


UT LAW SCHOOL 28TH LAND USE CONFERENCE

THE EVOLUTION OF INVERSE CONDEMNATION LAW: A TWENTY YEAR RETROSPECTIVE

Arthur J. Anderson
Winstead PC
Dallas, TX

1



2

1

Terminology

- “Inverse” because condemnation cases are filed by the government.
- A physical taking occurs when the governmental literally takes property from its owner.
- A regulatory taking occurs when property rights are restricted to such an extent as to become the functional equivalent of a physical seizure.

3

Takings Elements

A property owner suing for compensation must show that the government (1) engaged in an affirmative act or course of conduct that resulted in the taking, damaging, destruction, or application of property; and (2) did so with the necessary intent—that is, with knowledge that either (a) the conduct is causing identifiable harm or (b) specific property damage is substantially certain to result.

4

Physical Takings

- Flooding cases
 - Federal
 - State
- Encroachment Cases

5

Tarrant Reg. Water Dist. v. Gragg, 151 S.W.3d 546 (Tex. 2004)

- Richland-Chambers Reservoir impounded Trinity River.
- Only 8% excess storage capacity
- Court distinguished between mere negligence and intentional actions
- In this case, District knew flooding would result due to design

6

Find the full text of this and thousands of other resources from leading experts in dozens of legal practice areas in the [UT Law CLE eLibrary \(utcle.org/elibrary\)](http://utcle.org/elibrary)

Title search: The Evolution of Inverse Condemnation Law: A Twenty Year Retrospective

Also available as part of the eCourse

[The Evolution of Inverse Condemnation Law](#)

First appeared as part of the conference materials for the
28th Annual Land Use Conference session
"The Evolution of Inverse Condemnation Law"