place of public accommodation**” may not discriminate against an individual with a disability regarding the “full and equal enjoyment” of goods and services
- ▶ What is a “public accommodation”?
 - Courts generally agree the ADA applies to websites connected with a physical, brick-and-mortar business
 - Courts split on application to websites for internet-only businesses

State and Local Laws

- ▶ California Unruh Civil Rights Act
 - All persons are entitled to full and equal accommodations, advantages, facilities, privileges, or services in all “business establishments of every kind whatsoever”
 - Two theories: (1) violation of ADA or (2) denial of access based on intentional discrimination
 - Applies to websites, even for out-of-state businesses, if sufficient sales to Californians
 - Unlike the ADA, which does not allow monetary damages, the Unruh Act allows recovery of up to 3x actual damages or at least \$4,000 *per occurrence*
 - Like the ADA, allows for recovery of attorney’s fees
- ▶ New York State (and City) Human Rights Laws
 - Compensatory damages, civil penalties and fines, and attorney’s fees

Find the full text of this and thousands of other resources from leading experts in dozens of legal practice areas in the [UT Law CLE eLibrary \(utcle.org/elibrary\)](http://utcle.org/elibrary)

Title search: Websites: Privacy and ADA Litigation

Also available as part of the eCourse

[eSupplement to the 46th Annual Corporate Counsel Institute](#)

First appeared as part of the conference materials for the
36th Annual Technology Law Conference session

"Websites: Privacy and ADA Litigation"