



DÉJÀ VU ALL OVER AGAIN - RE-LITIGATING 2021

- 1. CARRIERS ARE TRYING TO REVERSE AND TO RE-WRITE THE HOLDINGS OF THE TEXAS SUPREME COURT FROM *IN RE STATE FARM* (2021).
- 2. CARRIERS HAVE MADE IT CLEAR THEY WANT TO REVERSE ALLSTATE v. IRWIN (TEX. 2021).
- 3. IF CARRIERS CANNOT REVERSE ALLSTATE v. IRWIN, THEY ARE EMPLOYING A REMOVAL DEFENSE STRATEGY TO AVOID AWARDS OF ATTORNEY'S FEES.
- 4. CARRIERS ARE FIGHTING THE RIGHT OF INSUREDS TO OBTAIN EVEN A LIMITED SCOPE DEPOSITION OF THE CORPORATE REPRESENTATIVE DESPITE THE HOLDINGS OF THE TEXAS SUPREME COURT'S DECISION *IN RE USAA GENERAL INDEMNITY* (TEX. 2021).



3

SEVERANCE AND BIFURCATION

4

In re State Farm Mut. Auto. Ins. Co. 629 S.W.3d 866 (Tex. 2021).

Plaintiff-insured sued only for extra-contractual damages.

The insurer sought to bifurcate to require plaintiff to prove legal entitlement to contract damages *before* a trial for extra-contractual damages.

Plaintiff contended: nothing to bifurcate here!

The court held that bifurcation, the only remedy requested by the insurer, was required in this situation.

Court cited and relied on cases requiring severance and abatement of extra-contractual cases.

5

The issue:

If Plaintiff-insured sues *ONLY* for extra-contractual damages arising out of a UIM claim—is there anything to sever (or bifurcate)?

6





Find the full text of this and thousands of other resources from leading experts in dozens of legal practice areas in the <u>UT Law CLE eLibrary (utcle.org/elibrary)</u>

Title search: Handling Uninsured & Underinsured Motorist Claims

Also available as part of the eCourse Answer Bar: Taking on the Car Crash Client

First appeared as part of the conference materials for the 2024 The Car Crash Seminar session
"Important Cases in the Prosecution and Defense of UM / UIM Cases Session"